

ON BASES, COMPACTNESS AND WEAK CONVERGENCE  
IN THE BANACH SPACE  $A_p$

BY

J. T. MARTI (ZÜRICH)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) be the Banach space of all holomorphic functions  $f(z)$  in the unit disc  $D = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$  such that  $\int_D |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty$ , with norm

$$\|f\| = \left[ \int_D |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p},$$

where  $\mu$  is the planar Lebesgue measure in  $D$ . It turns out that  $A_p$  is a closed linear subspace of the Banach space  $L_p(\mu)$  of the set of all equivalence classes of  $p$ -th-power integrable complex functions on  $D$ . The usual Hardy spaces  $H_p$  [3] are the Banach spaces of all elements of  $A_p$  for which the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \left[ (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\varphi})|^p d\varphi \right]^{1/p} \mid 0 \leq r < 1 \right\}$$

is finite.  $A_p$ , as a set is distinct of  $H_p$ , i.e. for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the functions in  $H_p$  form a proper subset of  $A_p$ . This is easily seen by choosing, for example, a suitable branch of the function  $(1-z)^{-1/p}$ , which is in  $A_p$  but not in  $H_p$ . Next, a sequence  $\{x_j\}$  in a Banach space  $X$  is called a *basis* for  $X$  if every  $x$  in  $X$  has the unique series expansion  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \leq n} a_j x_j$  with scalar coefficients  $a_j$ , where the convergence is in the strong topology of  $X$ . It is known that the sequence  $\{x_j\}$  defined by

$$x_j(z) = ((j+1)/\pi)^{1/2} z^j, \quad z \in D, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots,$$

forms an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space  $A_2$  and that the same sequence constitutes a basis for  $H_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) [3].

In this paper we state the result that  $\{x_j\}$  is a basis for  $A_p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). This gives an affirmative answer to the question, whether the Taylor series expansion at  $z = 0$  for each function  $f$  in  $A_p$  converges to  $f$  in the topology of  $A_p$ . However, it remains an open problem whether  $\{x_j\}$  forms a basis for  $A_1$ . Next, as in the case of the spaces  $C(S)$  and  $L_p$ , it is possible

to specify weak convergence and conditionally compact sets in  $A_p$ . Indeed, necessary and sufficient conditions can be given for the weak convergence of a sequence in  $A_p$  and also for the elements of conditionally compact sets in  $A_p$ , both relating the abstract concepts with the special form of the elements as functions of the complex variable  $z$ . Finally, it is shown that the shift operator  $T$  in  $A_p$ , as usual defined by  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z)$ ,  $z \in D$ , has the following interesting spectral properties: The spectrum is  $\bar{D}$ , the point spectrum is empty, the residual spectrum is  $D$  and the continuous spectrum is the unit circle.

**2. A basis for the Banach space  $A_p$ .** The proof that  $A_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) is a Banach space is, in principle, based on the following estimate. It is easy to see that every  $f$  in  $A_p$  satisfies the mean value equation

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi(1-|z|^2)} \int_{|\lambda-z| < 1-|z|} f(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda), \quad z \in D,$$

from which one obtains

$$(1) \quad |f(z)| \leq [\pi(1-|z|^2)]^{-1/p} \|f\|, \quad z \in D.$$

We omit this proof, since it follows directly the lines of that for the case  $p = 2$  given in [2], where Hölder's inequality is used instead of Schwarz's.

The following lemma is substantial for proving the theorem on the existence of the basis  $\{x_j\}$  for  $A_p$ :

LEMMA 1. *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{x_j\}$  is total in  $A_p$ .*

Proof. If  $f$  is any element of  $A_p$  with Taylor series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j$  in  $D$ , we define the function  $f_t$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , on  $D$  by  $f_t(z) = f(tz)$ ,  $z \in D$ . Since the Taylor series for  $f(z)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $|z| \leq t$ , the Taylor series  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j z^j$  for  $f_t(z)$  converges absolutely and uniformly in  $D$ . Hence  $f_t$  is an element of  $\overline{\text{sp}}\{x_j\}$  in  $A_p$  for each  $t \in (0, 1)$ . We show that, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $t$  in  $(0, 1)$  for which  $\|f - f_t\| < \varepsilon$ .

