

*A GENERALIZATION OF THE DIRECT PRODUCT
OF UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS*

BY

ABRAHAM GOETZ (NOTRE DAME, INDIANA)

This note is an attempt to generalize the direct product of algebras so that it could be applied to algebras not necessarily similar. Such generalized product might be useful in constructing various algebras from known ones. It is in the spirit of the treatment of universal algebras in Marczewski's independence theory (see [3]), where the clone of all algebraic operations is essential rather than the fundamental operations themselves. Since the assumption of similarity of algebras is sacrificed, isomorphism and homomorphisms must be replaced by weak isomorphisms and weak homomorphisms in the sense introduced in [1]. The product constructed here will have the associative property. Also the projections of the product onto the factors are weak homomorphisms.

1. Notation. Let $\mathfrak{A}_t = (A_t, \Omega_t)$, $t \in T$, be a family of finitary algebras indexed by the elements of a set T . We shall denote by $A_t = [\Omega_t]$ the set of all algebraic operations of the algebra \mathfrak{A}_t , i.e., all operations which can be obtained from Ω_t and the trivial operations e_j^n , such that

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_n e_j^n = x_j,$$

by compositions. The set of all algebraic n -ary operations is denoted by $A_t^{(n)}$ or $[\Omega_t]^{(n)}$.

Elements of the cartesian product $A = \prod_{t \in T} A_t$ will be denoted by the letters x, y, \dots, a, b, \dots and considered as mappings $T \rightarrow \bigcup_{t \in T} A_t$ such that $x(t) \in A_t$. The mapping $p_t: A \rightarrow A_t$ defined by $p_t(x) = x(t)$ is called the t -th projection. If E is a subset of $A = \prod_{t \in T} A_t$, then we use the notation

$$E(t) = p_t(E) = \{x(t) \mid x \in E\}.$$

Elements of the product $A^{(n)} = \prod_{t \in T} A_t^{(n)}$ are denoted by Greek letters, $\omega, \alpha, \beta, \dots$ and considered as n -ary operations on $A = \prod_{t \in T} A_t$; namely,

if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, then $x_1 \dots x_n \omega$ is such an element of A that

$$(1) \quad [x_1 \dots x_n \omega](t) = x_1(t) \dots x_n(t) \omega(t)$$

for every t .

We denote by A the union of all $A^{(n)}$:

$$A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{(n)}.$$

The elements of A_t [respectively A_t], unless they are projections $x(t)$ [$\omega(t)$] of an element of A [A], are denoted by letters with a superscript t : x^t [ω^t].

In the case of two algebras $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Omega)$, $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \bar{\Omega})$ we shall use the notation $A \times B$, elements of $A \times B$ are denoted by (x, y) , elements of $[\Omega] \times [\bar{\Omega}]$ by $\omega \times \bar{\omega}$.

For a subset $U \subset T$ we denote by A_U , $A_U^{(n)}$, A_U the corresponding partial products; more precisely:

$$(2) \quad A_U = \prod_{t \in U} A_t, \quad A_U^{(n)} = \prod_{t \in U} A_t^{(n)}, \quad A_U = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_U^{(n)}.$$

Elements of A_U [respectively A_U] are denoted by x^U [respectively ω^U], unless they are restrictions of an element x [ω] of A [A] to U in which case we rather use the notation $x|U$ [$\omega|U$].

For any subset $\varrho \subset A$ we denote by ϱ_U the restriction of ϱ to A_U , i.e., $\varrho_U = \{\omega|U : \omega \in \varrho\}$.

Given a partition $\pi = \{U_s : s \in S\}$ of the set T into disjoint non-empty subsets, $T = \bigcup_s U_s$, we define the subset ϱ_π of $\prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}$ as

$$(3) \quad \varrho_\pi = \left\{ \bar{\omega} \in \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s} : \bar{\omega}(s) = \omega|U_s \text{ for some } \omega \in [\varrho] \right\},$$

where $[\varrho]$ is the set of all operations in A derived from the operations of ϱ and the trivial operations by composition.

