

*ON THE FORMULA OF ŚLEBODZIŃSKI FOR LIE DERIVATIVE  
OF TENSOR FIELDS IN A DIFFERENTIAL SPACE*

BY

HANNA MATUSZCZYK (WROCLAW)

In this paper we introduce the concept of a fibered tensor space over a differential space in a way such that each smooth tensor field is a smooth section of that tensor space. Lie derivative with respect to a vector field is introduced by means of the one-parameter Lie group, and the fundamental Ślebodziński's formula is derived.

**1. Tangent and cotangent fibered space.** Let  $(M, C)$  be a differential space and let  $\tau_C$  stand for the weakest topology on  $M$  such that all  $\alpha \in C$  are continuous, whereas  $C_U$  stands for the set of all  $\beta: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that for each  $p \in U$  there exist  $V \in \tau_C$  and  $\alpha \in C$  with the condition  $\beta|V = \alpha|V$ ,  $p \in V$  (see [1]). For any  $p \in M$  we define the set  $C(p)$  as the union of all sets  $C_U$ , where  $p \in U \in \tau_C$ . The tangent space  $(M, C)_p$ , by Sikorski's definition (cf. [2] and [3]), is isomorphic to the vector space  $T_p(M, C)$  of all mappings  $v: C(p) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\alpha + \beta) &= v(\alpha) + v(\beta), & v(c\alpha) &= cv(\alpha), \\ v(\alpha\beta) &= \alpha(p)v(\beta) + \beta(p)v(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in C(p)$  and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . Here  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are defined on the common part of the domains of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and, of course, belong to  $C(p)$  whenever  $\alpha, \beta \in C(p)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *If  $(M, \tau_C)$  is a Hausdorff space, then for any  $p, q$  in  $M$ ,  $p \neq q$ , the tangent spaces  $T_p(M, C)$  and  $T_q(M, C)$  are disjoint.*

**Proof.** For  $p, q \in M$ ,  $p \neq q$ , there exists  $U \in \tau_C$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $q \notin U$ . Then, for some  $\alpha \in C$ , we have  $\alpha(p) \neq \alpha(q)$ . Hence  $\alpha|U \in C(p)$  and  $\alpha|U \notin C(q)$ . In other words,  $C(p) \neq C(q)$ . Thus  $T_p(M, C)$  and  $T_q(M, C)$  are disjoint.

For pure technical reasons we will assume that  $(M, \tau_C)$  is a Hausdorff space.

It follows from Proposition 1.1 that we have exactly one mapping  $\pi$ , defined on the union of all vector spaces  $T_p(M, C)$ ,  $p \in M$ , which sends

every element  $v$  of this union to the point  $p \in M$  such that  $v$  belongs to  $T_p(M, C)$ . This mapping is called the *projection of the tangent fibered space* of  $(M, C)$ . In the union of all vector spaces  $T_p(M, C)$ ,  $p \in M$ , we take the smallest differential structure containing the set  $\{\alpha \circ \pi; \alpha \in C\} \cup \{\alpha_*; \alpha \in C\}$ , where  $\alpha_*(v) = v(\alpha)$  for  $v$  of the domain of  $\pi$ . The domain of  $\pi$  together with that differential structure will be called the *tangent bundle* of the differential space  $(M, C)$  and will be denoted by  $T(M, C)$ .

Any mapping  $X$  which assigns to each point  $p \in M$  the vector  $X(p)$  of  $T_p(M, C)$  is called a *vector field* on  $(M, C)$ . The vector field  $X$  is said to be *smooth* if for every  $\alpha \in C$  the function  $\partial_X \alpha$  defined by the formula

$$(\partial_X \alpha)(p) = X(p)(\alpha) \quad \text{for } p \in M$$

belongs to  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}(M, C)$  denote the set of all smooth vector fields on  $(M, C)$ . By an easy verification we get

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Any vector field  $X$  on  $(M, C)$  is smooth if and only if  $X: (M, C) \rightarrow T(M, C)$  is a smooth mapping.*

