

## PSEUDO-BCH-ALGEBRAS

ANDRZEJ WALENDZIAK

*Institute of Mathematics and Physics*  
*Siedlce University*  
*3 Maja 54, 08–110 Siedlce, Poland*

**e-mail:** walent@interia.pl

### Abstract

The notion of pseudo-BCH-algebras is introduced, and some of their properties are investigated. Conditions for a pseudo-BCH-algebra to be a pseudo-BCI-algebra are given. Ideals and minimal elements in pseudo-BCH-algebras are considered.

**Keywords:** (pseudo-)BCK/BCI/BCH-algebra, minimal element, (closed) ideal, centre.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 03G25, 06F35.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iséki ([10, 11]) introduced BCK- and BCI-algebras. In 1983, Q.P. Hu and X. Li ([9]) introduced BCH-algebras. It is known that BCK- and BCI-algebras are contained in the class of BCH-algebras. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim ([16]) defined d-algebras which are a generalization of BCK-algebras.

In 2001, G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu ([8]) introduced the pseudo-BCK-algebras as an extension of BCK-algebras. In 2008, W.A. Dudek and Y.B. Jun ([3]) introduced pseudo-BCI-algebras as a natural generalization of BCI-algebras and of pseudo-BCK-algebras. These algebras have also connections with other algebras of logic such as pseudo-MV-algebras and pseudo-BL-algebras defined by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu in [6] and [7], respectively. Those algebras were investigated by several authors in [4, 5, 14] and [15]. As a generalization of d-algebras, Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers ([13]) introduced pseudo-d-algebras. Recently, R.A. Borzooei *et al.* ([1]) defined pseudo-BE-algebras.

In this paper we introduce pseudo-BCH-algebras as an extension of BCH-algebras. We give basic properties of pseudo-BCH-algebras and provide some

conditions for a pseudo-BCH-algebra to be a pseudo-BCI-algebra. Moreover we study the set  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  of all minimal elements of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the so-called centre of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We also consider ideals in pseudo-BCH-algebras and establish a relationship between the ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra and the ideals of its centre. Finally we show that the centre of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  defines a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

## 2. DEFINITION AND EXAMPLES OF PSEUDO-BCH-ALGEBRAS

We recall that an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a *BCH-algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (BCH-1)  $x * x = 0$ ;
- (BCH-2)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ;
- (BCH-3)  $x * y = y * x = 0 \implies x = y$ .

A BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be a *BCI-algebra* if it satisfies the identity

$$(BCI) \quad ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0.$$

A *BCK-algebra* is a BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfying the law  $0 * x = 0$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([3]). A pseudo-BCI-algebra is a structure  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$ , where " $\leq$ " is a binary relation on the set  $X$ , " $*$ " and " $\diamond$ " are binary operations on  $X$  and " $0$ " is an element of  $X$ , satisfying the axioms:

- (pBCI-1)  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * y, \quad (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y$ ;
- (pBCI-2)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y, \quad x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ ;
- (pBCI-3)  $x \leq x$ ;
- (pBCI-4)  $x \leq y, y \leq x \implies x = y$ ;
- (pBCI-5)  $x \leq y \iff x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0$ .

A pseudo-BCI-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is called a *pseudo-BCK-algebra* if it satisfies the identities

$$(pBCK) \quad 0 * x = 0 \diamond x = 0.$$

**Definition 2.2.** A pseudo-BCH-algebra is an algebra  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  of type  $(2, 2, 0)$  satisfying the axioms:

- (pBCH-1)  $x * x = x \diamond x = 0$ ;
- (pBCH-2)  $(x * y) \diamond z = (x \diamond z) * y$ ;
- (pBCH-3)  $x * y = y \diamond x = 0 \implies x = y$ ;
- (pBCH-4)  $x * y = 0 \iff x \diamond y = 0$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Observe that if  $(X; *, 0)$  is a BCH-algebra, then letting  $x \diamond y := x * y$ , produces a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$ . Therefore, every BCH-algebra is a pseudo-BCH-algebra in a natural way. It is easy to see that if  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra, then  $(X; \diamond, *, 0)$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. From Proposition 3.2 of [3] we conclude that if  $(X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $(X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.

