

CARDINALS AND ITERATIONS OF HOD

BY

STANISŁAW ROGUSKI (WROCLAW)

**1. Introduction.** The sequence of inner models  $HOD_n$  is nonincreasing, and therefore the sequence of classes  $(Card)^{HOD_n}$  is nondecreasing. Let  $\kappa$  be an aleph. Then  $\kappa$  is a cardinal in every  $HOD_n$  and there exist ordinals  $\alpha_n$  such that  $\kappa = (\omega_{\alpha_n})^{HOD_n}$ . The sequence  $\langle \alpha_n : n < \omega \rangle$  is nondecreasing. We ask whether such a sequence of indices must be nearly constant or whether it can strictly increase infinitely many times. The answer is given by the following theorem:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a countable standard model (c.s.m.) for  $ZF + V = L$ , let  $f \in M$ ,*

$$f: \omega \rightarrow On, \quad \text{rng}(f) \subseteq \{1\} \cup \{\alpha + 2 : \alpha \in On\},$$

$$f(n) \leq f(m) \quad \text{for } n \leq m.$$

*Then there exists a c.s.m.  $N$  for ZFC, which is a generic extension of  $M$ , such that*

$$N \models (n)_\omega ((\omega_{f(n)})^{HOD_n} = \omega_{f(0)}).$$

To prove the Theorem we use a modification of a method described in the paper by McAloon<sup>(1)</sup>.

**2. Construction.** Let  $M$  and  $f$  satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem. Let

$$\lambda = \sup \{f(n) : n < \omega\}.$$

We want to add a sequence of functions  $\langle g_n : n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $g_n$  collapses  $\omega_{\lambda+1}^L$  on  $\omega_{f(n)-1}^L$  for  $n < \omega$ , and  $g_k \in HOD_n$  iff  $k \leq n$  for  $n, k < \omega$ .

Let  $\kappa, \mu$  be cardinals. By  $C(\kappa, \mu)$  we denote the notion of forcing "collapsing"  $\mu$  on  $\kappa$ . Its elements are functions of power  $< \kappa$ , with the domain included in  $\kappa$  and the range included in  $\mu$ .

**DEFINITION 1.**

$$C_n = C(\omega_{f(n)-1}^L, \omega_{\lambda+1}^L) \quad \text{for } n < \omega.$$

$$P = \prod_{n \in \omega} C_n, \quad P_k = \{p \in P : p(n) = \emptyset \text{ for } n < k\}.$$

<sup>(1)</sup> K. McAloon, *On the sequence of  $HOD_n$  models*, Fund. Math. 82 (1974), pp. 85–93.

First note some useful properties of the notions of forcing defined above.

**FACT 2.**  $C_n$  is  $\omega_{f(n)-1}^L$  closed,  $|C_n| = \omega_{\lambda+1}^L$ .

$P$  is  $\omega_{f(0)-1}^L$  closed,  $P = \omega_{\lambda+1}^L$ .

$P_n$  is  $\omega_{f(n)-1}^L$  closed,  $P_n = \omega_{\lambda+1}^L$ .

Let  $\kappa_0$  be the first cardinal larger than  $\lambda$  and such that  $\omega_{\kappa_0} = \kappa_0$ .

**DEFINITION 3.**

$$p \in Q \leftrightarrow \text{func}(p) \ \& \ (p \subseteq \kappa_0 \times 2) \ \& \ (\alpha)_{\kappa_0} (\alpha \geq \lambda \rightarrow |p \upharpoonright \omega_{\alpha+2}^L| \omega_{\alpha+2}^L),$$

and  $\leq$  is the reversed inclusion.

Let  $G \times H$  be  $(P \times Q)$ -generic over  $M$ . Then  $M[G \times H] \models \text{ZFC}$ . We define, in  $M[G \times H]$ , some auxiliary sets and functions.

**DEFINITION 4.**

$$G_n = \{q \in C_n : p(n) \leq q \text{ for some } p \in G\}, \quad g_n = \bigcup G_n,$$

$$A_\alpha = \left(\bigcup H\right)^{-1}(0) \cap (\omega_{\alpha+2}^L - \omega_{\alpha+1}^L).$$

Let us recall that  $x$  is a *good subset of a cardinal*  $\kappa$  if  $x$  is a cofinal subset of  $\kappa$  and  $x$  has no constructible cofinal subset. One can observe that  $g_n$  collapses  $\omega_{\lambda+1}^L$  on  $\omega_{f(n)-1}^L$  for  $n < \omega$  and  $A_\alpha$  is a good subset of  $\omega_{\alpha+2}^L$  for  $\lambda \leq \alpha < \kappa_0$ . Let  $J$  be the Gödel pair function for ordinals.

**DEFINITION 5.**

$$C_m^0 = \{\lambda + J(\alpha, \beta) * \omega + m + 2 : g_m(\alpha) = \beta\},$$

$$C_m^{k+1} = \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in C_m^k\}, \quad B_n = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-n} C_m^k.$$

**FACT 6.**  $\alpha \in B_{n+1} \leftrightarrow A_\alpha \subseteq B_n$ .

**DEFINITION 7.**  $N = L[B_0]$ .

**3. Properties of  $N$ .** Let us note that

$$L[B_n] \models \omega_{\lambda+2}^L = \omega_{f(n)}^L.$$

This is true because

$$g_n = \{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \omega_{f(n)-1}^L \times \omega_{\lambda+1}^L : \lambda + J(\alpha, \beta) * \omega + m + 2 \in B_n\} \in L[B_n].$$

All we need to complete the proof of the Theorem is the equality  $L[B_n] = \text{HOD}_n$ . We prove it by induction. For  $n = 0$ , see Definition 7. Here is the induction step.

**FACT 8.**  $L[B_{n+1}] = (\text{HOD})^{L[B_n]}$ .

Since  $L[B_n]$  may be obtained as a generic extension of  $L[B_{n+1}]$  via a homogeneous notion of forcing, the inclusion

$$(\text{HOD})^{L[B_n]} \subseteq L[B_{n+1}]$$

holds.

On the other hand, from Fact 6 we get

$$\alpha \in B_{n+1} \leftrightarrow L[B_n] \models \text{"}\omega_{\alpha+2} \text{ has a good subset"}$$

It follows that  $B_{n+1} \subseteq (\text{HOD})^{L[B_n]}$ , which implies the reverse inclusion. This completes the induction step and the proof of the Theorem is finished.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY  
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 27.10.1986;  
en version définitive le 24.5.1988*

---