Now we define the cotangent bundle of a Hausdorff differential space. Any element of the space  $(T_p(M, C))^*$ , i.e. of the dual vector space to  $T_p(M, C)$ , is said to be a *tangent covector* of  $(M, C)$  at the point  $p$ . A tangent covector of  $(M, C)$  at any point  $p \in M$  will be called, shortly, a *tangent covector* of  $(M, C)$ . It follows from Proposition 1.1 that for every tangent covector  $w$  of  $(M, C)$  there exists exactly one point  ${}^*\pi(w)$  such that  $w$  is a tangent covector of  $(M, C)$  at  ${}^*\pi(w)$ . So we have a mapping  ${}^*\pi$  of the set of all covectors of  $(M, C)$  onto  $M$ . In what follows we shall write  $\pi$  instead of  ${}^*\pi$ . Every covector  $w$  of  $(M, C)$  is an element of  $(T_{\pi(w)}(M, C))^*$ .

Let  $X$  be a smooth vector field on  $(M, C)$ . For any tangent covector  $w$  of  $(M, C)$  we set  $\tilde{X}(w) = w(X(\pi(w)))$ . It is easy to see that we have the real-valued function  $\tilde{X}$  linear on each space  $(T_p(M, C))^*$ . Taking the smallest differential structure on the set of all tangent covectors of  $(M, C)$  containing the set  $\{\alpha \circ \pi; \alpha \in C\} \cup \{\tilde{X}; X \in \mathcal{X}(M, C)\}$ , we obtain a differential space which will be called the *cotangent fibered space* of  $(M, C)$  and denoted by  $T^*(M, C)$ . We have also the smooth map  $\pi: T^*(M, C) \rightarrow (M, C)$  called the *projection of the cotangent bundle* of  $(M, C)$ .

**2. Tensor fibered space.** For any Hausdorff differential space  $(M, C)$  and for any point  $p \in M$  we consider the tensor algebra  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$  over the linear space  $T_p(M, C)$ . We have the natural inclusions of  $T_p(M, C)$  and  $(T_p(M, C))^*$  into  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$ . In this section we shall write  $T_p^1(M, C)$  instead of  $T_p(M, C)$ , and  $T_p^{-1}(M, C)$  instead of  $(T_p(M, C))^*$ . For any  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$ , where  $\varepsilon_h \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we denote by  $\otimes^\varepsilon T_p(M, C)$  the linear subspace of the linear space  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$  generated by the set of all tensors  $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k$ , where  $v_h$  is from  $T_p^{\varepsilon_h}(M, C)$ ,  $h = 1, \dots, k$ . Any element of  $\otimes^\varepsilon T_p(M, C)$  is said to be a *tensor of type  $\varepsilon$*  at the point  $p$ . A mapping

which assigns to every point  $p \in M$  a tensor  $Z(p)$  of type  $\varepsilon$  at  $p$  is said to be a *tensor field of type  $\varepsilon$*  on  $(M, C)$ . As above, denoting by  $\pi$  the mapping which assigns to every element  $t$  of the union of all sets of  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$ ,  $p \in M$ , the point  $\pi(t)$  such that  $t$  is from  $\otimes T_{\pi(t)}(M, C)$ , we may regard  $Z$  as a mapping such that  $\pi \circ Z = \text{id}_M$ .

Before defining the differential structure on the set of all tensors on  $(M, C)$  we consider basic smooth functions  $\gamma$  defined as follows. Let  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$ ,  $\varepsilon_h \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Let  $\text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$  be the union of all  $\otimes^\varepsilon T_p(M, C)$ , where  $p \in M$ . Let  $\gamma_h = a_{h*}$ ,  $a_h \in C$ , if  $\varepsilon_h = 1$ , and  $\gamma_h = \tilde{X}_h$ ,  $X_h \in \mathcal{X}(M, C)$ , if  $\varepsilon_h = -1$ . There exists exactly one function  $[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k]$  defined on  $\text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$ ,

$$[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k](v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \gamma_1(v_1) \dots \gamma_k(v_k),$$

where  $\pi(v_1) = \dots = \pi(v_k)$ . We can extend this function to the function  $\gamma$  defined on  $\text{set } \otimes T(M, C)$ , the union of all sets of elements of  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$ , where  $p \in M$ , by setting  $\gamma(t) = [\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k](t)$  for  $t \in \text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$  and  $\gamma(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin \text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$ .

