

ON INDEPENDENT SEQUENCES  
IN TOPOLOGICAL LINEAR SPACES

BY

Z. LIPECKI (WROCLAW)

Throughout  $X$  denotes a Hausdorff topological linear space over the reals  $R$ . Following [7], Definition 1, we call a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  *topologically linearly independent*, resp., *topologically linearly  $m$ -independent*, if for each sequence  $(\lambda_n) \in R^N$ , resp.,  $(\lambda_n) \in m = l_\infty$ , such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n = 0$  we have  $(\lambda_n) = 0$ . The first notion is also known under the name of  $\omega$ -independence (cf. [5]).

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Assume  $(x_n)$  is a linearly independent sequence in  $X$ . Then there exists a topologically linearly independent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  provided one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (a)  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence which does not converge to 0.
- (b)  $X$  admits a continuous (homogeneous) norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

The proof is based on some results from [3], [7] and [8]. We quote here one of them in a slightly improved form which will be needed in the sequel.

**PROPOSITION** ([7], Proposition 1). *Assume  $(x_n)$  is a linearly independent sequence in  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  is bounded multiplier Cauchy. Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  with  $n_1 = 1$  which is topologically linearly  $m$ -independent.*

The argument given in [7] requires only a slight modification in order to yield the additional condition  $n_1 = 1$  (cf. also the passage preceding Proposition 2 in [7]).

**Proof of the theorem.** (a) We may assume  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x \neq 0$  (replacing  $X$  by its completion). We may also assume  $x \notin \text{lin} \{x_n: n \in N\}$  (omitting a finite number of  $x_n$ 's). Finally, we may assume  $X$  is metrizable

([7], Lemma 3). Then, as the sequence

$$x, x_1 - x, x_2 - x, \dots$$

is linearly independent and converges to 0, there exist  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_{n_k} - x)$  is bounded multiplier Cauchy and the sequence

$$x, x_{n_1} - x, x_{n_2} - x, \dots$$

is topologically linearly  $m$ -independent ([7], Lemma 4, and the Proposition above). Hence, by [3], Lemma,  $(x_{n_k})$  is topologically linearly independent.

(b) We may assume  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is separable and  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for  $n \in N$ . Let  $(x_i^*)$  be a sequence of norm-continuous linear functionals on  $X$  such that  $\|x\| = \sup \{|x_i^*(x)| : i \in N\}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Denote by  $\varrho$  the linear topology on  $X$  defined by the seminorms  $x \rightarrow |x_i^*(x)|$ ,  $i \in N$ . Since  $|x_i^*(x_n)| \leq 1$  for all  $i, n \in N$ , using the diagonal procedure, we can find a subsequence  $(y_n)$  of  $(x_n)$  which is Cauchy in  $(X, \varrho)$ . If  $y_n \not\rightarrow 0$  in  $(X, \varrho)$ , it is enough to apply the proved part of the Theorem. In the opposite case, by a result of C. Bessaga (see [8], Proposition; cf. also the generalizations due to Kalton [6], Theorem 3.2, and Drewnowski [2], Theorem 2.2),  $(y_n)$  contains a basic subsequence.

**Remarks.** 1. In case  $X$  is complete, metrizable and locally convex (i.e., a  $B_0$ -space), condition (b) holds if and only if  $X$  contains no subspace isomorphic to  $R^N$  (see [1]).

2. The second assertion of the Theorem appears, in equivalent form, in [4] and [5]. However, as claimed in [5], the proof given in [4] is invalid. Unfortunately, there is a gap in [5], too. Namely, Theorem 1 of [5] fails in every infinite-dimensional (Banach) space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Indeed, given  $x_0 \in X$  and a sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow x_0$  and  $x_0 \notin \text{lin}\{z_n : n \in N\}$ , we can define inductively a subsequence  $(x_n)$  with  $x_1 = z_1$  and

$$\|x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k x_k\| > \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|x_0 - x_k\|$$

for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R$ ;  $n \in N$ . Taking  $z_1 = 0$ , we see that  $(x_n)$  need not even be linearly independent.

Nevertheless, the proof given above bears some resemblance to that of [5].

**Postscript.** Recently monograph [9] came to the author's attention. It contains an example, due to V. M. Kadec, of a linearly independent sequence in  $R^N$  without topologically linearly independent subsequences (see p. 858). It also contains a correction of the Erdős–Straus proof [4], due to P. Terenzi, and announces yet another proof of the Erdős–Straus result, also due to Terenzi (ibidem, p. 858).

**Added in proof.** 1. As noted by L. Drewnowski, the proof of part (b) can entirely be based upon the proposition above and its version given in

Z. Lipecki and P. Terenzi, *Subsequences of independent sequences in topological linear spaces*, Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze dei XL, Rendiconti, Memorie di Matematica, 9 (1985), p. 25–31

(see Proposition 1). Indeed, since  $\|x_n\| = 1$ , the convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n x_n$  implies  $(\lambda_n) \in m$ .

2. Our proof of part (b) yields, in fact, the following stronger result:

**THEOREM'.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and let  $(x_n)$  be a linearly independent sequence in  $X$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  which is topologically linearly independent with respect to the weak topology of  $X$ .*

That the latter independence is, indeed, stronger is seen from the following example:  $X = l_2$  and  $x_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$ , where  $e_0 = 0$  and  $(e_n)$  is the standard basis of  $l_2$ . Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = 0$  weakly, but  $(x_n)$  is topologically linearly independent in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ .

3. In connection with the postscript see also

P. Terenzi, *Proof of a theorem on  $\omega$ -independence in Banach spaces*, Istituto Lombardo, Accademia di Scienze e Lettere, Rendiconti A, 114 (1980), p. 56–64.

– *Biorthogonal systems in metrizable locally convex spaces*, Accademia Nazionale delle Scienze dei XL, Rendiconti, Memorie di Matematica, 9 (1985), p. 1–23.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On a class of  $B_0$ -spaces*, Bulletin de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences, Classe III, 4 (1957), p. 375–377.
- [2] L. Drewnowski, *On minimally subspace-comparable  $F$ -spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis 26 (1977), p. 315–332.
- [3] – I. Labuda and Z. Lipecki, *Existence of quasi-bases for separable topological linear spaces*, Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) 37 (1981), p. 454–456.
- [4] P. Erdős and E. G. Straus, *On linear independence of sequences in a Banach space*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 3 (1953), p. 689–694.
- [5] V. I. Gurariĭ, *Countably linearly independent sequences in Banach spaces* (in Russian), Uspehi Matematicheskikh Nauk 36 (1981), p. 171–172.
- [6] N. J. Kalton, *Basic sequences in  $F$ -spaces and their applications*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (2) 19 (1974), p. 151–167.
- [7] I. Labuda and Z. Lipecki, *On subseries convergent series and  $m$ -quasi-bases in topological linear spaces*, Manuscripta Mathematica 38 (1982), p. 87–98.

- [8] A. Pełczyński, *A note on the paper of I. Singer "Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces"*, *Studia Mathematica* 21 (1962), p. 372–374.
- [9] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces*, vol. II, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1981.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
WROCLAW BRANCH  
WROCLAW, POLAND

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 07. 1982*

---