

A LOWER BOUND FOR THE i -TH TOTAL ABSOLUTE
CURVATURE OF AN IMMERSION

BY

WOLFGANG KÜHNEL (BERLIN)

We consider the i -th total absolute curvature $TA_i(f, \beta)$ of order β of an immersion $f: M \rightarrow E^{n+m}$ of a closed n -dimensional manifold into a Euclidean space. In [1] Chen has proved the inequality $TA_i(f, n/i) \geq 2$ generalizing classical inequalities of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore-Chern-Lashof. In this note we give a shorter proof of this theorem. Moreover, it turns out that in the case $i\beta \neq n$, for a fixed M , the infimum of $TA_i(f, \beta)$ over the class of all immersions f into Euclidean spaces is zero. In a special case this has been shown by Friedrich [3].

Let $f: M^n \rightarrow E^{n+m}$ be a C^∞ -immersion of a closed manifold of dimension n into a Euclidean space. Let N^1 denote the total space of the unit normal bundle of f with volume form σ . Each $e \in N_p^1$ induces the *second fundamental tensor* in the direction e as an endomorphism $A(e): T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ of the tangent space. Let $k_1(e), \dots, k_n(e)$ be the eigenvalues of $A(e)$. Then

$$K_i(e) := \binom{n}{i}^{-1} \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_i} k_{j_1}(e) \dots k_{j_i}(e)$$

is called the i -th curvature in the direction e .

The integral

$$TA_i(f, \beta) := \frac{1}{c_{m+i\beta-1}} \int_{N^1} |K_i(e)|^\beta \sigma,$$

defined by Chen, is called the i -th total absolute curvature of order β of f , where c_k denotes the volume of the unit sphere S^k . Note that $TA_i(f, \beta)$ does not depend on the codimension, which means that $TA_i(f, \beta) = TA_i(j \circ f, \beta)$ for each linear embedding $j: E^{n+m} \rightarrow E^{n+m+k}$ ([5], Lemma 1).

Some facts.

(1) $TA_n(f, 1) \geq \beta(M) \geq 2$, where $\beta(M)$ denotes the sum of the Betti numbers of M with coefficients in a field. The equation $TA_n(f, 1) = 2$

stands exactly for convex hypersurfaces in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace (cf. [2]).

(2) Let $f: M^2 \rightarrow E^{2+m}$ and $\bar{f}: \bar{M}^2 \rightarrow E^{2+m}$ be immersions of closed surfaces, let $f \times \bar{f}: M \times \bar{M} \rightarrow E^{4+2m}$ be the product immersion, and let β_1 and $\bar{\beta}_1$ denote the first Betti numbers of M and \bar{M} , respectively, with coefficients in a field (in the non-orientable case in Z_2). Then

$$T_2(f \times \bar{f}, 2) \geq 2 + \frac{2}{9} \frac{m+2}{m} + \frac{2}{9m} (\beta_1 + \bar{\beta}_1) + \frac{m+1}{9m} \beta_1 \bar{\beta}_1$$

with equality exactly if $m = 1$ and $f(M)$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{M})$ are round spheres with the same radii (cf. [5], Theorem 3).

THEOREM. *Let $f: M^n \rightarrow E^{n+m}$ be an immersion of the closed connected manifold M .*

(i) *If $i\beta = n$, then $TA_i(f, \beta) \geq 2$, and in the case $1 \leq i < n$ the equality stands exactly for the round hypersphere in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace (see [1]).*

(ii) *If $i\beta \neq n$, then*

$$\inf_f TA_i(f, \beta) = 0.$$

Proof. Each real number $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ induces an immersion $f_\lambda: M \rightarrow E^{n+m}$ defined by $f_\lambda(p) := \lambda f(p)$. Obviously, the second fundamental tensors A_λ and A of f_λ and f , respectively, satisfy the equation $A = \lambda A_\lambda$. Hence we get directly the equation

$$TA_i(f_\lambda, \beta) = \lambda^{n-i\beta} TA_i(f, \beta).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} TA_i(f_\lambda, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{for } n < i\beta$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} TA_i(f_\lambda, \beta) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > i\beta,$$

which proves part (ii) of the assertion. (Using the theory of critical points the proof of (ii) in the case $i = n$ is much more complicated (cf. [3]).)

