

INDEPENDENT SUBALGEBRAS OF A GENERAL ALGEBRA

BY

K. GŁAZEK AND A. IWANIK (WROCLAW)

1. In this note we use the terminology and notation of [4], [5] and [1], but we say “general algebra” instead of “abstract algebra”. Some of the theorems obtained here arose from questions posed to us by Professor Edward Marczewski. He proposed the following definition:

Two subalgebras B_1 and B_2 of a general algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$ are *independent* if, for every pair of homomorphisms $h_i: B_i \rightarrow A$ ($i = 1, 2$), there exists a homomorphism $h: C(B_1 \cup B_2) \rightarrow A$ such that $h|_{B_i} = h_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

2. We extend Marczewski’s definition for a set of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition. A set \mathcal{S} of subalgebras of an algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$ is *independent* if, for every family of homomorphisms $h_B: B \rightarrow A$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a homomorphism

$$h: C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{S}} B\right) \rightarrow A$$

such that $h|_B = h_B$ for $B \in \mathcal{S}$.

We denote by $2^{\mathfrak{A}}$ the set of all subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} and we use the notation $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$ (or, shortly, $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind}$) if \mathcal{S} is an independent set of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} .

Example 1. The notion of independence of subalgebras is connected with the notion of a free product of algebras (see [3] and [7]) similarly as the independence of elements is connected with the notion of a free generated algebra. Recall that an algebra A is a \mathcal{K} -free product of its subalgebras B and C if $A = C(B \cup C)$ and, for every pair of homomorphisms $h_B: B \rightarrow D$ and $h_C: C \rightarrow D$, there is a homomorphism $h: A \rightarrow D$ such that $h|_B = h_B$ and $h|_C = h_C$, where D is an arbitrary algebra from the class \mathcal{K} of algebras of the same similarity type as the algebra A . This definition makes sense also for algebras without determined similarity type (non-indexed algebras) whenever A , B and C are subalgebras of a cer-

tain algebra $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (A_0; \mathbf{F})$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset 2^{\mathfrak{A}_0}$. One can speak about a \mathcal{K} -free product of a set of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A}_0 .

Note that *the set \mathcal{S} of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A}_0 is independent if and only if the subalgebra*

$$A = C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{S}} B\right)$$

is a \mathcal{K} -free product of subalgebras $B \in \mathcal{S}$ for any \mathcal{K} such that $A_0 \in \mathcal{K} \subset 2^{\mathfrak{A}_0}$. In particular, it is true for $\mathcal{K} = 2^{\mathfrak{A}_0}$ and for $\mathcal{K} = \{A_0\}$ ($= \{\mathfrak{A}_0\}$).

Example 2. The following notion of independence (which we shall call *\mathcal{B} -independence*) of subalgebras of a Boolean algebra is well known:

The indexed set $\{B_i\}_{i \in T}$ of subalgebras of a Boolean algebra

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A; \{\cup, \cap, ', 0, 1\})$$

is *\mathcal{B} -independent* if

$$\bigcap_{i \in T_0} b_i \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary finite $T_0 \subset T$ and $b_i \neq 0$, $b_i \in B_i$ (see [6], Section 13).

Theorem 13.1 of [6] shows that any \mathcal{B} -independent set of subalgebras is independent in our sense. Note that the converse is also true. Indeed, let $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$, where \mathfrak{A} is a Boolean algebra, and suppose that $\mathcal{S} = \{B : B \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is not \mathcal{B} -independent. Hence there are elements b_1, \dots, b_n such that $0 \neq b_i \in B_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $B_i \neq B_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $b_1 \cap \dots \cap b_n = 0$. As it is known, for any b_i there exists an ultrafilter F_i in B_i such that $b_i \in F_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Define homomorphisms $h_i: B_i \rightarrow A$ by

$$h_i(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } a \in F_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(h_i is a homomorphism, for F_i is an ultrafilter). Note that $h_i(b_i) = 1$, and thus there exists no homomorphism

$$h: C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{S}} B\right) \rightarrow A$$

such that $h_i = h|_{B_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, because otherwise

$$1 = h_1(b_1) \cap \dots \cap h_n(b_n) = h(b_1 \cap \dots \cap b_n) = h(0) = 0.$$

Example 3. If \mathfrak{A} is a unary algebra, then, as it is easy to see, any set of pairwise disjoint subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} is independent. The following example shows that, in general, this is not true for non-unary algebras. Viz. $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and \circ is a binary operation such that $x \circ c = c \circ x = c$ for every $x \in A$ and $x \circ y = x$ for $x \neq c$ and $y \neq c$. Let

$$h_a: \{a\} \rightarrow \{c\} \quad \text{and} \quad h_b: \{b\} \rightarrow \{b\}.$$

If there were a homomorphism $h: \{a, b\} \rightarrow A$ such that $h(a) = c$ and $h(b) = b$, then

$$b = h(b) = h(b \circ a) = h(b) \circ h(a) = h(b) \circ c = c,$$

which is a contradiction.