Every tensor algebra  $\otimes T_p(M, C)$  has the subalgebra of all scalars. This subalgebra, denoted by  $\otimes^{(0)} T_p(M, C)$ , is isomorphic in a natural way to the algebra of all real numbers. Similarly as before we denote the union of all sets of elements of  $\otimes^{(0)} T_p(M, C)$ , where  $p \in M$ , by  $\text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$ ,  $\varepsilon = (0)$ . Every function  $\gamma$  defined on  $\text{set } \otimes T(M, C)$  by  $\gamma(\hat{p}(r)) = r$  for any real  $r$ , where  $\hat{p}$  is the natural isomorphism of all real numbers onto  $\otimes^{(0)} T_p(M, C)$ , and by  $\gamma(t) = 0$  for  $t \notin \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$  will be also regarded as a basic smooth function.

The smallest differential structure on  $\text{set } \otimes T(M, C)$  containing all described basic smooth functions as well as all functions of the form  $\alpha \circ \pi$ , where  $\alpha \in C$ , is called the *differential structure of the tensor fibered space  $\otimes T(M, C)$*  over  $(M, C)$ . It is easy to prove the following

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If  $(M, C)$  is an  $m$ -dimensional differentiable manifold, then the differential space induced on  $\text{set } \otimes^\varepsilon T(M, C)$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$ , by the differential structure of  $\otimes T(M, C)$  is an  $(m + m^k)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold being the total space of the tensor bundle of all tensors of type  $\varepsilon$ , the base space of which is  $(M, C)$ .*

**3. One-parameter local Lie group.** Let  $E$  denote the set of all real functions of class  $C^\infty$  on the set of all real numbers and let us consider any one-parameter local Lie group in a differential space  $(M, C)$ , i.e. (cf. [5]) a smooth mapping

$$(1) \quad \varphi: (U, C_U) \times (I, E_I) \rightarrow (M, C),$$

where  $U \in \tau_C$ ,  $I$  is an open interval,  $0 \in I$ , satisfying the following condi-

tions:

(i) if  $t, s, t+s \in I$  and  $p, \varphi(p, t) \in U$ , then

$$\varphi(\varphi(p, t), s) = \varphi(p, t+s);$$

(ii)  $\varphi(p, 0) = p$  for  $p \in U$ .

It follows immediately (cf. [5]) that for  $t \in I$  we have the diffeomorphism

$$(2) \quad \varphi_t: (U_t, C_{U_t}) \rightarrow (U_{-t}, C_{U_{-t}}),$$

where  $U_t = (\varphi(\cdot, t))^{-1}[U]$  and  $\varphi_t(p) = \varphi(p, t)$  for  $p \in U_t$ , and for any  $p \in U$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p \in U_t$  for  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ . Of course,  $U_t$  is open for  $t \in I$ .

By  $\dot{\varphi}(p, t)$  we denote the vector tangent to  $(M, C)$  at the point  $\varphi(p, t)$  defined by the formula

$$(3) \quad \dot{\varphi}(p, t)(a) = (a \circ \varphi(p, \cdot))'(t) \quad \text{for } a \in C(p).$$

By an easy verification we get (cf. [5])