Since  $f \in L_1(\mu)$ , there is a  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that

$$\left[ \int_{1-\delta < |z| < 1} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} < \varepsilon/5.$$

Taking  $r = 1 - \delta/2$ , we thus have

$$\left[ \int_{r < |z| < 1} |f_t(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} = \left[ t^{-2} \int_{tr < |z| < t} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} < 2\varepsilon/5$$

for  $t \in (r, 1)$ . The uniform and absolute convergence of the Taylor series for  $f(z)$  for  $|z| \leq r$  implies the existence of an integer  $n$  for which

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j(1-t^j)z^j| < \pi^{-1/p} \varepsilon/5,$$

$|z| \leq r$  and  $t \in (r, 1)$ . Furthermore, there is a fixed  $t \in (r, 1)$ , depending on  $n$ , such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n |\alpha_j(1-t^j)z^j| < \pi^{-1/p} \varepsilon/5, \quad |z| \leq r.$$

Therefore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_t\| &\leq \left[ \int_{0 < |z| < r} |f(z) - f_t(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} + \left[ \int_{r < |z| < 1} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + \left[ \int_{r < |z| < 1} |f_t(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} \\ &< \left[ \int_{0 < |z| < r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j(1-t^j)z^j \right|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + \left[ \int_{0 < |z| < r} \left| \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_j(1-t^j)z^j \right|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} + \varepsilon/5 + 2\varepsilon/5 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result.

There is a more general concept than that of a basis [5]: A biorthogonal system  $\{x_j, x_j^*\}$  is called a *Markuševič basis* for  $X$ , if  $\{x_j\}$  is total in  $X$  and  $\{x_j^*\}$  in  $X^*$  is such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $x_j^*(x) = 0$ , all  $j$ , implies  $x = 0$ . It is clear that the functionals  $x_j^* \in A_p^*$ , defined by

$$x_j^*(f) = \int_D f(z) \overline{x_j(z)} d\mu(z),$$

are biorthogonal to the  $x_j$ 's used in the above lemma. Also,  $x_j^*(f)$  is proportional to the  $j$ -th coefficient of the Taylor series for  $f$  at  $z = 0$ . From this it follows that  $x_j^*(f) = 0$ ,  $f \in A_p$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , implies  $f = 0$ . Thus, as an immediate consequence of Lemma 1, one obtains

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\{x_j\}$  is a Markuševič basis for  $A_p$ .*

One of the most important theorems in the theory of bases is the theorem of Grinblyum-Nikol'skii [6] which states that a sequence  $\{x_j\}$  in  $X$  is a basis for  $\overline{\text{sp}}\{x_j\}$  if and only if there is a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j \leq m} \alpha_j x_j \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_j x_j \right\|$$

for every pair of integers  $m, n$  with  $m \leq n$  and any scalars  $a_j$ . Now, using the fact that for  $1 < p < \infty$  the trigonometrical system forms [7] a basis for  $L_p[0, 2\pi]$  and by a twofold application of the Grinblyum-Nikol'skiĭ theorem, it is possible to prove

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{x_j\}$  is a basis for  $A_p$  and the associated biorthogonal set to  $\{x_j\}$  is  $\{x_j^*\}$ .*

**3. Compact sets and weak convergence in  $A_p$ .** It is of special interest to investigate the conditionally compact sets in  $A_p$ ; as has been done for many other important spaces, such as  $C(S)$  (theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli) or  $L_p$  (cf. [1]).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A set  $K$  in  $A_p$  is conditionally compact if and only if*