2. Weak homomorphisms. We recall the notion of weak homomorphism.

Given two algebras $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \bar{\Omega})$ and $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Omega)$, a mapping $h: B \rightarrow A$ is called a *weak homomorphism* if

(r) for every $\bar{\omega} \in \bar{\Omega}^{(n)}$ there exists an $\omega \in [\Omega]^{(n)}$ such that

$$(4) \quad h(y_1 \dots y_n \bar{\omega}) = h(y_1) \dots h(y_n) \omega,$$

and

(l) for every $\omega \in \Omega^{(n)}$ there exists an $\bar{\omega} \in [\bar{\Omega}]^{(n)}$ such that (4) holds.

We shall call mappings satisfying condition (r) *r-morphisms*, those satisfying (l) will be called *l-morphisms*. Thus, a weak homomorphism is a mapping which is simultaneously an *r-morphism* and *l-morphism*.

The class of all universal algebras with l -morphism forms a category which we shall call the l -category of algebras. The category of all universal algebras with r -morphism will be called the r -category. The category of all algebras with weak homomorphism as morphisms will be called the lr -category of algebras. Note that the isomorphisms in all three categories coincide; they are the weak isomorphisms of [1].

3. The ϱ -product. Let ϱ be a relation in (i.e., a subset of) $\prod_{t \in T} A_t$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\varrho \subset A$, i.e., for every $\omega \in \varrho$ all $\omega(t)$ are of the same arity,
- (ii) $[\varrho](t) \supset \Omega(t)$.

We define the ϱ -product of the family $\{\mathfrak{A}_t\}$ as the algebra

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{A} = \prod_{t \in T}^{\varrho} \mathfrak{A}_t = (A, \varrho) = \left(\prod_{t \in T} A_t, \varrho \right).$$

The set of all algebraic operations of \mathfrak{A} is $[\varrho]$ and the set of all algebraic n -ary operations is $[\varrho]^{(n)}$. We shall use the notation $\mathfrak{A} \times_{\varrho} \mathfrak{B}$ for the product of two algebras.

LEMMA 1. *If ϱ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), then $[\varrho](t) = A_t$ for every $t \in T$.*

Proof. Obviously, since $\varrho \subset A$, $[\varrho](t) \subset A_t$. It remains to prove the other inclusion. Let $\omega^t \in A_t$. The operation ω^t is the result of a finite chain of compositions of trivial operations and operations of Ω_t . We call the number of operations involved the *length* of ω^t . If ω^t is non-trivial and of length 1, then $\omega^t \in \Omega_t$, and by (ii) there exists an $\omega \in [\varrho]$ such that $\omega(t) = \omega^t$. Suppose now that for every operation $\alpha^t \in A_t$ of length $\leq n$ there exists an operation $\alpha \in [\varrho]$ such that $\alpha(t) = \alpha^t$. Let $\omega^t \in A_t$ be of length $n+1$. Then we can find an operation $\alpha^t \in A_t^{(k)}$ and operations $\alpha_1^t, \alpha_2^t, \dots, \alpha_k^t$ of length $\leq n$, such that

$$\omega^t = \alpha_1^t \alpha_2^t \dots \alpha_k^t \alpha^t.$$

By our assumption there are operations $\alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in [\varrho]$ such that $\alpha^t = \alpha(t)$, $\alpha_1^t = \alpha_1(t)$, \dots , $\alpha_k^t = \alpha_k(t)$. Set $\omega = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \alpha$. Then $\omega \in [\varrho]$ and $\omega(t) = \omega^t$. Consequently, $A_t \subset [\varrho](t)$.

THEOREM 1. *The projections $p_t: A \rightarrow A_t$ are weak homomorphisms of algebras.*

Proof. Let $\omega \in [\varrho]$ be an algebraic n -ary operation of \mathfrak{A} . By the lemma, $\omega(t) \in A_t^{(n)}$ is an algebraic n -ary operation of \mathfrak{A}_t , and

$$(6) \quad p_t(x_1 \dots x_n \omega) = x_1(t) \dots x_n(t) \omega(t) = p_t(x_1) \dots p_t(x_n) \omega(t).$$

Thus p_t is an r -morphism.

Given now an operation $\omega^t \in A_t^{(n)}$, we can find (using Lemma 1) an operation $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ such that $\omega^t = \omega(t)$ and (4) holds again. Thus p_t is an l -morphism too.

