

*REMARKS ON FACTORIZATIONS
IN ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS*

BY

JAN ŚLIWA (WROCLAW)

1. In this note we investigate some problems connected with factorizations in algebraic number fields, which were stated in [1] and [3].

Let K denote an algebraic number field, R_K its ring of integers, H the class group, and h the class number of K . By G_m we denote the set of all elements of R_K with factorizations of m distinct lengths and by G'_m the set of all positive rational integers contained in G_m . The number of non-associated integers a in G_m with $|N(a)| \leq x$ will be denoted by $G_m(x)$ and, similarly, the number of positive integers less than or equal to x lying in G'_m by $G'_m(x)$. If X is an element of H and $a \in R_K$, then $\Omega_X(a)$ will denote the number of prime ideal divisors of aR_K lying in the class X and counted according to their multiplicities. By $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \dots$ we always mean prime ideals. Finally, if k and N are positive integers, then C_k will denote the cyclic group of k elements and C_k^N the product of N copies of C_k .

2. It is well known that $G_m = G'_m = \emptyset$ if $h \leq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. In [3] it was shown that for $h \geq 3$ either $G_m = \emptyset$ or

$$G_m(x) \sim C(m, K)x(\log \log x)^{B(m, H)}(\log x)^{-A(m, H)},$$

where $C(m, K)$, $A(m, H)$ are positive and $B(m, H)$ non-negative.

A similar result was obtained also for G'_m and $G'_m(x)$.

Now we prove that for the set G_m the first alternative never occurs.

THEOREM 1. *If $h \geq 3$, then $G_m \neq \emptyset$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. For any subset U of H we denote by $R(U)$ the set of those integers in R_K which generate ideals not divisible by prime ideals of classes belonging to $H \setminus U$.

If H contains an element X of order $t \geq 3$, we take $U = \{X, X^{-1}\}$ and we will show that $R(U)$ contains elements with any prescribed number of distinct lengths of factorizations.

Let m be a positive integer. Choose $a \in R(U)$ such that

$$\Omega_X(a) = \Omega_{X^{-1}}(a) = t(m-1).$$

Let

$$(1) \quad a = d_1 d_2 \dots d_r$$

be a factorization of a into irreducibles.

From the definition of $R(U)$ it follows that each irreducible factor appearing in (1) generates an ideal of one of the following types:

1. $p_1 p_2$, $p_1 \in X$, $p_2 \in X^{-1}$;
2. $p_1 \dots p_t$, $p_i \in X$;
3. $p_1 \dots p_t$, $p_i \in X^{-1}$.

Let u_i ($1 \leq i \leq 3$) denote the number of d_j 's in (1) which correspond to the i -th type. Then

$$(2) \quad u_1 + t u_2 = u_1 + t u_3 = t(m-1),$$

and the length of factorization (1) equals

$$r = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 = \frac{1}{t} (2t(m-1) + (t-2)u_1).$$

Hence this number is equal to the number of non-negative values of u_1 for which (2) has a non-negative solution u_2, u_3 . Obviously, the last can happen only for $u_1 \in \{0, t, 2t, \dots, (m-1)t\}$. This proves that $a \in G_m$.

If H contains only elements of order 2 and $h \geq 3$, then we take $U = \{X, Y, XY\}$, where X, Y are distinct non-unit elements of H . If $a \in R(U)$ with

$$\Omega_X(a) = \Omega_Y(a) = \Omega_{XY}(a) = 2(m-1)$$

has factorization (1), then only the following types of irreducibles can occur:

1. $p_1 p_2$, $p_1, p_2 \in X$;
2. $p_1 p_2$, $p_1, p_2 \in Y$;
3. $p_1 p_2$, $p_1, p_2 \in XY$;
4. $p_1 p_2 p_3$, $p_1 \in X$, $p_2 \in Y$, $p_3 \in XY$.

Let u_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) be the number of d_j 's in (1) of the i -th type. We get a system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} 2u_1 + u_4 &= 2u_2 + u_4 = 2u_3 + u_4 = 2(m-1), \\ r &= u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4 = 3(m-1) - \frac{1}{2}u_4, \end{aligned}$$

and we infer easily that the set of admissible values for u_4 is $\{0, 2, \dots, 2(m-1)\}$, so $a \in G_m$.

For the set G'_m we can prove a similar result only in the quadratic case.