- (i)  $K$  is bounded,
- (ii) the functions in  $K$  are equicontinuous on each compact subset of  $D$  and
- (iii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_{r < |z| < 1} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) = 0$  uniformly for all  $f$  in  $K$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be any compact set in  $D$  and let  $\delta = 1 - \sup\{|z| \mid z \in S\}$ . If  $K$  is conditionally compact, then  $K$  is bounded and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $K$  such that

$$\inf_{i \leq n} \|f - f_i\| < (\pi\delta^2)^{1/p} \varepsilon/3$$

for each  $f$  in  $K$  (i.e.  $K$  is totally bounded). Then, by estimate (1) we have on  $S$ ,  $|f(z)| \leq (\pi\delta^2)^{-1/p} \|f\|$ . Given any  $z_0$  in  $S$  we can choose a neighborhood  $N$  of  $z_0$  in  $D$  such that

$$\sup_{i \leq n} |f_i(z) - f_i(z_0)| < \varepsilon/3, \quad z \in N.$$

Thus for  $f \in K$  and some  $i \leq n$  we have on  $N \cap S$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(z_0)| &\leq |f(z) - f_i(z)| + |f_i(z) - f_i(z_0)| + |f_i(z_0) - f(z_0)| \\ &< 2(\pi\delta^2)^{-1/p} \|f - f_i\| + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

showing that the functions in  $K$  are equicontinuous on  $S$ .

In a similar manner we can prove the last statement of the theorem. Let  $r < 1$  be such that  $\sup_{i \leq n} \|\chi_r f_i\| < \varepsilon/2$ , where  $\chi_r$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\{z \in D \mid |z| > r\}$ . By Minkowski's inequality one obtains for some  $i \leq n$ ,

$$\|\chi_r f\| \leq \|\chi_r(f - f_i)\| + \|\chi_r f_i\| < \|f - f_i\| + \varepsilon/2 < 2\varepsilon$$

and so  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\chi_r f\| = 0$  uniformly for all  $f$  in  $K$ .

To prove the converse we assume (i), (ii) and (iii) to be true. Let  $r < 1$ . By (ii) the subset  $K_r = \{(1 - \chi_r)f \mid f \in K\}$  of the Banach space

$C(D_r)$ ,  $D_r = \{z \in D \mid |z| \leq r\}$  (the norm in  $D_r$  given by  $\|g\|_\infty = \sup\{|g(z)| \mid z \in D_r\}$ ,  $g \in C(D_r)$ ) is equicontinuous and by (i),

$$\sup\{\|g\|_\infty \mid g \in K_r\} \leq [\pi(1-r)^2]^{-1/p} \sup\{\|f\| \mid f \in K\} < \infty.$$

Thus the theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli ([1], p. 266) applies and  $K_r$  is conditionally compact, hence totally bounded in  $C(D_r)$ . Therefore, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $K$  such that

$$\inf_{i \leq n} \|(1 - \chi_r)(f - f_i)\|_\infty < \pi^{-1/p} \varepsilon / 3$$

for every  $f \in K$ . According to (iii) we now take  $r$  such that  $\sup\{\|\chi_r f\| \mid f \in K\} < \varepsilon / 3$  and have for every  $f$  in  $K$  and some  $i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_i\| &\leq \|(1 - \chi_r)(f - f_i)\| + \|\chi_r(f - f_i)\| \\ &< \pi^{1/p} \|(1 - \chi_r)(f - f_i)\| + 2\varepsilon / 3 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $K$  is totally bounded in  $A_p$ . Since  $\bar{K}$  is complete, it follows that  $K$  is a conditionally compact subset of  $A_p$  (or equivalently, since  $A_p$  is a metric space,  $K$  is sequentially compact).