Two algebras $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Omega)$ and $\mathfrak{B}^* = (B, \Omega^*)$ with the same set of elements are called *equivalent*, in symbols $\mathfrak{B} \sim \mathfrak{B}^*$, if $[\Omega] = [\Omega^*]$. Equivalence can also be expressed in terms of weak isomorphism by saying that \mathfrak{B} and \mathfrak{B}^* are equivalent if and only if the identity mapping $B \rightarrow B$ is a weak isomorphism.

It is easy to notice that if $\mathfrak{A}_t \sim \mathfrak{A}_t^*$ for every t , then

$$\prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t \sim \prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t^*.$$

If, moreover, $[\varrho] = [\varrho^*]$, then

$$\prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t \sim \prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t^*.$$

4. Associativity of the ϱ -product. Consider a subset $U \subset T$ and a partition $\pi = \{U_s : s \in S\}$ of T into disjoint non-empty sets.

LEMMA 2. *If ϱ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), then ϱ_U satisfies the same conditions restricted to U ; in other words, $\varrho_U \subset A_U$, $[\varrho_U]_t \supset A_t$ for $t \in U$.*

If $\omega^U \in \varrho_U$, then $\omega^U = \omega|U$ for some $\omega \in A$. Therefore there exists an n such that $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ and $\omega|U \in A_U^{(n)} \subset A_U$. Thus $\varrho_U \subset A_U$.

The second condition is obviously satisfied since it is a particular case of (ii).

LEMMA 3. *If ϱ satisfies (i) and (ii), then ϱ_π satisfies the same conditions for the family of algebras $\{(A_{U_s}, A_{U_s}) : s \in S\}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\omega} \in \varrho_\pi$. Then there exists an $\omega \in \varrho$ such that $\bar{\omega}(s) = \omega|U_s$. But $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ for some n , thus $\omega|U_s = \bar{\omega}(s) \in A_{U_s}^{(n)}$. Consequently, $\bar{\omega} \in \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}^{(n)}$ which shows that

$$\varrho_\pi \subset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}^{(n)}.$$

To prove the second property, take $\bar{\omega}^s \in A_{U_s}^{(n)}$. There exists an $\omega \in [\varrho]^{(n)}$ such that $\bar{\omega}^s = \omega|U_s$. Let $\bar{\omega} \in \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}^{(n)}$ be defined by $\bar{\omega}(s) = \omega|U_s$. Then $\bar{\omega} \in \varrho_\pi$ and, obviously, $\bar{\omega}(s) = \omega^s$. Thus $[\varrho_\pi](s) \supset A_{U_s}$ for every $s \in S$.

LEMMA 4. $[\varrho_\pi] = \varrho_\pi$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $[\varrho_\pi] \subset \varrho_\pi$. We shall prove the lemma by induction with respect to the length of the operation $\bar{\omega} \in [\varrho_\pi]$. If $\bar{\omega}$ is of length 1, then $\bar{\omega} \in \varrho_\pi$. Suppose now that all operations $\bar{a} \in [\varrho_\pi]$ of length $\leq n$ belong to ϱ_π and let $\bar{\omega} \in [\varrho_\pi]$ be of length $n+1$. Then $\bar{\omega} = \bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k \bar{a}$ for some $\bar{a} \in \varrho_\pi$ and $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_k$ of length $\leq n$. Consequently, there exist operations $a, a_1, \dots, a_k \in [\varrho]$ such that $\bar{a}_j(s) = a_j|U_s$ and $\bar{a}(s) = a|U_s$. The operation $\omega = a_1 \dots a_k a \in [\varrho]$ and $\omega|U_s = \bar{\omega}(s)$. Consequently, $\bar{\omega} \in \varrho_\pi$.

THEOREM 2. *The canonical mapping $\varphi: \prod_{t \in T} A_t \rightarrow \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}$ defined by formula*

$$(7) \quad [\varphi(x)](s) = x|U_s$$

is a weak isomorphism of algebras

$$\prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t \rightarrow \prod_{s \in S} \varrho_\pi \left(\prod_{t \in U_s} \mathfrak{A}_t \right).$$

Proof. For a given $\bar{x} \in \prod_{s \in S} A_{U_s}$ define $x \in A$ as follows:

$$x(t) = [\bar{x}(s)](t), \quad t \in U_s, s \in S.$$

Then, obviously, $\bar{x} = \varphi(x)$ and so the mapping is onto. On the other hand, if $x, y \in A$ and $x \neq y$, then there exists a $t_0 \in T$ such that $x(t_0) \neq y(t_0)$. Further, $t_0 \in U_{s_0}$ for some s_0 and, consequently, $x|U_{s_0} \neq y|U_{s_0}$ or $[\varphi(x)](s_0) \neq [\varphi(y)](s_0)$. Thus $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi(y)$, and the mapping is one-to-one.

