

ON INDEPENDENCE OF AXIOMS  
OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF TERNARY RINGS

BY

BOLESŁAW GLEICHGEWICHT (WROCLAW)

In the present note we are concerned with a certain class of ternary rings, which we shall call ternary Lie-Jacobson rings. In particular, we shall prove that axioms of this class are independent.

**Definition 1.** A non-empty set  $R$  with a binary operation  $+$  (addition) and a ternary operation  $f$  (multiplication) is called a *ternary ring* if (see, e.g., [5])

1°  $R$  is an abelian group with respect to addition,

2° multiplication is distributive with respect to addition, i.e.,

$$(1) \quad f(x_1 + x_2, y, z) = f(x_1, y, z) + f(x_2, y, z),$$

$$(2) \quad f(x, y_1 + y_2, z) = f(x, y_1, z) + f(x, y_2, z),$$

$$(3) \quad f(x, y, z_1 + z_2) = f(x, y, z_1) + f(x, y, z_2).$$

**Definition 2.** A ternary ring  $R$  is called *associative* if, for any  $x, y, z, u, t \in R$ ,

$$f(f(x, y, z), u, t) = f(x, f(y, z, u), t) = f(x, y, f(z, u, t)).$$

**Definition 3.** A ternary ring  $R$  is called a *ternary Lie-Jacobson ring* if there are satisfied the following axioms:

$$(i) \quad f(x, x, y) = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad f(x, y, z) + f(y, z, x) + f(z, x, y) = 0,$$

$$(iii) \quad f(f(x, y, z), u, t) + f(f(y, x, u), z, t) + f(y, x, f(z, u, t)) + \\ + f(z, u, f(x, y, t)) = 0.$$

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $R$  is a ternary associative ring with addition  $+$  and multiplication  $g$ , then the set  $R$  with the same addition  $+$  and the multiplication  $f$  defined by the formula*

$$f(x, y, z) = g(x, y, z) - g(y, x, z) - g(z, x, y) + g(z, y, x)$$

*is a Lie-Jacobson ring.*

Proof consisting in easy but painstaking checking of conditions (1)-(3) and (i)-(iii) we leave to the reader.

A Lie-Jacobson ring obtained from a ternary associative ring  $R$  in the way described in theorem 1 will be denoted in the sequel by  $R^{(-)}$ .

If ring  $R$  in theorem 1 has been obtained from a binary ring by the definition of multiplication

$$g(x, y, z) = xyz,$$

then the multiplication in  $R^{(-)}$  can be written as

$$(4) \quad f(x, y, z) = [x, y], z],$$

where  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  is a commutator of elements  $x, y$ . Formula (4) is the definition of a ternary multiplication in a binary Lie ring obtained in the well-know way from an associative ring.

Rings with multiplication (4) have been investigated by Jacobson [3] (see also [7]) in connexion with some problems of the theory of meson fields (see [2] and [4]). He called them *Lie Triple Systems*.

It seems worth to notice that between differentiation in a ternary associative ring  $R$  and differentiation in  $R^{(-)}$  there is a connexion analogous to that between differentiation in a binary associative ring  $S$  and the Lie ring  $S^{(-)}$  related to  $S$  (see [6] and [8]).

**THEOREM 2.** *In ternary ring axioms (i), (ii) and (iii) are independent.*

**Proof.** First we shall show that axiom (i) is independent of (ii) and (iii). For that purpose take free abelian semigroup with the generators  $a$  and  $b$ , and make of it a new semigroup  $S$  by adjoining zero; juxtaposition zero to any word yields zero and any word of length  $\geq 4$  is equal to zero.

Hence

$$S = \{0, a, b, aa, ab, bb, aaa, aab, abb, bbb\}.$$

Now take a 9-dimensional semigroup algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over Galois field  $GF(3)$ , a base of which are all elements of semigroup  $S$  distinct from zero. Consider  $\mathfrak{A}$  as a binary ring and define in it a ternary multiplication by

$$f(x, y, z) = xyz \quad (x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

In this way  $\mathfrak{A}$  with the new multiplication becomes a ternary ring which obviously satisfies (ii) and also satisfies (iii), because product of any five elements of the algebra equals zero. But it does not satisfy (i):  $f(a, a, b) \neq 0$ .