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For every one-parameter local Lie group (1) the formula*

$$(4) \quad X(p) = \dot{\varphi}(p, 0) \quad \text{for } p \in U$$

*defines a smooth vector field  $X$  on  $U$  tangent to  $(M, C)$  and such that*

$$X(\varphi(p, t)) = \dot{\varphi}(p, t) \quad \text{for } p \in U, t \in I.$$

The vector field defined by (3) is said to be *induced by the one-parameter local Lie group (1)*.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *For any  $p \in U$  there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that for every  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$  we have the isomorphism*

$$(5) \quad \varphi_{t, p_t}: T_{p_t}(U_t, C_{U_t}) \rightarrow T_p(U_{-t}, C_{U_{-t}}),$$

where  $p_t = \varphi(p, -t) \in U$ . This isomorphism induces in a natural way the following three isomorphisms:

$$(6) \quad \varphi_t^{(1)}(p): T_{p_t}(M, C) \rightarrow T_p(M, C),$$

$$(7) \quad \varphi_t^{(-1)}(p): (T_{p_t}(M, C))^* \rightarrow (T_p(M, C))^*,$$

$$(8) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_t(p): \otimes T_{p_t}(M, C) \rightarrow \otimes T_p(M, C).$$

Moreover, for any  $v_h$  in  $T_{p_t}^{\varepsilon_h}(M, C)$ ,  $h = 1, \dots, k$ , regarded as elements of  $\otimes T_{p_t}(M, C)$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_t(p)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = \varphi_t^{(\varepsilon_1)}(p)(v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \varphi_t^{(\varepsilon_k)}(p)(v_k),$$

where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$ .

A system

$$(10) \quad W_1, \dots, W_m$$

of smooth vector fields on a differential space  $(M, C)$  is said to be a *local vector basis* at the point  $p$  (cf. [2]) if there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that for any  $q \in U$  the vectors  $W_1(q), \dots, W_m(q)$  are a basis for the vector space  $T_q(M, C)$ . The differential space  $(M, C)$  is said to be of *local dimension*  $m$  at the point  $p$  if there exists a local vector basis (10) at  $p$ . The vector fields  $W_h$  can be defined only on some neighbourhood of  $p$ . By  $C$ -regularity of  $(M, C)$ , this is equivalent to our assumption.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If  $(M, C)$  is of local dimension  $m$  at the point  $p$ , then for any tensor field  $Z$  of type  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$ , smooth on  $(M, C)$ , and for any  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  there are functions  $Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  of class  $C^\infty$  on  $(-\eta, \eta)$  such that for  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$  we have*

$$(11) \quad Z(p_t) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}(t) e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(t) \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(t),$$

where  $p_t = \varphi(p, -t)$ ,  $e_1^1(t), \dots, e_m^1(t)$  is a basis for the vector space  $T_{p_t}(M, C)$  and  $e_1^{-1}(t), \dots, e_m^{-1}(t)$  is its dual basis. Moreover,

$$(12) \quad \varphi_i^{(\mu)}(e_h^\mu(t)) = e_h^\mu(0), \quad h = 1, \dots, m, \quad |\mu| = 1.$$

Proof. Let  $W_1, \dots, W_m$  be a local vector basis at  $p$ . From  $C$ -regularity of  $(M, C)$  (see [3]) it follows that there exist smooth 1-forms  $W^1, \dots, W^m$  defined on  $(M, C)$ , i.e. some mappings  $W^i: (M, C) \rightarrow \otimes T(M, C)$  are smooth tensor fields of type  $(-1)$  such that  $W^i(q)(W_h(q)) = \delta_h^i$  for  $q$  in some neighbourhood of the point  $p$ , and  $h, i = 1, \dots, m$ . Proposition 3.1 yields the existence  $\eta > 0$  such that for  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$  we have the isomorphisms (5)-(8).

After identification of  $T_q(M, C)$  with  $\otimes^{(1)}T_q(M, C)$  and  $(T_q(M, C))^*$  with  $\otimes^{(-1)}T_q(M, C)$  we set, for  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$ ,

$$(13) \quad e_h^1(t) = (\varphi_t^{(1)}(p))^{-1}(W_h(p)), \quad h = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$(14) \quad e_i^{-1}(t) = (\varphi_t^{(-1)}(p))^{-1}(W^i(p)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

It is easy to check that we have the basis  $e_1^1(t), \dots, e_m^1(t)$  for  $\otimes^{(1)}T_{p_t}(M, C)$  and its dual  $e_1^{-1}(t), \dots, e_m^{-1}(t)$  for  $\otimes^{(-1)}T_{p_t}(M, C)$ . The elements  $e_h^1(t)$  and  $e_h^{-1}(t)$  are identified with  $\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_h(p))$  and  $W^h(p) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t}$ , respectively. It is easy to verify that  $e_h^1(0)$  equals  $W_h(p)$  regarded as an element of  $\otimes^{(1)}T_p(M, C)$ . Similarly,  $e_h^{-1}(0)$  is identified with  $W^h(p)$ . Hence (12) holds.