In the case  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $A_p$  is reflexive and it is possible to characterize weak convergence in  $A_p$ . One observes that from estimate (1) it immediately follows that for any fixed  $z$  in  $D$ , the functional  $x_z^*$  on  $A_p$  defined by  $x_z^*(f) = f(z)$ ,  $f \in A_p$ , belongs to  $A_p^*$  so that the weak convergence of a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_p$  implies simple convergence of  $f_n(z)$  in  $D$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *A sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $A_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , converges weakly to zero if and only if it is bounded and for each  $j \geq 0$  the coefficient  $a_{nj}$  of the Taylor series  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty a_{nj} z^j$  for  $f_n(z)$  converges to zero with  $n$ .*

**Proof.** If  $\{f_n\}$  converges weakly to zero,  $\{f_n\}$  must be bounded. Moreover, since

$$a_{nj} = ((j+1)/\pi)^{1/2} x_j^*(f_n),$$

where  $\{x_j^*\}$  is the biorthogonal sequence in  $A_p^*$  which belongs to the basis  $\{x_j\}$  of Theorem 3, it follows that

$$\lim_n a_{nj} = ((j+1)/\pi)^{1/2} \lim_n x_j^*(f_n) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

This is the necessary condition.

Conversely, suppose that  $\lim_n a_{nj} = 0$  for all  $j$ . Since  $A_p$  is reflexive, the basis  $\{x_j\}$  for  $A_p$  has, by a known theorem of James ([4], p. 519), the following property:

For any  $x^* \in A_p^*$  one has

$$\limsup_m \{ |x^*(x)| \mid x \in \overline{\text{sp}}\{x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots\}, \|x\| = 1 \} = 0$$

(i.e. the basis is shrinking). Now, due to the principle of uniform boundedness, there is a constant  $K \geq 1$  such that

$$\sup_m \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x_j^*(x) x_j \right\| \mid \|x\| \leq 1 \right\} \leq K.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left\{ \left\| x^* \left( \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x_j^*(x) x_j \right) \right\| \mid x \in A_p, \|x\| \leq 1 \right\} \\ \leq \sup \{ |x^*(x)| \mid x \in \overline{\text{sp}}\{x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots\}, \|x\| \leq K \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\limsup_m \left\{ \left\| x^* \left( \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x_j^*(x) x_j \right) \right\| \mid x \in A_p, \|x\| \leq 1 \right\} = 0$$

and we have for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  an  $m$  such that

$$\sup_n \left| x^* \left( f_n - \sum_{j \leq m} x_j^*(f_n) x_j \right) \right| < \varepsilon/2,$$

where without loss of generality one may take  $x^*$  and all  $f_n$ 's of norm one. Because there is an  $n_\varepsilon$ , depending on  $m$ , for which

$$\left\| \sum_{j \leq m} x_j^*(f_n) x_j \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j \leq m} (\pi/(j+1))^{1/2} a_{nj} x_j \right\| < \varepsilon/2, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon,$$

one gets

$$|x^*(f_n)| \leq \left| x^* \left( f_n - \sum_{j \leq m} x_j^*(f_n) x_j \right) \right| + \left\| \sum_{j \leq m} x_j^*(f_n) x_j \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon,$$

and the theorem follows.

**4. The spectrum of the shift operator.** Let  $T: A_p \rightarrow A_p$  be the linear operator defined by  $(Tf)(z) = zf(z)$ ,  $z \in D$ . It is immediate that  $T$ , usually called *shift operator*, is bounded, with norm  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Therefore the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of  $T$  must be contained in the closed unit disc  $\bar{D}$ . If  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\sigma_r(T)$  and  $\sigma_c(T)$  denote the point spectrum, residual spectrum and continuous spectrum respectively, and if  $\delta D$  denotes the unit circle  $\bar{D} - D$ , we can determine the partition of  $\bar{D}$  into the mutually exclusive sets  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\sigma_r(T)$  and  $\sigma_c(T)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *The shift operator  $T$  has the following spectral properties:*

- (i)  $\sigma(T) = \bar{D}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma_p(T)$  is empty,