To show that (ii) is independent of (i) and (iii) take a 7-dimensional Grassman algebra  $\mathfrak{G}$  over Galois field  $GF(2)$ , with a base consisting of words

$$e_1, e_2, e_3, e_1 e_2, e_1 e_3, e_2 e_3, e_1 e_2 e_3.$$

Considering  $\mathfrak{G}$  as a binary ring and introducing new multiplication

$$f(x, y, z) = xyz \quad (x, y, z \in \mathfrak{G}),$$

we get a ternary ring in which axiom (i) is satisfied. This follows from the fact that for each  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ , in view of the definition of a Grassman algebra (cf. [1]) and properties of  $GF(2)$ , there is

$$x^2 = (\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \alpha_3 e_3 + \alpha_{12} e_1 e_2 + \alpha_{13} e_1 e_3 + \alpha_{23} e_2 e_3 + \alpha_{123} e_1 e_2 e_3)^2 = 0,$$

where all  $\alpha$ 's belong to  $GF(2)$ .

Axiom (iii) is also satisfied, because product of any five elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is equal to zero. However,  $\mathfrak{G}$  does not satisfy (ii):

$$f(e_1, e_2, e_3) + f(e_2, e_3, e_1) + f(e_3, e_1, e_2) = f(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_1 e_2 e_3 \neq 0.$$

Finally, it remains to show that (iii) is independent of (i) and (ii). To that end take the Cayley-Dixon algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  over  $GF(3)$  considering it as a binary ring and introducing a ternary multiplication by  $f(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$  ( $f$  is an associator).

Since the Cayley-Dixon algebra is alternative, axiom (i) is satisfied. So is (ii), because

$$f(x, y, z) + f(y, z, x) + f(z, x, y) = 3f(x, y, z) = 0,$$

where the first equality follows from the well-known property of an associator in an alternative algebra.

However, axiom (iii) is not satisfied, for if

$$1, i, j, k, e, ie, je, ke$$

are elements of the canonical base of an 8-dimensional linear space which is the support of  $\mathfrak{D}$ , then multiplication of these elements in  $\mathfrak{D}$  is described by the following table:

	1	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>je</i>	<i>ke</i>
1	1	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>je</i>	<i>ke</i>
<i>i</i>	<i>i</i>	2	<i>k</i>	2 <i>j</i>	<i>ie</i>	2 <i>e</i>	2 <i>ke</i>	<i>je</i>
<i>j</i>	<i>j</i>	2 <i>k</i>	2	<i>i</i>	<i>je</i>	<i>ke</i>	2 <i>e</i>	2 <i>ie</i>
<i>k</i>	<i>k</i>	<i>j</i>	2 <i>i</i>	2	<i>ke</i>	2 <i>je</i>	<i>ie</i>	2 <i>e</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>e</i>	2 <i>ie</i>	2 <i>je</i>	2 <i>ke</i>	2	<i>i</i>	<i>j</i>	<i>k</i>
<i>ie</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>e</i>	2 <i>ke</i>	<i>je</i>	2 <i>i</i>	2	2 <i>k</i>	<i>j</i>
<i>je</i>	<i>je</i>	<i>ke</i>	<i>e</i>	2 <i>ie</i>	2 <i>j</i>	<i>k</i>	2	2 <i>i</i>
<i>ke</i>	<i>ke</i>	2 <i>je</i>	<i>ie</i>	<i>e</i>	2 <i>k</i>	2 <i>j</i>	<i>i</i>	2

Hence

$$f(f(i, j, k)e, ie) + f(f(j, i, e)k, ie) + f(j, i, f(k, e, ie)) + \\ + f(k, e, f(i, j, ie)) = f(0, e, ie) + f(ke, k, ie) + f(j, i, j) + f(k, e, je) = i.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Н. Г. Чеботарев, *Введение в теорию алгебр*, Москва-Ленинград 1949.
- [2] R. J. Duffin, *On the characteristic matrices of covariant systems*, Physical Review 54 (1938), p. 1114.
- [3] N. Jacobson, *Lie and Jordan triple systems*, American Journal of Mathematics 71 (1949), p. 149-170.
- [4] N. Kemmer, *Particle aspect of meson theory*, Proceedings of the Royal Society 173 (1939), p. 91-116.
- [5] А. Г. Курош, *Мультиоператорные кольца и алгебры*, Успехи математических наук 24 (1969), стр. 3-15.
- [6] W. G. Lister, *A structure theory of Lie triple systems*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 72 (1952), p. 217-242.
- [7] K. Yamaguti, *On algebras of totally geodesic spaces (Lie triple systems)*, Journal of Science of the Hiroshima University 21 (1957), p. 107-113.
- [8] — *On the Lie triple systems and its generalization*, ibidem 21 (1958), p. 155-160.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE WROCLAW UNIVERSITY

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 12. 1971*