The vectors  $W_1(p), \dots, W_m(p)$  are linearly independent. Then there exist functions  $\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^m \in C$  such that

$$\det[W_h(p)(\alpha^j); h, j \leq m] \neq 0.$$

Let us remark that there exist real functions  $\alpha_0^j$  belonging to the differential structure of the space  $(U, C_U) \times (I, E_I)$ , i.e. of the domain of the mapping (1), such that

$$\alpha^j(\varphi(q, -t)) = \alpha^j(q) + t\alpha_0^j(q, t) \quad \text{for } q \in U, t \in I.$$

Hence

$$\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_h(p))(\alpha^j) = W_h(p)(\alpha^j \circ \varphi(\cdot, -t)) = W_h(p)(\alpha^j) + tW_h(p)(\alpha_0^j(\cdot, t)).$$

Therefore, diminishing  $\eta$  (if necessary), we may assume that

$$(15) \quad \det[\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_h(p))(\alpha^j); h, j \leq m] \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (-\eta, \eta).$$

Then there exist real functions  $\beta_j^i$  of class  $C^\infty$  on  $(-\eta, \eta)$  such that for  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$  we have

$$(16) \quad \beta_j^i(t)\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_h(p))(\alpha^j) = \delta_h^i, \quad h, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let  $\theta_h^l$  be real functions on  $(-\eta, \eta)$  such that

$$(17) \quad \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t}(W_h(\varphi(p, -t))) = \theta_h^l(t)W_l(p).$$

Thus we get

$$W_h(\varphi(p, -t)) = \theta_h^l(t)\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_l(p)).$$

Hence, by (16), we obtain

$$\beta_j^i(t)W_h(\varphi(p, -t))(\alpha^j) = \theta_h^l(t)\beta_j^i(t)\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_l(p))(\alpha^j) = \theta_h^l(t)\delta_l^i = \theta_h^i(t).$$

Then  $\theta_h^i$  are of class  $C^\infty$  on  $(-\eta, \eta)$ . Applying  $W^i(p)$  to both sides of (17) we obtain

$$(18) \quad \theta_h^i(t) = W^i(p)(\varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t}(W_h(\varphi(p, -t))), \quad h, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the operation  $X \mapsto \tilde{X}$ , we have

$$(19) \quad \tilde{W}_h(W^i(p) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t}) = (W^i(p) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t})(W_h(\varphi(p, -t))).$$

It follows from (14) that  $e_i^{-1}(t)$  can be identified with the covector  $W^i(p) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_t}(W_h(\varphi(p, -t)))$ . Setting for  $v$  and  $w$  in  $\otimes T(M, C)$ ,

$$(20) \quad \gamma_h^{(1)}(v) = \begin{cases} \alpha_h^*(v) & \text{if } v \text{ is in } \otimes^{(1)}T(M, C), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(21) \quad \gamma_h^{(-1)}(v) = \begin{cases} \tilde{W}_h(w) & \text{if } w \text{ is in } \otimes^{(-1)}T(M, C), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

by (11) we obtain

$$(22) \quad [\gamma_{h_1}^{(\varepsilon_1)}, \dots, \gamma_{h_k}^{(\varepsilon_k)}](Z(p_t)) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}(t) \gamma_{h_1}^{(\varepsilon_1)}(e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(t)) \dots \gamma_{h_k}^{(\varepsilon_k)}(e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(t)).$$

According to (16) and (20) we have

$$(23) \quad \beta_j^i(t) \gamma_h^{(1)}(e_i^1(t)) = \beta_j^i(t) \alpha_{*}^h(\varphi(\cdot, -t)_{*p}(W_i(p))) = \delta_j^h.$$