Let now $\omega \in [\varrho]^{(n)}$ and let $\bar{\omega}(s) = \omega|U_s$. Then $\bar{\omega} \in \varrho_\pi$ and satisfies the condition

$$\varphi(x_1) \dots \varphi(x_n) \bar{\omega} = \varphi(x_1 \dots x_n \omega)$$

showing that φ is an r -morphism. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} [[\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n \omega)](s)](t) &= [x_1|U_s \dots x_n|U_s \omega|U_s](t) \\ &= [[\varphi(x_1)](s) \dots [\varphi(x_n)](s)](t). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that φ is a weak isomorphism it suffices now to prove that $\omega \rightarrow \bar{\omega}$ is a one-to-one mapping of $[\varrho]$ onto $[\varrho_\pi] = \varrho_\pi$. That the mapping is onto follows immediately from definition (3) of ϱ_π . To prove that it is one-to-one take $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in A$ and $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$. Then for some $t_0 \in T$ we have $\omega_1(t_0) \neq \omega_2(t_0)$. Let $t_0 \in U_{s_0}$. Then we have $\omega_1|U_{s_0} \neq \omega_2|U_{s_0}$ but this means that $\bar{\omega}_1(s_0) \neq \bar{\omega}_2(s_0)$ and $\bar{\omega}_1 \neq \bar{\omega}_2$.

5. Examples. A. The direct product of similar algebras is a particular case of ϱ -products. In this case all Ω_t 's coincide and the relation ϱ is the diagonal of the product $\prod_{t \in T} \Omega_t$.

The direct product is useful in construction of new algebras from the known ones. A factorization of an algebra into a direct product gives additional insight into the structure of the algebra. For example Świerczkowski's four-element algebra \mathfrak{S} (see [3]) is the direct product of two Post algebras \mathfrak{P}_* .

We think that the notion of ϱ -products might have similar applications. The following example deals with the ϱ -product of trivial algebras, i.e., algebras with trivial operations only. The direct product of such algebras is always the trivial algebra.

B. Consider n trivial algebras $\mathfrak{A}_i = (A_i, \emptyset)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The sets $A_i^{(k)}$ consist of all trivial k -ary operations $e_j^{(k)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$). We use the same notation for the trivial operations in all algebras. Let ϱ consist of one non-trivial n -ary operation

$$\omega = (e_1^n, e_2^n, \dots, e_n^n).$$

The ϱ -product

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \varrho \mathfrak{A}_i = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n A_i, \{\omega\} \right)$$

is an n -dimensional diagonal algebra introduced by J. Płonka in [4]. The representation theorem proved by J. Płonka for diagonal algebras shows that every n -dimensional diagonal algebra admits this kind of factorization.

We shall return to some aspects of this example later.

C. Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, \Omega)$ be a universal algebra and $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \{\cup\})$ a semilattice. Denote by \cup^n the n -ary operation $x_1 \dots x_n \cup^n = x_1 \cup x_2 \cup \dots \cup x_n$ of \mathfrak{B} . Let now $\varrho \in [\Omega] \times [\{\cup\}]$ consists of all pairs $[\omega, \cup^{n(\omega)}]$, where $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n(\omega)$ is the arity of ω . The ϱ -product $\mathfrak{A} \times_{\varrho} \mathfrak{B}$ is a particular case of the sum of a direct system of algebras intruced by J. Płonka in [5]. Here B serves as the set of indices, all algebras of the system are isomorphic and the homomorphisms φ_{ij} are isomorphisms.

D. Let $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \vee, \wedge, ', a)$ be a Boolean algebra with an additional constant a distinct from $0, I$. As shown in [2] among the derived operations of this algebra there are the binary operations

$$x \cup y = [a \wedge (x \vee y)] \vee [a' \wedge x \wedge y],$$

$$x \cap y = [a \vee x \vee y] \wedge [a'(x \wedge y)]$$

which are distributive with respect to \vee and \wedge and $(B, \cup, \cap, ')$ is a Boolean algebra with unity a and zero a' .

