

SIDON SETS AND I_0 -SETS

BY

DAVID GROW (CAHOKIA, ILLINOIS)

In this note, $M(T)$ denotes the space of bounded Borel measures on the circle T with the total variation norm and $\hat{\mu}$ denotes the Fourier–Stieltjes transform of $\mu \in M(T)$. Let E be a subset of the integers \mathbf{Z} and let φ be a bounded complex-valued function on E . The set E is called a *Sidon set* if to each φ there corresponds a $\mu \in M(T)$ such that $\varphi(m) = \hat{\mu}(m)$ for all $m \in E$. The set E is called an I_0 -set (see [2] and [3]) if we may take the measure μ to be discrete. Clearly, every I_0 -set is a Sidon set, and it is easy to exhibit Sidon sets which are not I_0 -sets [1]. However, it is an open problem whether or not every Sidon set is a finite union of I_0 -sets.

The purpose of this note is to shed some light on the difficulty in distinguishing Sidon sets from I_0 -sets. We prove a theorem that shows discrete measures are just as efficient in a Fourier analytic sense as arbitrary bounded Borel measures in interpolating bounded functions on finite subsets of \mathbf{Z} . Thus one cannot determine whether a Sidon set E is a finite union of I_0 -sets merely by examining the norms of interpolating discrete measures on finite subsets of E .

THEOREM. *Let $K > 1$. To any $\mu \in M(T)$ and any finite set $F \subset \mathbf{Z}$ there corresponds a discrete $\sigma \in M(T)$ satisfying*

- (i) $\hat{\sigma}(m) = \hat{\mu}(m)$ for all $m \in F$,
- (ii) $\|\sigma\| \leq K \|\mu\|$.

Proof. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \leq K$. Let P be any trigonometric polynomial such that $\hat{P} = 1$ on F and $\|P\|_1 \leq 1 + \varepsilon$. Then $Q = P * \mu$ is a trigonometric polynomial satisfying

$$(1) \quad \hat{Q}(m) = \hat{\mu}(m) \quad \text{for all } m \in F$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|Q\|_1 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|\mu\|.$$

For each $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ define a discrete measure on T by

$$\tau_n = (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(2\pi k/n).$$

(Here $\delta(t)$ denotes the unit point mass at t .) If we form the discrete measure $\sigma_n = \tau_n \cdot Q$, then $\hat{\sigma}_n = \hat{\tau}_n * \hat{Q}$. If n is sufficiently large, it follows from the definition of the Riemann integral that

$$(3) \quad \|\sigma_n\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|Q\|_1.$$

Observe that $\hat{\tau}_n(k) = 1$ if $k = jn$ for some integer j and $\hat{\tau}_n(k) = 0$ otherwise. Thus

$$(4) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(m) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{\tau}_n(k) \hat{Q}(m-k) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \hat{Q}(m-jn).$$

If $n > |k|$ for all $k \in F - \text{supp } \hat{Q}$, then $m \in F$ and $0 \neq j \in \mathbf{Z}$ imply $m - jn \notin \text{supp } \hat{Q}$. With such a choice of n , (4) reduces to

$$(5) \quad \hat{\sigma}_n(m) = \hat{Q}(m) \quad \text{for all } m \in F.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n , $\sigma = \sigma_n$ satisfies (i) by (1) and (5), while (2), (3), and the inequality $(1 + \varepsilon)^2 \leq K$ show that (ii) is also met.

The author would like to thank Professor Gordon Woodward and Professor O. Carruth McGehee for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Grow, *A further note on a class of I_0 -sets*, *Colloq. Math.* 53 (1987), pp. 125–128.
- [2] S. Hartman and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Almost periodic extensions of functions*, *ibidem* 12 (1964), pp. 23–39.
- [3] J.-P. Kahane, *Ensembles de Ryll-Nardzewski et ensembles de Helson*, *ibidem* 15 (1966), pp. 87–92.

PARKS COLLEGE OF SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY
CAHOKIA, ILLINOIS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 4. 1983