Similarly, by (19), (21), and (18) we get

$$(24) \quad \gamma_h^{(-1)}(e_i^{-1}(t)) = \tilde{W}_h(W^i(p) \circ \varphi(\cdot, t)_{*p_i}) = \theta_h^i(t).$$

From (23) and (24) it follows that

$$(25) \quad \gamma_h^{(\mu)}(e_i^\mu(t)) = \theta_{hi}^\mu(t),$$

where

$$\theta_{hi}^\mu = \begin{cases} \check{\beta}_i^h & \text{if } \mu = 1, \\ \theta_h^i & \text{if } \mu = -1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{\beta}_i^h(t) \beta_h^j(t) = \delta_i^j.$$

Of course,  $\det[\theta_{hi}^\mu(t); h, i \leq m] \neq 0$  for  $t \in (-\eta, \eta)$ . Hence and from (22) and (25), because of the smoothness of  $Z$ , it follows that the functions  $Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  are of class  $C^\infty$  on  $(-\eta, \eta)$ , which proves the proposition.

**4. Lie derivative of tensor fields.** Let  $T_q(M, C)$  be an  $m$ -dimensional space and  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  a basis for it. For any tensor  $u$  of type  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$  and for  $r, s$  such that  $1 \leq r < s \leq k$  and  $\varepsilon_r \varepsilon_s = -1$  we denote by  $C_{r,s}u$  the tensor of type  $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{r-1}, \varepsilon_{r+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{s-1}, \varepsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k)$  defined by the equality

$$C_{r,s}u = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_k \\ i_r = i_s}} u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{r-1}}^{\varepsilon_{r-1}} \otimes e_{i_{r+1}}^{\varepsilon_{r+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{s-1}}^{\varepsilon_{s-1}} \otimes e_{i_{s+1}}^{\varepsilon_{s+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k},$$

where

$$u = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} u_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}.$$

The operation  $C_{r,s}$  is a contraction. In a particular case, taking  $v$  in  $T_q(M, C)$  and  $w$  in  $(T_q(M, C))^*$  as elements of  $\otimes T_q(M, C)$ , after the contraction  $C_{1,2}$  of the tensor product  $w \otimes v$  we obtain

$$(26) \quad C_{1,2}(w \otimes v) = w(v).$$

Let  $W$  and  $Y$  be a smooth 1-form and a smooth vector field, respectively, on some open subspace of  $(M, C)$  being of local dimension  $m$ . Then, by (26), we have

$$(27) \quad C_{1,2} \circ (W \otimes Y) = W(Y),$$

where  $W(Y)(q) = W(q)(Y(q))$  for  $q$  of this subspace.

Let us consider a differential space  $(M, C)$  being of local dimension  $m$  at the point  $p$  and a one-parameter local Lie group (1) such that  $p \in U$ . For sufficiently small  $t$ , by Proposition 3.3, we have formulas (11) and (12).

Hence

$$(28) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_t(p)(Z(p_t)) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} Z_{i_1 \dots i_k}(t) e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(0) \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(0).$$

It is easy to check that the derivative of the function  $t \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}_t(p)(Z(p_t))$  at the point 0 is independent of the choice of a local basis  $W_1, \dots, W_m$ . Therefore, we can denote it by  $-(\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z)(p)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If  $(M, C)$  is of local dimension  $m$  at  $p$ , then the operation  $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi$  is linear, commutes with all contractions, and for any smooth tensor fields  $Z$  and  $Z_1$  of type  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$ , respectively, we have*

$$(29) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi(Z \otimes Z_1))(p) = (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z)(p) \otimes Z_1(p) + Z(p) \otimes (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z_1)(p).$$

Moreover, if  $W$  and  $Y$  are a smooth 1-form and a smooth vector field, respectively, then

$$(30) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi W)(Y(p)) = (\partial_X W(Y))(p) - W([X, Y])(p),$$

where  $X$  is the vector field induced by  $\varphi$ .