We say that a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *proper* if  $* \neq \diamond$  and it is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra.

**Remark 2.4.** The class of all pseudo-BCH-algebras is a quasi-variety. Therefore, if  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  are two pseudo-BCH-algebras, then the direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is also a pseudo-BCH-algebra. In the case when at least one of  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$  is proper, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X_1 = \{0, a, b, c\}$ . We define the binary operations  $*_1$  and  $\diamond_1$  on  $X_1$  as follows:

|       |   |   |   |   |     |              |   |   |   |   |
|-------|---|---|---|---|-----|--------------|---|---|---|---|
| $*_1$ | 0 | a | b | c |     | $\diamond_1$ | 0 | a | b | c |
| 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |     | 0            | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a     | a | 0 | a | 0 | and | a            | a | 0 | a | 0 |
| b     | b | b | 0 | 0 |     | b            | b | b | 0 | 0 |
| c     | c | b | c | 0 |     | c            | c | c | a | 0 |

It is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (X_1; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. On the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  consider the operation  $*_2$  given by the following table:

|       |   |   |   |   |
|-------|---|---|---|---|
| $*_2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 0     | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1     | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2     | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3     | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |

By simple calculation we can get that  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  is a (pseudo)-BCH-algebra. The direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Observe that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is proper. Let  $x = (a, 1)$ ,  $y = (a, 3)$  and  $z = (a, 2)$ . Then  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) = (0, 1) \diamond (0, 0) = (0, 1)$  and  $z * y = (0, 0)$ . Since  $(0, 1) \not\leq (0, 0)$ , we conclude that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is not a pseudo-BCI-algebra, and therefore it is a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Any (proper) pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK) can be extended to a (proper) pseudo-BCH-algebra containing one element more.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying (pBCK) and let  $\delta \notin X$ . On the set  $Y = X \cup \{\delta\}$  consider the operations:

$$x *' y = \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if } x, y \in X, \\ \delta & \text{if } x = \delta \text{ and } y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Y \text{ and } y = \delta, \end{cases}$$

and

$$x \diamond' y = \begin{cases} x \diamond y & \text{if } x, y \in X, \\ \delta & \text{if } x = \delta \text{ and } y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Y \text{ and } y = \delta. \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  satisfies the axioms (pBCH-1), (pBCH-3), and (pBCH-4). Further, the axiom (pBCH-2) is easily satisfied for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Moreover, by routine calculation we can verify it in the case when at least one of  $x, y, z$  is equal to  $\delta$ . Thus, by definition,  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Clearly, if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra, then  $(Y; *', \diamond', 0)$  is also a proper pseudo-BCH-algebra.  $\blacksquare$

From Example 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 we conclude that there are infinite many proper pseudo-BCH-algebras.

### 3. PROPERTIES OF PSEUDO-BCH-ALGEBRAS

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Define the relation  $\leq$  on  $X$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$  (or equivalently,  $x \diamond y = 0$ ).

For any  $x \in X$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} 0 *^0 x &= 0 & \text{and} & & 0 *^{n+1} x &= (0 *^n x) * x; \\ 0 \diamond^0 x &= 0 & \text{and} & & 0 \diamond^{n+1} x &= (0 \diamond^n x) \diamond x. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *In a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  the following properties hold (for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ):*

- (P1)  $x \leq y, y \leq x \implies x = y$ ;
- (P2)  $x \leq 0 \implies x = 0$ ;
- (P3)  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y, \quad x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ ;
- (P4)  $x * 0 = x = x \diamond 0$ ;
- (P5)  $0 * x = 0 \diamond x$ ;
- (P6)  $x \leq y \implies 0 * x = 0 \diamond y$ ;
- (P7)  $0 \diamond (0 * (0 \diamond x)) = 0 \diamond x, \quad 0 * (0 \diamond (0 * x)) = 0 * x$ ;
- (P8)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y)$ ;
- (P9)  $0 \diamond (x \diamond y) = (0 * x) * (0 \diamond y)$ .