3. It follows immediately from the definition of the independence of subalgebras $\{B: B \in \mathcal{S}\}$ that, for every family of homomorphisms $\{h_B\}$, the homomorphism

$$(+) \quad h: C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{S}} B\right) \rightarrow A$$

such that $h|_B = h_B$ is unique. It is also obvious that the independence of a set \mathcal{S} of subalgebras is a hereditary property with respect to subsets of \mathcal{S} . It can be easily shown that the independence of a set \mathcal{S} of subalgebras of \mathfrak{A} is an invariant property with respect to meromorphisms (injective homomorphisms). Namely, if $\mathfrak{A} = (A; \mathbf{F})$, $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and mapping (+) is a meromorphism, then $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if $\{h(B): B \in \mathcal{S}\} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$.

The following theorem implies that the independence of a set of subalgebras (like the independence of a set of elements; see [4]) is a property of finitary character:

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; \mathbf{F})$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$. Then $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if*

() for any family of homomorphisms $h_B: B \rightarrow A$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$, if f and g are n -ary and m -ary algebraic operations in \mathfrak{A} , and $a_i \in A_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $b_j \in B_j \in \mathcal{S}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, m$; $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$), then the equality*

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = g(b_1, \dots, b_m)$$

implies

$$f(h_{A_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{A_n}(a_n)) = g(h_{B_1}(b_1), \dots, h_{B_m}(b_m)).$$

Proof. The necessity of (*) is obvious; we shall show that (*) is sufficient. We define mapping (+). If

$$a \in C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{S}} B\right),$$

then there exist an algebraic operation f and elements $a_i \in A_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $a = f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Put

$$h(a) = f(h_{A_1}(a_1), \dots, h_{A_n}(a_n)).$$

Condition (*) guarantees that the definition of h is correct. It is easy to see that h is a homomorphism and $h|_B = h_B$ for $B \in \mathcal{S}$, which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *The independence of a set of subalgebras is a property of finitary character (i.e., $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind}$ if and only if $\mathcal{S}_0 \in \mathbf{ind}$ for any finite $\mathcal{S}_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$).*

Indeed, this corollary follows from (*).

COROLLARY 2. *Every independent set of subalgebras is contained in some maximal independent set of subalgebras.*

In fact, it follows from Corollary 1 and Tukey's Lemma.

COROLLARY 3. *If $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$, $|\mathcal{S}| \geq 2$, and $a \in B$ for every $B \in \mathcal{S}$, then $h_B(a) = a$ for every homomorphism $h_B: B \rightarrow A$, $B \in \mathcal{S}$.*

Indeed, let $B, B_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and let h_{B_1} be the identity homomorphism. Putting for f and g in (*) the unary trivial operation we obtain $a = h_{B_1}(a) = h_B(a)$.

We call an element $a \in A$ a *quasi-constant with respect to subalgebra B of A* (see [2]) if $a \in B$ and $h(a) = a$ for every homomorphism $h: B \rightarrow A$. Using this notion, we can formulate Corollary 3 in the following way:

If an element belongs to the intersection of a family of independent subalgebras, then it is a quasi-constant with respect to each of them.

In particular, in algebras with one constant c (e.g., in groups, rings and modules) this intersection is always equal to $\{c\}$. In algebras with a basis it is equal to $C(\emptyset)$, and in idempotent algebras it is the empty set (see [2]).

4. Now we examine some connections and analogies between the independence of a set of subalgebras and the independence of a set of elements.

THEOREM 2. *If $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$ and I is an independent subset of A , then $\{C(\{a\}): a \in I\} \in \mathbf{ind}$.*

Proof. If $h_a: C(\{a\}) \rightarrow A$, $a \in I$, is a family of homomorphisms, then we define the mapping $p: I \rightarrow A$ by

$$p(a) = h_a(a) \quad \text{for every } a \in I.$$

Since I is independent, this mapping can be extended to a homomorphism $h: C(I) \rightarrow A$. Note that $h|_{C(\{a\})} = h_a$, which completes the proof.

The following example shows that the converse is not true:

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; \{f\})$ be a unary algebra, where $A = \{a, b, c\}$, $f(a) = b$, $f(b) = a$ and $f(c) = c$. $\{\{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$ is an independent set of subalgebras (it follows from the remark in Example 3). However, $\{a, c\}$ is not an independent set of elements, because c is a self-dependent element.

