

A REMARK ON  $\Lambda$ -SYSTEMS IN BANACH SPACES

BY

J. R. HOLUB (BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA)

**1. Introduction.** In [1] Davis, Dean, and Singer studied non-complemented subspaces of Banach spaces and showed that if a Banach space  $X$  has a  $\Lambda$ -system (see section 2 for the definition) then  $X$  has a non-complemented subspace. In the same paper [1] (p. 307) the authors ask whether some subsequence of every  $\Lambda$ -system is basic (i.e. is a Schauder basis for its closed linear span). The purpose of this note is to answer this question negatively by showing that if  $1 < p \neq 2 < +\infty$ , then the space  $l^p$  has a  $\Lambda$ -system no subsequence of which is basic.

**2. Definitions and preliminary results.** The *projection constant*  $\lambda(X)$  for a subspace  $X$  of a Banach space  $Y$  is defined as the infimum of the norms of all projections from  $Y$  onto  $X$  [1]. If  $X$  is not complemented in  $Y$ ,  $\lambda(X) = +\infty$ .

Denote by  $[x_i]$  the closed linear span of the sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $X$ .

A linearly independent sequence  $(x_i)$  in a Banach space  $X$  is called a  $\Lambda$ -system [1] if  $\lambda([x_1, \dots, x_n]) \xrightarrow{n} \infty$  and  $[x_i] = X$ .

Davis, Dean, and Singer have shown that if  $1 \leq p \neq 2 < +\infty$ , then  $l^p$  (the space of all real  $p$ -th power summable sequences) has a  $\Lambda$ -system [1]. Hence any Banach space linearly homeomorphic to  $l^p$  also has such a sequence.

**3. The construction.** Let  $e_1$  denote the unit vector  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$  in  $l^p$ . Then  $l^p = [e_1] + [e_i]_{i=2}^\infty$  where  $X = [e_i]_{i=2}^\infty$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l^p$ . As we have mentioned,  $X$  has a  $\Lambda$ -system  $(x_i)$  for which we may assume  $\|x_i\| = 1$  for all  $i$ . We claim the sequence  $e_1, x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a  $\Lambda$ -system in  $l^p$ .

Clearly this sequence is linearly independent and its closed linear span is  $l^p$ . We need only show  $\lambda([e_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]) \xrightarrow{n} +\infty$ . To do this, let  $P_n: l^p \rightarrow [e_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a projection and define  $Q_n: X \rightarrow [e_1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  to be the restriction of  $P_n$  to  $X$ . Define

$$R_n: [e_1, x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow [x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

by

$$R_n(a_0 e_1 + a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n) = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n.$$

Now for any  $n$ ,

$$\|P_n\| \geq \|Q_n\| = \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \leq 1 \\ x \in X}} \|Q_n(x)\|.$$

But  $Q_n(x) = a_0 e_1 + a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_n x_n$  where  $a_0 = \langle Q_n x, e_1 \rangle$  since  $\langle e_1, x_i \rangle = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  by definition of  $X$ . Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| = \|Q_n x - a_0 e_1\| \leq \|Q_n x\| + |a_0| \leq 2 \|Q_n x\|$$

(since  $|a_0| = |\langle Q_n x, e_1 \rangle| \leq \|Q_n x\|$ ), and so

$$\|Q_n(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| = \frac{1}{2} \|R_n Q_n x\|.$$

It follows that  $\|P_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|R_n Q_n\|$ , and since  $R_n Q_n$  is a projection from  $X$  onto  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  we have  $\|P_n\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]) \xrightarrow{n} \infty$  and so  $e_1, x_1, x_2, \dots$  is a  $\Lambda$ -system since  $P_n$  was arbitrary.

Now let  $z_1 = e_1$  and  $z_{i+1} = x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $\langle z_i, e_1 \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $q_n = z_n / 2^{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly the set  $(q_i)$  is linearly independent,  $[q_i] = l^p$ , and  $\lambda([q_1, \dots, q_n]) \xrightarrow{n} +\infty$ .

It follows, therefore, that the set  $(w_n)$  in  $l^p$  defined by  $w_n = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is also linearly independent,  $[w_n] = l^p$ , and  $\lambda([w_1, \dots, w_n]) \xrightarrow{n} +\infty$ ; i.e.  $(w_n)$  is a  $\Lambda$ -system in  $l^p$  for which  $\sup_n \|w_n\| \leq 2$ .

If  $1 < p \neq 2 < +\infty$ , we claim no subsequence of the  $\Lambda$ -system  $(w_n)$  is a basic sequence. For, if  $(w_{n_k})$  is basic then it must converge weakly to zero in  $l^p$  since  $l^p$  is reflexive [2], [3]. But for all  $k$ ,

$$\langle w_{n_k}, e_1 \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} q_i, e_1 \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \langle q_i, e_1 \rangle \geq 1.$$

by construction of  $(q_i)$ , and so  $(w_{n_k})$  does not converge weakly to zero in  $l^p$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. J. Davis, D. W. Dean and I. Singer, *Complemented subspaces and  $\Lambda$  systems in Banach spaces*, Israel Journal of Mathematics 6 (1968), p. 303-309.
- [2] R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Annals of Mathematics (2) 52 (1950), p. 518-427.
- [3] I. Singer, *Bases, basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Studia Mathematica 21 (1962), p. 351-369.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE

Reçu par la Rédaction le 26. 5. 1970