**Proof.** (P1) follows from (pBCH-3).

(P2) Let  $x \leq 0$ . Then  $x * 0 = 0$ . Applying (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1) we obtain

$$0 \diamond x = (x * 0) \diamond x = (x \diamond x) * 0 = 0 * 0 = 0,$$

that is,  $0 \leq x$ . Therefore  $x = 0$  by (P1).

(P3) Using (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1) we have  $(x * (x \diamond y)) \diamond y = (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond y) = 0$ . Hence  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$ . Similarly,  $x \diamond (x * y) \leq y$ .

(P4) Putting  $y = 0$  in (P3), we have  $x * (x \diamond 0) \leq 0$  and  $x \diamond (x * 0) \leq 0$ . From (P2) we obtain  $x * (x \diamond 0) = 0$  and  $x \diamond (x * 0) = 0$ . Thus  $x \leq x \diamond 0$  and  $x \leq x * 0$ .

On the other hand,  $(x \diamond 0) * x = (x * x) \diamond 0 = 0 \diamond 0 = 0$  and  $(x * 0) \diamond x = (x \diamond x) * 0 = 0 * 0 = 0$ , and so  $x \diamond 0 \leq x$  and  $x * 0 \leq x$ . By (P1),  $x * 0 = x = x \diamond 0$ .

(P5) Applying (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2) we get  $0 * x = (x \diamond x) * x = (x * x) \diamond x = 0 \diamond x$ .

(P6) Let  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x \diamond y = 0$  and therefore  $0 * x = (x \diamond y) * x = (x * x) \diamond y = 0 \diamond y$ .

(P7) From (P3) it follows that  $0 * (0 \diamond x) \leq x$  and  $0 \diamond (0 * x) \leq x$ . Hence, using (P5) and (P6) we obtain (P7).

(P8) Applying (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y) &= (((x * y) * (x * y)) \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y) \\ &= (((x * y) \diamond x) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y) \\ &= (((x \diamond x) * y) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y) \\ &= ((0 * y) * (x * y)) \diamond (0 * y) \\ &= ((0 * y) \diamond (0 * y)) * (x * y) \\ &= 0 * (x * y). \end{aligned}$$

(P9) The proof is similar to the proof of (P8). ■

From (P1) and (P3) we get

**Corollary 3.2.** *Every pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfies (pBCI-2)–(pBCI-5).*

**Remark 3.3.** In any pseudo-BCI-algebra the relation  $\leq$  is transitive (see [3], Proposition 3.2). However, in the pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  from Example 2.5 we have  $(a, 1) \leq (a, 2)$  and  $(a, 2) \leq (a, 3)$  but  $(a, 1) \not\leq (a, 3)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra if and only if it satisfies the following implication:*

$$(3.1) \quad x \leq y \implies x * z \leq y * z, \quad x \diamond z \leq y \diamond z.$$

**Proof.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies (3.1) by Proposition 3.2 (b7) of [3]. Conversely, let (3.1) hold in  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x, y, z \in X$ . By (P3),  $x \diamond (x * z) \leq z$  and  $x * (x \diamond z) \leq z$ . Hence  $(x \diamond (x * z)) * y \leq z * y$  and  $(x * (x \diamond z)) \diamond y \leq z \diamond y$ , and so  $(x * y) \diamond (x * z) \leq z * y$  and  $(x \diamond y) * (x \diamond z) \leq z \diamond y$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfies (pBCI-1). Consequently,  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra. ■

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- (ii)  $0 * x = x = 0 \diamond x$  for every  $x \in X$ ;
- (iii)  $x * y = x \diamond y = y * x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (iv)  $x \diamond (y \diamond z) = (x \diamond y) \diamond z$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$ . We have  $x = x * 0 = x * (x * x) = (x * x) * x = 0 * x$ . By (P5),  $0 \diamond x = x$ .