Therefore the algebras \mathfrak{B} and $(B, \vee, \wedge, \cup, \cap, ')$ are equivalent. The latter algebra admits a ϱ -factorization into two Boolean algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{A}_1 = (A_1, \vee, \wedge, ')$ be the restriction of $(B, \vee, \wedge, ')$ to a , i.e., $A_1 = \{a \wedge x : x \in B\}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_2 = (A_2, \vee, \wedge, ')$ be the restriction to a' , i.e. $A_2 = \{a' \wedge x : x \in B\}$. Thus A_1 and A_2 are subsets of A and for any two elements if $x_1 \in A_1$, $x_2 \in A_2$, then $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$. Let $\varrho = \{\vee \times \vee, \wedge \times \wedge, \vee \times \wedge, \wedge \times \vee, '\}$. Then the ϱ -product $\mathfrak{A}_1 \times_{\varrho} \mathfrak{A}_2$ is isomorphic to $(B, \vee, \wedge, \cup, \cap, ')$, the isomorphism being $\Phi: (x_1, x_2) \rightarrow x_1 \vee x_2$.

One sees immediately that $\Phi^{-1}(x) = (a \wedge x, a' \wedge x)$ for $x \in B$, thus Φ is one-to-one and onto. We shall check that Φ is a homomorphism for the operation \cup ; other operations lead to similar computations. Let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in A_1 \times A_2$. Then $\Phi[(x_1, x_2)(\vee \times \wedge)(y_1, y_2)] = \Phi(x_1 \vee y_1,$

$x_2 \wedge y_2) = x_1 \vee y_1 \vee (x_2 \wedge y_2)$. But since $x_1 < a, y_1 < a$ and $x_2 < a', y_2 < a'$, we have $x_1 = a \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2), y_1 = a \wedge (y_1 \vee y_2)$ and $x_2 = a' \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2), y_2 = a' \wedge (y_1 \vee y_2)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi[(x_1, x_2)(\vee \times \wedge)(y_1, y_2)] \\ &= (a \wedge [(x_1 \vee x_2) \vee (y_1 \vee y_2)]) \vee [a' \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (y_1 \wedge y_2)] \\ &= [a \wedge (\Phi(x_1, x_2) \vee \Phi(y_1, y_2))] \vee [a' \wedge \Phi(x_1, x_2) \wedge \Phi(y_1, y_2)] \\ &= \Phi(x_1, x_2) \cup \Phi(y_1, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

6. Maximal ρ -products. We call a ρ -product of algebras *maximal* if $[\rho] = A$. It is obvious that any two maximal ρ -products of a given family of algebras are equivalent. Maximal ρ -products might be useful in investigating generating sets and independence.

THEOREM 3. *If $[\rho] = A$ and if each of the algebras \mathfrak{A}_t is generated by a finite set G_t of cardinality $\leq n$ (n independent of t), then the product $\prod_{t \in T} G_t$ generates the algebra $\prod_{t \in T} \mathfrak{A}_t$.*

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary element of A . By our assumption about G_t there exists an n -ary operation ω^t such that

$$x(t) = x_1^t \dots x_n^t \omega^t, \quad \text{where } x_i^t \in G_t.$$

Since $[\rho] = A$, the operation $\omega \in A$ such that $\omega(t) = \omega^t$ is an algebraic operation of \mathfrak{A} . The elements x_1, \dots, x_n defined by $x_1(t) = x_1^t, \dots, x_n(t) = x_n^t$ belong to $\prod_{t \in T} G_t$ and $x = x_1 \dots x_n \omega$. Thus $\prod_{t \in T} G_t$ generates \mathfrak{A} .

Note that if T is a finite set, we can drop in Theorem 3 the assumption that the cardinalities of the generating sets are bounded or even finite. We have the following:

THEOREM 4. *If $[\rho] = A$ and $\text{card } T < \aleph_0$, and if for every t, G_t generates \mathfrak{A}_t , then $\prod_{t \in T} G_t$ generates the ρ -product.*

Proof. Let $x \in A$ be an arbitrary element. Each of the projections $x(t)$ of this point is generated by a finite number n_t of elements of G^t . The number $n = \max_{t \in T} n_t$ is finite because the cardinality of T is finite.