- (iii)  $\sigma_r(T) = D,$
- (iv)  $\sigma_c(T) = \delta D.$

Proof. Assume  $\lambda \in D$  but  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ . Then  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  would exist and would be bounded in  $A_p$ . Thus  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}f$  would be in  $A_p$  for any  $f$  in  $A_p$  which is a contradiction since  $(\lambda - z)^{-1}f(z)$  is not holomorphic in  $D$ . This shows that  $D \subset \sigma(T)$  and, since  $\sigma(T)$  is closed, (i) follows. Next, because  $(\lambda I - T)f = 0, f \in A_p,$  implies  $(\lambda - z)f(z) = 0$  and thus  $f(z) = 0, z \neq \lambda,$  it is clear that  $\sigma_p(T)$  is empty.

To determine whether a point  $\lambda$  of  $\sigma(T)$  is in  $\sigma_r(T)$  or in  $\sigma_c(T),$  we look at the range of the operator  $\lambda I - T$ . Let first  $\lambda$  be in  $D$ . Suppose that the range of  $\lambda I - T$  is dense in  $A_p$ . Then, given  $f$  in  $A_p$  with  $f(\lambda) \neq 0,$  there would exist a sequence  $\{g_n\}$  in  $A_p$  such that  $\lim_n (\lambda I - T)g_n = f$ . But by estimate (1) this would imply that

$$\lim_n (\lambda - z)g_n(z) = f(z), \quad z \in D,$$

and hence that  $f(\lambda) = 0$  which is impossible. Thus  $D \subset \sigma_r(T)$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda$  is of modulus 1, then the range of  $\lambda I - T$  is dense in  $A_p$  (it is always assumed that  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), i.e. for every  $f \in A_p$  there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\}$  in  $A_p$  with

$$\lim_n \|f - (\lambda I - T)g_n\| = 0.$$

Let  $\{g_n\}$  be defined by

$$g_n(z) = f(z) \sum_{j=0}^n (z^j / \lambda^{j+1}), \quad z \in D.$$

Clearly,  $g_n \in A_p$ . By

$$(\lambda - z)g_n(z) = f(z) \left[ \sum_{j=0}^n (z/\lambda)^j - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (z/\lambda)^j \right] = f(z) [1 - (z/\lambda)^{n+1}],$$

it is apparent that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - (\lambda I - T)g_n\| &= \left[ \int_D |f(z)z^{n+1}|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq r^{n+1} \left[ \int_{0 < |z| < r} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p} + \left[ \int_{r < |z| < 1} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right]^{1/p}, \quad 0 < r < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0,$  it is now possible to choose  $r$  such that the last term on the right-hand side of the above inequality is smaller than  $\varepsilon/2$ . On the other hand, the first term on the right is dominated by  $r^{n+1}\|f\|$  so that  $\|f - (\lambda I - T)g_n\| < \varepsilon$  for  $n$  large enough. Hence  $(\lambda I - T)(A_p) = A_p,$

implying that  $\delta D \subset \sigma_c(T)$ . Since the sets  $\sigma_r(T)$  and  $\sigma_c(T)$  are disjoint, one obtains the results (iii) and (iv).

**COROLLARY 7.** *T is not compact.*

The result is an immediate consequence of the fact that the non-zero points in the residual spectrum of a compact linear operator are isolated.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators I*, New York 1958.
- [2] P. R. Halmos, G. Lumer and J. J. Schäffer, *Square roots of operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 4 (1953), p. 142–149.
- [3] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
- [4] R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Annals of Mathematics (2) 52 (1950), p. 518–527.
- [5] A. I. Markushevich, *Sur les bases (au sens large) dans les espaces linéaires*, Doklady Akad. Nauk (N. S.) 41 (1943), p. 227–229.
- [6] В. Н. Никольский, *Наилучшее приближение и базис в пространстве Фреше*, Доклады Академии Наук СССР 59 (1948), p. 639–642.
- [7] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, Warszawa 1935.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 12. 1968*