THEOREM 2. *If K is a quadratic number field and $h \geq 3$, then $G'_m \neq \emptyset$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. If H contains an element X of order $t \geq 3$ and $p \in X$, then $N(p) = pp_1$, where p_1 is a prime ideal in X^{-1} . Thus the rational integer $n = (N(p))^{t(m-1)}$ belongs to $R(\{X, X^{-1}\})$, $\Omega_X(n) = \Omega_{X^{-1}}(n) = t(m-1)$, and as in the proof of Theorem 1 we get $n \in G'_m$.

If $H = C_2^k$, $k \geq 2$, $X \neq Y$ are non-unit elements, and $p_1 \in X$, $p_2 \in Y$, $p_3 \in XY$, then $n = (N(p_1)N(p_2)N(p_3))^{m-1}$ is contained in $R(\{X, Y, XY\})$, $\Omega_X(n) = \Omega_Y(n) = \Omega_{XY}(n) = 2(m-1)$. Consequently, as in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain $n \in G'_m$.

3. In [3] it was noted that in the case $H = C_3$ we have

$$A(m, H) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad B(m, H) = 3m - 1.$$

In the same way it can be proved that

$$A(m, C_2^2) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad B(m, C_2^2) = 2m - 1$$

and

$$A(m, C_4) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad B(m, C_4) = 2m - 1.$$

A direct computation of those constants for other groups is rather complicated as the number of minimal equalities in H increases very quickly with h . But, nevertheless, we state the following

CONJECTURE (P 1247). One has

$$A(m, H) = A(1, H) = 1 - \frac{t(H)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad B(m, H) = A(H)m + B(H),$$

where $A(H)$, $B(H)$ are rational integers, and $t(H)$ is a combinatorial constant which was defined in [3] (This constant will be discussed later on.)

4. Let G be a finite abelian group. If $g_1, \dots, g_k \in G$ and

$$(3) \quad g_1^{n_1} \dots g_k^{n_k} = 1,$$

then this equality will be called *minimal* if

- 1° $n_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$;
- 2° if $0 \leq m_i \leq n_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) and $g_1^{m_1} \dots g_k^{m_k} = 1$, then either all m_i 's are zero or $m_i = n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We say (as in [1]-[3]) that the minimal equality (3) satisfies *condition (C)* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{\text{ord } g_i} = 1.$$

A subset U of G is said to have *property (C)* (in such a case we write $U \in (C)$) if every minimal equality of the form (3) with $g_1, \dots, g_k \in U$ satisfies condition (C).

By $t(G)$ we denote the maximal cardinality of a set $U \in (C)$.

Property (C) is closely connected with factorizational properties of integers in K ; namely, if U is a subset of class group of K , then $R(U) \subset G_1$ if and only if $U \in (C)$.

In [3] it was shown that

$$t(C_{p^n}) = n+1 \quad \text{and} \quad t(C_p^n) \leq \binom{n+p-2}{p-1} + 1.$$

To investigate further the values of $t(G)$ we consider a weaker property of minimal equalities than (C); namely, we say that the minimal equality (3) satisfies *condition (C₀)* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{\text{ord } g_i} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Similarly as before we define property (C₀) of subsets of G and the corresponding constant $t_0(G)$. Obviously,

$$(4) \quad t(G) \leq t_0(G).$$

Let m be the exponent of G and f a homomorphism of G to $m^{-1}Z/Z$. Put

$$G_f = \left\{ g \in G : f(g) = \frac{1}{\text{ord } g} \right\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *A subset U of G has property (C₀) if and only if there exists $f \in \text{Hom}(G, m^{-1}Z/Z)$ such that $U \subset G_f$.*

Proof. Let $U = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\} \in (C_0)$ and let $f: U \rightarrow m^{-1}Z/Z$ be defined by

$$f(g_i) = \frac{1}{\text{ord } g_i}.$$

Obviously, f can be extended to a homomorphism of G if and only if $g_1^{s_1} \dots g_k^{s_k} = 1$ implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{s_i}{\text{ord } g_i} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

But there exist integers w_1, \dots, w_k such that the equality

$$g_1^{w_1 \text{ord } g_1 + s_1} \dots g_k^{w_k \text{ord } g_k + s_k} = 1$$

has positive exponents, and so is a product of minimal equalities. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{w_i \text{ord } g_i + s_i}{\text{ord } g_i} \in Z.$$

The converse is trivial as each G_f has property (C_0) .

