

ON WEAK AGASSIZ SYSTEMS OF ALGEBRAS

BY

E. GRACZYŃSKA (WROCLAW) AND A. WRONSKI (KRAKOW)

In [1] we dealt with the concept of normal Agassiz system of algebras being a modification of Agassiz system introduced by Grätzer and Sichler in [2]. Now we discuss a generalization of the concept of normal Agassiz system in the direction suggested by the definition of a naming functor given by Grätzer and Sichler in [2]. The present paper is a continuation of [1], and thus all notational and terminological conventions of [1] will be used freely. For the sake of convenience, in some situations we shall use the notation $\mathbf{p} \equiv_K \mathbf{q}$ interchangeably with $\mathbf{p} \equiv \mathbf{q} \in \text{Id}(K)$. In several cases, to make evident the length of a sequence of polynomial symbols we shall use the notation $(\mathbf{p}, \dots, \mathbf{p})^k$ for a k -termed ($k \geq 1$) sequence of \mathbf{p} 's.

Given a naming functor $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\rho)$ (see [1]), we say that an algebra \mathfrak{B} of type ρ belongs to the *weak structurality class* of N ($\mathfrak{B} \in \text{WSC}(N)$) if, for every n -ary ($n \geq 1$) polynomial symbol $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$ and for every $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$,

$$N(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n)) \equiv N(\mathbf{p})(N(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, N(\mathbf{q}_n)) \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Obviously, $\text{WSC}(N)$ always is an equational class and $\text{SC}(N) \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$. Note also that in order to assure the closedness of the set of identities $\text{Id}_N(I)$ it is sufficient to assume that $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$ (cf. [1]). Although the class $\text{WSC}(N)$ is defined simply by dropping condition (ii) in the definition of the class $\text{SC}(N)$ in [1], we have the following

LEMMA 1. *If $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$ and there exists a variable \mathbf{x}_k such that $N(\mathbf{x}_k) \equiv \mathbf{x}_k \in \text{Id}(I)$, then $I \subseteq \text{SC}(N)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$, $N(\mathbf{x}_k) \equiv_I \mathbf{x}_k$ and \mathbf{x}_i is an arbitrary variable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathbf{x}_i) &= (N(\mathbf{x}_i)(\mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)^i)(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)^k \\ &\equiv_I (N(\mathbf{x}_i)(N(\mathbf{x}_k), \dots, N(\mathbf{x}_k))^i)(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)^k \\ &\equiv_I N(\mathbf{x}_i(\mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)^i)(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)^k \\ &= N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)^k \equiv_I \mathbf{x}_k(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i)^k = \mathbf{x}_i, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $I \subseteq \text{SC}(N)$.

A naming functor $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ will be called *standard* if, for every n -ary ($n \geq 1$) polynomial symbol $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$ and for every $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$,

$$N(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n)) = N(\mathbf{p})(N(\mathbf{q}_1), \dots, N(\mathbf{q}_n)).$$

LEMMA 2. *If a naming functor N is standard, then $\text{SC}(N)$ is the class of all algebras of type ϱ .*

Proof. It suffices to show that if N is standard, then $N(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$ for every variable \mathbf{x}_i . Indeed, suppose that \mathbf{x}_k is a variable such that $N(\mathbf{x}_k) \neq \mathbf{x}_k$. Then $N(\mathbf{x}_k)$ contains some operation symbol and, therefore,

$$N(\mathbf{x}_k) = N(\mathbf{x}_k(\mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)^k) \neq N(\mathbf{x}_k)(N(\mathbf{x}_k), \dots, N(\mathbf{x}_k))^k,$$

since operation symbols occur in $N(\mathbf{x}_k)(N(\mathbf{x}_k), \dots, N(\mathbf{x}_k))^k$ more times than in $N(\mathbf{x}_k)$. This completes the proof.

With each naming functor $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ we associate a mapping $\bar{N}: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ defined as follows:

- (i) $\bar{N}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$ for every variable \mathbf{x}_i ;
- (ii) $\bar{N}(f) = N(f)$ if f is a nullary operation symbol of type τ ;
- (iii) $\bar{N}(f(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)) = N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n))$ if f is an n -ary ($n \geq 1$) operation symbol of type τ and $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$.