Proof. It follows from (28) that

$$(31) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z)(p) = - \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} Z'_{i_1 \dots i_k}(0) e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(0) \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(0).$$

Since the mapping (8) is linear and commutative with contractions, so is  $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi$ . Formula (29) is also an immediate consequence of (31). Formula (30) follows from (27), (29), and from the equality  $(\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Y)(p) = [X, Y](p)$  (see [5]), which completes the proof.

It follows from Proposition 4.1, because of the local character of the operation  $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi$ , that  $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi$  depends only on the vector field  $X$  induced by  $\varphi$ . Thus we may define Lie derivative  $(\mathfrak{L}_X Z)(p)$  of the tensor field  $Z$  with respect to the vector field  $X$  at the point  $p$  as the tensor  $(\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z)(p)$  considered above. Indeed, for  $q$  in some neighbourhood of  $p$  we have

$$(32) \quad Z(q) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 \dots i_k}(q) W_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(q) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(q),$$

where  $W_h^1(q)$  stands for  $W_h(q)$  regarded as an element of  $\otimes T_q(M, C)$ , and  $W_i^{-1}(q)$  is an element of  $\otimes T_q(M, C)$  corresponding to  $W^i(q)$ ,  $W^i(q)(W_h(q)) = \delta_h^i$ ,  $h, i = 1, \dots, m$ . Applying the operation  $\mathfrak{L}_\varphi$  to (32) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi Z)(p) &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi a_{i_1 \dots i_k})(p) W_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(p) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(p) + \\ &+ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 \dots i_k}(p) \sum_{r=1}^k W_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1}(p) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathfrak{L}_\varphi W_{i_r}^{\varepsilon_r})(p) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}(p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(33) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_X Z)(p) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} X(p)(a_{i_1 \dots i_k}) W_{i_1}^{e_1}(p) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{i_k}^{e_k}(p) + \\ + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 \dots i_k}(p) \sum_{r=1}^k W_{i_1}^{e_1}(p) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathfrak{L}_X W_{i_r}^{e_r})(p) \otimes \dots \otimes W_{i_k}^{e_k}(p),$$

where

$$(34) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_X W_h^\mu)(p) = [X, W_h](p)$$

if  $\mu = 1$ ; and for any smooth vector field  $Y$ ,  $\mu = -1$ , we get

$$(35) \quad (\mathfrak{L}_X W_i^\mu)(Y(p)) = (\partial_X W^i(Y))(p) - W^i([X, Y])(p).$$

With these remarks, Proposition 4.1 yields

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $(M, C)$  is of local dimension  $m$  at the point  $p$ , then we have the operation  $\mathfrak{L}_X$  which assigns to every smooth tensor field  $Z$  of type  $\varepsilon$  the tensor  $(\mathfrak{L}_X Z)(p)$  defined as*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (Z(p) - \tilde{\varphi}_t(p)(Z(\varphi(p, -t))))$$

where the one-parameter local Lie group (1) induces  $X$ . The operation  $\mathfrak{L}_X$  is linear, commutes with all contractions, and satisfies the formula

$$\mathfrak{L}_X(Z \otimes Z_1)(p) = (\mathfrak{L}_X Z)(p) \otimes Z_1(p) + Z(p) \otimes (\mathfrak{L}_X Z_1)(p).$$

Moreover, formula (33) together with (34) and (35) is satisfied.

Formula (33) is a different formulation of the fundamental Ślebo-dziński's formula [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Sikorski, *Abstract covariant derivative*, Colloquium Mathematicum 18 (1967), p. 251-272.
- [2] — *Differential modules*, ibidem 24 (1971), p. 45-79.
- [3] — *Wstęp do geometrii różniczkowej (Introduction to differential geometry)*, Warszawa 1972 (in Polish).
- [4] W. Ślebodziński, *Sur les équations canoniques de Hamilton*, Bulletin de l'Académie Royale de Belgique, Classe des Sciences, 5 Série, 17 (1931), p. 864-870.
- [5] W. Waliszewski, *Lie derivative of vector fields on a differential space* (to appear).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 7. 1980