For every t there exists an n -ary operation ω^t and a system of elements $x_1^t, \dots, x_n^t \in A^t$ such that $x^t = x_1^t \dots x_n^t \omega^t$. Define now $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ by $x_i(t) = x_i^t$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\omega(t) = \omega^t$. Obviously, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \prod_{t \in T} G_t$, $\omega \in A = [\rho]$, and $x_1 \dots x_n \omega = x$. Thus $\prod_{t \in T} G_t$ generates the product.

THEOREM 5. *In a maximal ρ -product n distinct elements $a_1 \dots a_n$ are independent if and only if for every t the projections $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ are distinct and independent.*

Proof. 1. Suppose that the elements a_1, \dots, a_n are distinct and independent but for some $t_0 \in T$ the elements $a_1(t_0), \dots, a_n(t_0)$ are not. Then there exist two distinct n -ary algebraic operations $\omega_1^{t_0}, \omega_2^{t_0} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_0}$ such that

$$(8) \quad a_1(t_0) \dots a_n(t_0) \omega_1^{t_0} = a_1(t_0) \dots a_n(t_0) \omega_2^{t_0}.$$

Define two operations $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ by formulae

$$\omega_1(t) = \begin{cases} \omega_1^{t_0} & \text{for } t = t_0, \\ e_1^n & \text{for } t \neq t_0, \end{cases} \quad \omega_2(t) = \begin{cases} \omega_2^{t_0} & \text{for } t = t_0, \\ e_1^n & \text{for } t \neq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Since the algebra is maximal, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in [\rho]$ and we have

$$a_1 \dots a_n \omega_1 = a_1 \dots a_n \omega_2,$$

because $\omega_1(t) = \omega_2(t)$ for $t \neq t_0$ and (8) holds for $t = t_0$. But this contradicts the assumption of independence of the elements a_1, \dots, a_n .

2. Suppose now that $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ are independent for every t and let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in [\rho]^{(n)}$ be two operations such that

$$a_1 \dots a_n \omega_1 = a_1 \dots a_n \omega_2.$$

Then, for every t ,

$$a_1(t) \dots a_n(t) \omega_1(t) = a_1(t) \dots a_n(t) \omega_2(t)$$

and by the assumption of independence of $a_1(t) \dots a_n(t)$ we have $\omega_1(t) = \omega_2(t)$ for every t , or $\omega_1 = \omega_2$. But this means that the elements a_1, \dots, a_n are independent.

Remark. Note that the second part of the proof does not use the assumption that $[\rho] = \mathcal{A}$. Thus if for every t the t -projections of n elements a_1, \dots, a_n of any ρ -product are independent, then the elements themselves are independent.

The following theorem characterizes the maximal ρ -product:

THEOREM 6. *The maximal ρ -product of a family of algebras $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}$ is the product of this family in the r -category of universal algebras.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \overline{\Omega})$ be any universal algebra, and let $h_t: B \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_t$ be an r -morphism for every $t \in T$. Define $h: B \rightarrow \prod_{t \in T} \mathcal{A}_t$ in the obvious way, i.e. for $y \in B$

$$[h(y)](t) = h_t(y).$$

Obviously, we have $h_t(y) = p_t(h(y))$ and h is determined uniquely by this property.

If all mappings h_t are r -morphisms, then given $\overline{\omega} \in [\overline{\Omega}]^{(n)}$ there exists in every algebra \mathcal{A}_t an operation $\omega^t \in \mathcal{A}_t^{(n)}$ such that

$$(9) \quad h_t(y_1 \dots y_n \overline{\omega}) = h_t(y_1) \dots h_t(y_n) \omega^t$$

for every n elements $y_1, \dots, y_n \in B$. Let $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ be defined by $\omega(t) = \omega^t$. Since the ϱ -product is maximal, ω is an algebraic operation. This operation satisfies the condition

$$h(y_1 \dots y_n \bar{\omega}) = h(y_1) \dots h(y_n) \omega$$

since (9) is just another form of the identity

$$[h(y_1 \dots y_n \bar{\omega})](t) = [h(y_1) \dots h(y_n) \omega](t).$$

Consequently, h is an r -morphism, which completes the proof of the theorem.