LEMMA 3. *If $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ is a naming functor, then the following conditions hold:*

- (i) \bar{N} is a standard naming functor;
- (ii) if $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$, then $N(\mathbf{p}) \equiv_I \bar{N}(\mathbf{p})(N(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, N(\mathbf{x}_n))$ for every n -ary ($n \geq 1$) polynomial symbol $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$;
- (iii) if $I \subseteq \text{SC}(N)$, then $N(\mathbf{p}) \equiv_I \bar{N}(\mathbf{p})$ for every $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$;
- (iv) $N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)) = \bar{N}(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))$ for every n -ary ($n \geq 1$) operation symbol f of type τ ;
- (v) if $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$ and \mathbf{x}_k is a variable, then, for every polynomial symbol $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$ being not a variable,

$$N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}))^k \equiv_I \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}).$$

Proof. Conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) can be proved by a standard induction argument on the rank of a polynomial symbol of type τ , and condition (iv) is obvious. To prove (v) observe first that it holds if \mathbf{p} is a nullary operation symbol of type τ . Now let us suppose that $\mathbf{p} = f(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)$, where f is an n -ary ($n \geq 1$) operation symbol of type τ and $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}))^k &= N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\bar{N}(f(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)), \dots, \bar{N}(f(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)))^k \\ &= N(\mathbf{x}_k)(N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n)), \dots, \\ &\quad N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n)))^k \\ &= (N(\mathbf{x}_k)(N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)), \dots, N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)))^k)(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv_I N(\mathbf{x}_k(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))^k)(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n)) \\ &= N(f(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n))(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_1), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}_n)) = \bar{N}(f(\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n)) = \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Let us define a *weak Agassiz system* of algebras by replacing condition (iii) of the definition of a normal Agassiz system (see [1]) by the following:

(iii)_w $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ is a naming functor such that $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{WSC}(N)$.

The *sum* of a weak Agassiz system will be defined exactly as that of a normal Agassiz system and the notation $[I, K, N]$ and $\lim[I, K, N]$ will be used for weak Agassiz systems in the same manner as (I, K, N) and $\lim(I, K, N)$ for normal Agassiz systems in [1].

From now on, it will always be assumed that K and I are non-empty classes of algebras of types τ and ϱ , respectively, and that $N: \mathbf{P}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\varrho)$ is a naming functor such that $I \subseteq \text{WSC}(N)$.

THEOREM 1. (i) $\lim[I, K, N] = \lim(I, K, \bar{N})$.

(ii) $\text{Sm}(\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I) \cap \text{Id}(K)) \subseteq \text{Id}(\lim[I, K, N]) \subseteq \text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I) \cap \text{Id}(K)$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3 (i), (iv), it is obvious that a weak Agassiz system

$$(\mathfrak{B}, (\mathfrak{A}_b | b \in B), (h_{bc} | \langle b, c \rangle \in R), N)$$

belongs to $[I, K, N]$ if and only if the normal Agassiz system

$$(\mathfrak{B}, (\mathfrak{A}_b | b \in B), (h_{bc} | \langle b, c \rangle \in R), \bar{N})$$

belongs to (I, K, \bar{N}) , which proves (i). Condition (ii) follows immediately from (i) and Proposition 1 of [1].

THEOREM 2. *If $I \not\subseteq \text{SC}(N)$, then every identity in $\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I)$ is symmetric and*

$$\text{Id}(\lim[I, K, N]) = \text{Sm}(\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I) \cap \text{Id}(K)).$$

Proof. Let us suppose that, for some variable \mathbf{x}_k and some polynomial symbol $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{P}(\tau)$ being not a variable, $\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv_I \bar{N}(\mathbf{x}_k)$. Then $\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv_I \mathbf{x}_k$ and by Lemma 3 (v) we get

$$N(\mathbf{x}_k) = N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)^k \equiv_I N(\mathbf{x}_k)(\bar{N}(\mathbf{p}), \dots, \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}))^k \equiv_I \bar{N}(\mathbf{p}) \equiv_I \mathbf{x}_k,$$

which gives $I \subseteq \text{SC}(N)$ by virtue of Lemma 1. Thus we have proved that $I \not\subseteq \text{SC}(N)$ implies that all identities in $\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I)$ are symmetric which, by Theorem 1 (ii), yields the required equality.

Remark. If $I \subseteq \text{SC}(N)$, then from Lemma 3 (iii) it follows that $\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I) = \text{Id}_N(I)$. If $I \not\subseteq \text{SC}(N)$, then $\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I) \subseteq \text{Id}_N(I)$ by Lemma 3 (ii),

but the converse inclusion does not hold in general. A suitable example shows that even symmetric identities of $\text{Id}_N(I)$ need not belong to $\text{Id}_{\bar{N}}(I)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Graczyńska and A. Wroński, *On normal Agassiz systems of algebras*, this fascicle, p. 1-8.
- [2] G. Grätzer and J. Sichler, *Agassiz sum of algebras*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 30 (1974), p. 57-59.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 9. 1975