(iv)  $\implies$  (ii). The proof is similar to the above proof.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii). Let (ii) hold and  $x, y \in X$ . Applying (P8) and (pBCH-2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x * y &= 0 * (x * y) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y) \\ &= x \diamond y \\ &= (0 * x) \diamond y = (0 \diamond y) * x = y * x. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (i). Let  $x, y, z \in X$ . Using (iii) and (pBCH-2) we get

$$x * (y * z) = (y \diamond z) * x = (y * x) \diamond z = (x * y) * z.$$

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) has a proof similar to the proof of implication (iii)  $\implies$  (i). Hence all the conditions are equivalent. ■

**Corollary 3.6.** *If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra satisfying the identity  $0 * x = x$ , then  $(X; *, 0)$  is an Abelian group each element of which has order 2 (that is, a Boolean group).*

#### 4. THE CENTRE OF A PSEUDO-BCH-ALGEBRA. IDEALS

An element  $a$  of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be *minimal* if for every  $x \in X$  the following implication

$$x \leq a \implies x = a$$

holds.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent (for every  $x \in X$ ):*

- (i)  $a$  is minimal;
- (ii)  $x \diamond (x * a) = a$ ;
- (iii)  $0 \diamond (0 * a) = a$ ;
- (iv)  $a * x = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * a)$ ;
- (v)  $a * x = 0 \diamond (x * a)$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\implies$  (ii). By (P2),  $x \diamond (x * a) \leq a$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $a$  is minimal, we get (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii). Obvious.

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv). We have  $a * x = (0 \diamond (0 * a)) * x = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * a)$ .

(iv)  $\implies$  (v). Applying (P5) and (P8) we see that

$$0 \diamond (x * a) = 0 * (x * a) = (0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * a) = (0 * x) \diamond (0 * a) = a * x.$$

(v)  $\implies$  (i). Let  $x \leq a$ . Then  $x * a = 0$  and hence  $a * x = 0 \diamond (x * a) = 0$ . Thus  $a \leq x$ . Consequently,  $x = a$ .  $\blacksquare$

Replacing  $*$  by  $\diamond$  and  $\diamond$  by  $*$  in Proposition 4.1 we obtain

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then for every  $x \in X$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a$  is minimal;
- (ii)  $x * (x \diamond a) = a$ ;
- (iii)  $0 * (0 \diamond a) = a$ ;
- (iv)  $a \diamond x = (0 \diamond x) * (0 \diamond a)$ ;
- (v)  $a \diamond x = 0 * (x \diamond a)$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $a \in X$ . Then  $a$  is minimal if and only if there is an element  $x \in X$  such that  $a = 0 * x$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  be a minimal element of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $a = 0 * (0 \diamond a)$ . If we set  $x = 0 \diamond a$ , then  $a = 0 * x$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $a = 0 * x$  for some  $x \in X$ . Using (P7) we get

$$0 * (0 \diamond a) = 0 * (0 \diamond (0 * x)) = 0 * x = a.$$

From Proposition 4.2 it follows that  $a$  is minimal.  $\blacksquare$

For  $x \in X$ , set

$$\bar{x} = 0 \diamond (0 * x).$$

By (P5),  $\bar{x} = 0 * (0 * x) = 0 \diamond (0 \diamond x) = 0 * (0 \diamond x)$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. For any  $x, y \in X$  we have:*

- (a)  $\overline{x * y} = \bar{x} * \bar{y}$ ;
- (b)  $\overline{x \diamond y} = \bar{x} \diamond \bar{y}$ ;
- (c)  $\bar{\bar{x}} = \bar{x}$ .

**Proof.** (a) Applying (P8) and (P9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{x * y} &= 0 \diamond (0 * (x * y)) = 0 \diamond [(0 \diamond x) \diamond (0 * y)] \\ &= [0 * (0 \diamond x)] * [0 \diamond (0 * y)] = \bar{x} * \bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) has a proof similar to (a).