The ϱ -product of example B of section 5 is a maximal ϱ -product of trivial algebras. Since all elements of a trivial algebra are independent, Theorem 5 enables us to find the greatest number of independent elements of a diagonal algebra knowing its ϱ -factorization. If the cardinalities of the trivial algebras are m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k , then the cardinality of the ϱ -product is $m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$ and the largest independent set of elements consists of $\min(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$ elements. On the other hand, if the factors are not one-element algebras, one can always find two distinct elements which are not independent, e.g., (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) and (y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) with $x_1 \neq y_1$.

7. Quasi-maximal ϱ -products. Another look at the proof of Theorem 5 shows that the property of ϱ , which was essentially used in the proof, was that operations $\omega \in A^{(n)}$, such that $\omega(t) = e_1^n$ for all but one value t_0 and $\omega(t_0)$ is an arbitrary operation of \mathfrak{A}_{t_0} , belong to $[\varrho]$. This leads to the following definition of quasi-maximal ϱ -products for which Theorem 5 holds.

Denote by $A^{*(n)}$ the set

$$(10) \quad A^{*(n)} = \{\omega \in A^{(n)} : \omega(t) = e_1^n \text{ for all but one value } t\}$$

and let $A^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A^{*(n)}$. A ϱ -product is called *quasi-maximal* if $[\varrho] \supset A^*$.

Obviously, every maximal ϱ -product is quasi-maximal since $A^* \subset A$.

This definition implies immediately the following theorem:

THEOREM 7. *A quasi-maximal product of a finite family of algebras is maximal.*

Proof. Indeed, one can easily notice that $[A^*]^{(n)}$ consists of all n -ary operations $\omega \in A^{(n)}$ such that $\omega(t)$ is the same trivial operation for all but a finite number of values of t . If the family is finite, then $[A^*]^{(n)} = A^{(n)}$.

In the infinite case quasi-maximal ϱ -products are not necessarily maximal.

As we mentioned before, the following generalization of Theorem 5 holds:

THEOREM 8. *Distinct elements a_1, \dots, a_n of a quasi-maximal ϱ -product of a family of algebras $\{\mathfrak{A}_i\}$ are independent if and only if for every t the elements $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ are independent.*

Among all quasi-maximal ϱ -products there is a distinguished one. This is the product $\prod_{T \in t} A^*$.

To justify this definition, one has to check that A^* satisfies condition (ii) for the relation ϱ , but this is obvious in view of (10).

We call a ϱ -product *equivalent* to this one, i.e., such that $[\varrho] = [A^*]$ a special quasi-maximal ϱ -product. It is clear that if a special quasi-maximal product of a family $\{\mathfrak{A}_i\}$ is maximal, then every quasi-maximal product of this family is maximal.

Note also that if a ϱ -product is maximal (quasi-maximal) and π is a partition of the index set, then the partial products of section 4 are maximal (quasi-maximal) too.

Acknowledgment. After submission of the present paper for publication, the paper by S. Fajtlowicz, *Birkhoff's theorem in the category of non-indexed algebras* (Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences math., astr. et phys., 17 (1969), p. 273-275), came to the author's attention. The results of S. Fajtlowicz partly overlap with ours. In particular, Fajtlowicz studies what we call maximal ϱ -products (with a reference to W. Narkiewicz as the originator of the concept) and mentions the result of our Theorem 6. Since every ϱ -product is a reduct of the maximal ϱ -product, the generalized varieties defined by Fajtlowicz are closed under the operation of forming arbitrary ϱ -products.

I have also been informed that the results of Theorems 3 and 5 have been previously obtained by W. Narkiewicz (in 1960, so far unpublished).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Goetz, *On weak isomorphisms and weak homomorphisms of abstract algebras*, Colloquium Mathematicum 16 (1966), p. 163-167.
- [2] — *On various Boolean structures in a given Boolean algebra*, Publicationes Mathematicae (Debrecen), to appear.
- [3] E. Marczewski, *Independence in abstract algebras. Results and problems*, Colloquium Mathematicum 14 (1966), p. 169-188.
- [4] J. Płonka, *Diagonal algebras*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 58 (1966), p. 309-321.
- [5] — *Sums of direct systems of abstract algebras*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Série des sciences mathématiques, astronomiques et physiques, 15 (1967), p. 133-135.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 9. 1969