Nevertheless, we have

THEOREM 3. *Let I be a set of non-self-dependent elements of an algebra \mathfrak{A} . If $\{C(\{a\}): a \in I\} \in \mathbf{ind}$, then I is independent.*

Proof. Let $p: I \rightarrow A$. Since every element $a \in I$ is non-self-dependent, $p|_{\{a\}}$ can be extended to a homomorphism $h_a: C(\{a\}) \rightarrow A$ for every $a \in I$. And since $\{C(\{a\}): a \in I\} \in \mathbf{ind}$, there exists a common extension

of h_a ($a \in I$) to a homomorphism $h: C(I) \rightarrow A$. Obviously, $h|_I = p$, which completes the proof.

Let H_* be the smallest family of mappings from subsets of algebra $\mathfrak{A} = (A; F)$ into A , containing all mappings, which can be extended to homomorphisms and closed with respect to restrictions and "stickings" of mappings on disjoint subsets (see [1], p. 28). The last two theorems can be formulated more briefly using the following notion of H_* -independence [1]:

$$\{C(\{a\}): a \in I\} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ if and only if } I \in \mathbf{Ind}(\mathfrak{A}, H_*).$$

The following more general theorem can be obtained in the similar way as Theorems 2 and 3:

THEOREM 4. *Let $\{I_t\}_{t \in T}$ be a family of independent and pairwise disjoint subsets of an algebra \mathfrak{A} . Then*

$$\{C(I_t): t \in T\} \in \mathbf{ind} 2^{\mathfrak{A}}$$

if and only if $\bigcup_{t \in T} I_t$ is an independent set in \mathfrak{A} .

The next theorem is analogous to the "exchange theorem" of [4] (see (ii), p. 58).

THEOREM 5. *Let $(\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_1) \in \mathbf{ind}$, $\mathcal{J}_0 \cap \mathcal{J}_1 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{J}_2 \in \mathbf{ind}$ and let a set $\mathcal{J}_1^0 \subset \mathcal{J}_1$ exist such that*

$$C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{J}_1^0} B\right) = C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{J}_2} B\right).$$

Then $(\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_2) \in \mathbf{ind}$.

Proof. For $D \in (\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_2)$, let $h_D: D \rightarrow A$ be a family of homomorphisms. Since $\mathcal{J}_2 \in \mathbf{ind}$, there exists a homomorphism

$$h: C\left(\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{J}_2} D\right) \rightarrow A$$

such that $h|_D = h_D$ for any $D \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Obviously, h is also a homomorphism from $C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{J}_1^0} B\right)$ into A . Put $h_B^0 = h|_B$ for $B \in \mathcal{J}_1^0$. Since $\mathcal{J}_0 \cap \mathcal{J}_1^0 = \emptyset$ and $(\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_1^0) \in \mathbf{ind}$, there exists a homomorphism

$$h^0: C\left(\bigcup_{B \in (\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_1^0)} B\right) \rightarrow A$$

such that $h^0|_B = h_B^0$ for $B \in \mathcal{J}_1^0$ and $h^0|_D = h_D$ for $D \in \mathcal{J}_0$. We show that $h^0|_D = h_D$ for $D \in (\mathcal{J}_0 \cup \mathcal{J}_2)$. It is obvious for $D \in \mathcal{J}_0$. If $D \in \mathcal{J}_2$, then

$$D \subset C\left(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{J}_1^0} B\right),$$

and thus for $d \in D$ there exist an n -ary algebraic operation f and elements $b_i \in B_i \in \mathcal{S}_1^0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $d = f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(d) &= h^0(f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) = f(h^0(b_1), \dots, h^0(b_n)) = f(h(b_1), \dots, h(b_n)) \\ &= h(f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) = h(d) = h_D(d). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Głazek, *Independence with respect to family of mappings in abstract algebras*, Dissertationes Mathematicae 81 (1971).
- [2] — and A. Iwanik, *Quasi-constants in general algebras*, Colloquium Mathematicum 29 (1974), p. 45-50.
- [3] J. Łoś, *Free product in general algebras*, Symposium of the Theory of Models, Amsterdam 1965, p. 229-237.
- [4] E. Marczewski, *Independence and homomorphisms in abstract algebras*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 50 (1961), p. 45-61.
- [5] — *Independence in abstract algebras. Results and problems*, Colloquium Mathematicum 14 (1966), p. 169-188.
- [6] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, Berlin 1964.
- [7] — *Products of abstract algebras*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 39 (1952), p. 211-228.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE WROCLAW UNIVERSITY
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND THEORETICAL PHYSICS
 OF THE WROCLAW TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10. 11. 1972