(c) By (P7),  $0 * (0 \diamond (0 * x)) = 0 * x$ , that is,  $0 * \bar{x} = 0 * x$ . Hence  $\bar{\bar{x}} = 0 \diamond (0 * \bar{x}) = 0 \diamond (0 * x) = \bar{x}$ . ■

Following the terminology from BCH-algebras (see [2], Definition 5) the set  $\{x \in X : x = \bar{x}\}$  will be called the *centre* of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We shall denote it by  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . By Proposition 4.1,  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is the set of all minimal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . We have

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \{\bar{x} : x \in X\}.$$

Define  $\Phi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  by  $\Phi(x) = \bar{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Proposition 4.4,  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{X}$  onto  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . We also obtain

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $x, y \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Then for every  $z \in X$  we have*

$$(4.2) \quad x \diamond (z * y) = y * (z \diamond x).$$

**Proof.** Let  $z \in X$ . Using Propositions 4.2 and 4.1 we obtain

$$x \diamond (z * y) = [z * (z \diamond x)] \diamond (z * y) = [z \diamond (z * y)] * (z \diamond x) = y * (z \diamond x),$$

that is, (4.2) holds. ■

Following [5], a pseudo-BCI-algebra  $(X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is said to be *p-semisimple* if it satisfies for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$0 \leq x \implies x = 0.$$



From Theorem 3.1 of [5] it follows that if  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; \leq, *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra, then  $\mathfrak{X}$  is  $p$ -semisimple if and only if  $x = \bar{x}$  for every  $x \in X$  (that is,  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}$ ).

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a  $p$ -semisimple pseudo-BCI-algebra.*

**Proof.** Since  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Let  $x, y, z \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x \leq y$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  are minimal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we get  $x = y$ . Hence  $x * z \leq y * z$  and  $x \diamond z \leq y \diamond z$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is a pseudo-BCI-algebra. Obviously,  $x = \bar{x}$  for every  $x \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , and therefore  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  is  $p$ -semisimple. ■

**Remark 4.8.** From Theorem 3.6 of [5] we deduce that  $(\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}; +, 0)$  is a group, where  $x + y$  is  $x * (0 \diamond y)$  for all  $x, y \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $X$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. A subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called an ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies for all  $x, y \in X$

- (I1)  $0 \in I$ ;
- (I2) if  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

We will denote by  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$  the set of all ideals of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Obviously,  $\{0\}, X \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $I \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X})$ . For any  $x, y \in X$ , if  $y \in I$  and  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \in I$ .*

**Proof.** Straightforward. ■

**Proposition 4.11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and  $I$  be a subset of  $X$  satisfying (I1). Then  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  if and only if for all  $x, y \in X$ ,*

- (I2') if  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \in I$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Suppose that  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $y \in I$ . By (P3),  $x * (x \diamond y) \leq y$  and from Proposition 4.10 it follows that  $x * (x \diamond y) \in I$ . Therefore, since  $x \diamond y \in I$  and  $I$  satisfies (I2), we obtain  $x \in I$ , that is, (I2') holds. The proof of the implication (I2')  $\Rightarrow$  (I2) is analogous. ■

**Example 4.12.** Let  $X = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ . Define binary operations  $*$  and  $\diamond$  on  $X$  by the following tables:

|     |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|---|---|
| $*$ | 0 | a | b | c | d |
| 0   | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | d |
| a   | a | 0 | a | 0 | d |
| b   | b | b | 0 | 0 | d |
| c   | c | b | c | 0 | d |
| d   | d | d | d | d | 0 |

|            |   |   |   |   |   |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\diamond$ | 0 | a | b | c | d |
| 0          | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | d |
| a          | a | 0 | a | 0 | d |
| b          | b | b | 0 | 0 | d |
| c          | c | c | a | 0 | d |
| d          | d | d | d | d | 0 |

By routine calculation,  $\mathfrak{X} = (X; *, \diamond, 0)$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. It is easy to see that  $\text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{\{0\}, \{0, a\}, \{0, b\}, \{0, a, b, c\}, X\}$ .