To prove part (i) let $U \subseteq N^1$ denote the set of all normal vectors $e \in N^1$ such that all eigenvalues of $A(e)$ have the same sign. Using the inequality $|K_i(e)|^{1/i} \geq |K_{i+1}(e)|^{1/(i+1)}$ for all i (cf. [4], p. 104), we get

$$(1) \quad \int_{N^1} |K_i(e)|^\beta \sigma \geq \int_U |K_i(e)|^\beta \sigma = \int_U |K_i(e)|^{n/i} \sigma \geq \int_U |K_n(e)| \sigma \geq 2c_{n+m-1}.$$

The last inequality holds by the well-known argument that the integral over $|K_n|$ is the volume of the image of the Gauss map, and in our case the whole sphere is at least twice covered by U .

For $f(M)$ being a round hypersphere, in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace we have $TA_i(f, \beta) = 2$ trivially.

Now assume that $1 \leq i < n$ and $TA_i(f, \beta) = 2$. Then inequality (1) becomes an equality at every step, especially

$$|K_i(e)|^\beta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } e \in N^1 \setminus U, \\ |K_n(e)| & \text{for } e \in U, \end{cases}$$

whence $k_1(e) = \dots = k_n(e)$ (cf. [4], p. 104) or

$$(2) \quad A(e) = g(e) \cdot \text{id}$$

with $g(e)$ being a scalar function.

Let $U' \subseteq N$ be the set of all normals in the total space of the normal bundle satisfying (2) with $g(e) \neq 0$. Then U' is not empty because of the inequality $TA_i(f, \beta) \geq 2$. For $e \in U'$ with base point p , $U' \cap N_p$ is an open set in the normal space N_p . Hence (2) holds for a linear basis of N_p . Consequently, by the linearity in e of expression (2), equality (2) holds for the whole space N_p (where $g(e)$ may be zero, of course).

Now, let $\pi: N^1 \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Then $\pi(U')$ is open in M and $f|_{\pi(U')}$ is totally umbilical. Hence Theorem 26 in [6] states that the image of each component of $\pi(U')$ under f lies in some round hypersphere in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of E^{n+m} . By construction, each such component is open in M . Now, let q be one of its limit points and assume that $q \notin \pi(U')$. Then, for each $e \in N_q$, $|K_i(e)|^\beta = 0$, contradicting the fact that q is the limit point of spherical points. Hence $\pi(U')$ is topologically closed and, therefore, $\pi(U') = M$. This means that $f(M)$ is a round hypersphere in an $(n+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace.

The proof of (i) given in [1], Theorems 1 and 2, is much more complicated because of the use of Lemmas 2 and 4, which seems to be unnecessary.

In view of Facts (1) and (2), it seems to be an interesting problem to find lower bounds for $TA_i(f, n/i)$ depending on the topology of M (P 1073)

REFERENCES

- [1] B.-Y. Chen, *On a theorem of Fenchel-Borsuk-Willmore-Chern-Lashof*, *Mathematische Annalen* 194 (1971), p. 19-26.
- [2] S. S. Chern and R. K. Lashof, *On the total curvature of immersed manifolds II*, *The Michigan Journal of Mathematics* 5 (1958), p. 5-12.
- [3] T. Friedrich, *Über die n-te totale Absolutkrümmung der Ordnung β einer Immersion*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 31 (1974), p. 83-86.
- [4] G. G. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press 1934.
- [5] W. Kühnel, *Ein Produktsatz für die zweite Totalkrümmung*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 14 (2) (1976), p. 357-363.
- [6] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, Vol. 4, Boston 1975.

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 13. 10. 1976 ;
en version modifiée le 9. 11. 1977*