Now let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_k}$ with $n_1 | \dots | n_k = m$ and let X_i denote a generator of C_{n_i} .

LEMMA 2. If $A = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is a k -tuple with $0 \leq a_i < n_i$ and

$$U_A = \left\{ X_1^{b_1} \dots X_k^{b_k} : \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_i b_i}{n_i} \equiv \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{(b_i, a_i)}{n_i} \pmod{1} \right\},$$

then $U_A \in (C_0)$. Conversely, for each U with property (C_0) there exists a k -tuple A such that $U \subset U_A$.

Proof. Observe that each $f \in \text{Hom}(G, m^{-1}Z/Z)$ is uniquely determined by a k -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_k) , $0 \leq a_i < n_i$, and $f(X_i) = a_i/n_i$. But

$$\text{ord}(X_1^{b_1} \dots X_k^{b_k}) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \frac{n_i}{(n_i, b_i)} \right\},$$

and comparing this with Lemma 1 we get our assertion.

COROLLARY. $t_0(C_n) = d(n)$ (the number of divisors of n) and $t_0(C_n^2) = n + 1$.

THEOREM 3. $d(n) \geq t(C_n) \geq \Omega(n) + 1$, where $\Omega(n)$ denotes the number of prime divisors of n counted according to their multiplicities.

Proof. The left-hand inequality follows from (4) and the Corollary.

Let d_1, \dots, d_k be a set of divisors of n with $d_1 | \dots | d_k$, and g a generator of C_n . We shall show that the set $\{g^{d_1}, \dots, g^{d_k}\}$ has property (C).

If $(g^{d_1})^{n_1} \dots (g^{d_k})^{n_k} = 1$ with positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k , then $n | n_1 d_1 + \dots + n_k d_k$, and this implies that for some n_1, \dots, n_k

(5)

$$n_1 = \frac{d_2}{d_1} n'_1, \quad n'_{s-1} + n_s = \frac{d_{s+1}}{d_s} n'_s \quad (2 \leq s \leq k-1), \quad n'_{k-1} + n_k = \frac{n}{d_k} n'_k.$$

Indeed, if $n | n_1 d_1 + \dots + n_k d_k$, then

$$\frac{n}{d_1} \left| n_1 + n_2 \frac{d_2}{d_1} + \dots + n_k \frac{d_k}{d_1} \right|$$

and as

$$\frac{d_2}{d_1} \left| \frac{n}{d_1} \right|, \quad \frac{d_2}{d_1} \left| \frac{d_s}{d_1} \right| \quad (s = 2, \dots, k),$$

there exists n'_1 such that

$$n_1 = \frac{d_2}{d_1} n'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n}{d_2} \left| (n'_1 + n_2) + n_3 \frac{d_3}{d_2} + \dots + n_k \frac{d_k}{d_2} \right.$$

Continuing this process we obtain (5).

Further

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{\text{ord } g^{d_i}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k n_i d_i = n'_k.$$

Taking $m'_k = 1$ we can determine $0 \leq m_k \leq n_k$ and $m'_{k-1} \geq 0$ such that

$$m'_{k-1} + m_k = \frac{n}{d_k} m'_k = \frac{n}{d_k}.$$

Proceeding further in this way we obtain $0 \leq m_i \leq n_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq k$), not all equal to zero, and non-negative integers m'_1, \dots, m'_k such that equations (5) are satisfied if we replace n_i, n'_i by m_i, m'_i . Thus

$$(g^{d_1})^{m_1} \dots (g^{d_k})^{m_k} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i}{\text{ord } g^{d_i}} = 1;$$

hence our set has property (C).

Remark. As can be seen from Lemma 2 the set $\{g^d\}_{d|n}$ has property (C₀). For some n it has also property (C). But as the following example of a minimal equality in C_{60} shows:

$$(g^5)^1 (g^6)^1 (g^{12})^2 (g^{15})^1 (g^{20})^2 (g^{30})^1 = 1,$$

it does not always have property (C).

5. Now let $G = C_p^N$. We may treat this group as a linear space over $\text{GF}(p)$. Let u_1, \dots, u_N be a basis of this space and let A be the set of elements of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^N (p - a_k) u_k, \quad 1 \leq a_k \leq p,$$

with

$$\sum_{a_k \neq p} a_k = p - 1.$$

Narkiewicz ([1], P 1143) has asked whether this set has property (C). If the answer were affirmative, then

$$t(C_p^N) = \binom{N+p-2}{p-1} + 1.$$

Obviously, this is the case for $p = 2$ and all N .

THEOREM 4. *If $p \geq 3$, then $A \in (C)$ if and only if $N \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let $p \geq 3$ and $N \geq 3$. We shall determine a subset of A which does not have property (C). Let $v_1 = (p-1)u_2 + 2u_3$, $v_2 = u_2$, $v_3 = (p-1)u_1 + 2u_3$, $v_4 = u_1$. Obviously, $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in A$ and

$$(6) \quad (p-1)v_1 + (p-1)v_2 + v_3 + v_4 = 0.$$

If there existed d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 such that $0 \leq d_1 \leq p-1$, $0 \leq d_2 \leq p-1$, $0 \leq d_3 \leq 1$, $0 \leq d_4 \leq 1$ and $d_1v_1 + d_2v_2 + d_3v_3 + d_4v_4 = 0$, then we would have

$$(d_2 - d_1)u_2 + 2d_1u_3 = \begin{cases} (p-2)u_3 & \text{if } d_3 = d_4 = 1, \\ (p-1)u_1 & \text{if } d_3 = 0, d_4 = 1, \\ u_1 + (p-2)u_3 & \text{if } d_3 = 1, d_4 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_3 = d_4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

This can take place only for $d_1 = d_2 = p-1$, $d_3 = d_4 = 1$ or $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 0$, and hence equality (6) is minimal but certainly does not satisfy (C).

If $N = 2$, then the set A can be written in the form

$$\{w_k = kv_1 + v_2 : k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}, \quad \text{where } v_1 = u_2 - u_1, v_2 = u_2.$$

If for non-negative $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$(7) \quad d_0w_0 + \dots + d_{p-1}w_{p-1} = 0,$$

then

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} kd_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

If equality (7) does not satisfy (C), then by (8) we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_k \geq 2p.$$

We shall prove a lemma, a very special case of which we shall need, but as it seems interesting, we state it in full generality.

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ be elements of \mathbb{Z}^m . We write $x \leq y$ if $x_i \leq y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let L_1, \dots, L_k denote linear forms on \mathbb{Z}^m with integer coefficients. By $D(G)$ we denote the Davenport constant of the group G , e.g. the least integer r such that from any r elements of G one can extract a subsequence with unit product.

LEMMA 3. *If n_1, \dots, n_k are positive integers and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is such that $\sum x_i \geq D(C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_k})$, $x > (0, \dots, 0)$, then there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}^m$, $(0, \dots, 0) < z \leq x$, for which $L_i(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

Proof. For $i = 1, \dots, m$ we put

$$e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0), \quad f_i = (L_1(e_i), \dots, L_k(e_i)).$$

Let us consider the system f_1, \dots, f_m (where each f_i occurs x_i times, $i = 1, \dots, m$) of elements of $C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_k}$. As this system has $\sum x_i \geq D(C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_k})$ elements, there are t_i , $0 \leq t_i \leq x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$), not all equal to zero, such that $t_1 f_1 + \dots + t_m f_m = 0$. Hence

$$(L_1(t_1, \dots, t_m), \dots, L_k(t_1, \dots, t_m)) = 0$$

and this is the assertion of Lemma 3.

In our case we take $k = 2$, $n_1 = n_2 = p - 1$, and

$$L_1(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} i x_i, \quad L_2(x_0, \dots, x_{p-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} x_i.$$

As $d_{i_0} > 0$ for some i_0 , and $\sum d_j - 1 \geq 2p - 1 = D(C_p^2)$, we can take $x = (d_0, \dots, d_{i_0} - 1, \dots, d_{p-1})$ and apply Lemma 3. The existence of $z \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ with $(0, \dots, 0) < z \leq x$ and $L_1(z) \equiv L_2(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that equality (7) is not minimal.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Narkiewicz, *Finite abelian groups and factorization problems*, Colloquium Mathematicum 42 (1979), p. 319-330.
- [2] L. Skula, *On c-semigroups*, Acta Arithmetica 31 (1976), p. 247-257.
- [3] J. Śliwa, *Factorizations of distinct lengths in algebraic number fields*, ibidem 31 (1976), p. 399-417.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 28. 2. 1979