The following two propositions give the homomorphic properties of ideal.

**Proposition 4.13.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras. If  $\varphi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  is a homomorphism and  $J \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{Y})$ , then the inverse image  $\varphi^{-1}(J)$  of  $J$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proof.** Straightforward. ■

**Proposition 4.14.** *Let  $\varphi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$  be a surjective homomorphism. If  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  containing  $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ , then  $\varphi(I)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $0 \in I$ , we have  $0 = \varphi(0) \in \varphi(I)$ . Let  $x, y \in Y$  and suppose that  $x * y, y \in \varphi(I)$ . Then there are  $a \in X$  and  $b, c \in I$  such that  $x = \varphi(a)$ ,  $y = \varphi(b)$  and  $x * y = \varphi(c)$ . We have  $\varphi(a * b) = \varphi(c)$  and hence  $(a * b) * c \in \varphi^{-1}(0) \subseteq I$ . By the definition of an ideal,  $a \in I$ . Consequently,  $x = \varphi(a) \in \varphi(I)$ . This means that  $\varphi(I)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ . ■

**Definition 4.15.** An ideal  $I$  of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is said to be *closed* if  $0 * x \in I$  for every  $x \in I$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** *An ideal  $I$  of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  is closed if and only if  $I$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $x, y \in I$ . By (pBCH-2) and (pBCH-1),

$$\begin{aligned} [(x * y) * (0 * y)] \diamond x &= [(x * y) \diamond x] * (0 * y) \\ &= [(x \diamond x) * y] * (0 * y) \\ &= (0 * y) * (0 * y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $[(x * y) * (0 * y)] \diamond x \in I$ . Since  $x, 0 * y \in I$ , we have  $x * y \in I$ . Similarly,  $x \diamond y \in I$ . Conversely, if  $I$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $x \in I$  and  $0 \in I$  imply  $0 * x \in I$ . ■

**Theorem 4.17.** *Every ideal of a finite pseudo-BCH-algebra is closed.*

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of a finite pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let  $a \in I$ . Suppose that  $|X| = n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . At least two of the  $n + 1$  elements:

$$0, 0 * a, 0 *^2 a, \dots, 0 *^n a$$

are equal, for instance,  $0 *^r a = 0 *^s a$ , where  $0 \leq s < r \leq n$ . Hence

$$0 = (0 *^r a) \diamond (0 *^s a) = [(0 *^s a) \diamond (0 *^s a)] *^{r-s} a = 0 *^{r-s} a.$$

Therefore  $0 *^{r-s} a \in I$ . Since  $a \in I$ , by definition,  $0 * a \in I$ . Consequently,  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . ■

For any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we set

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{x \in X : 0 \leq x\}.$$

Observe that  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0\}$ . Indeed,  $0 \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  and if  $x \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} \cap \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ , then  $x = 0 \diamond (0 * x) = 0 \diamond 0 = 0$ .

In Example 4.12,  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \{0, d\}$  and  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{0, a, b, c\}$ .

It is easy to see that

$$x \in \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) \iff \bar{x} = 0 \iff x \in \Phi^{-1}(0).$$

Thus

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) = \Phi^{-1}(0).$$

**Proposition 4.18.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  is a closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proof.** By (4.3) and Proposition 4.13,  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Then  $\bar{x} = 0$  and hence  $\Phi(0 * x) = 0 * \bar{x} = 0$ . Consequently,  $0 * x \in \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ . Thus  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  is a closed ideal. ■

**Corollary 4.19.** *For any pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  the set  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , and so it is a pseudo-BCH-algebra.*

**Proposition 4.20.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be pseudo-BCH-algebras. Then:*

- (a)  $\text{Cen}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}) = \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{Y})$ ;
- (b)  $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{Y}) = \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{Y})$ .

**Proof.** This is immediate from definitions. ■

For any element  $a$  of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we define a subset  $V(a)$  of  $X$  as

$$V(a) = \{x \in X : a \leq x\}.$$

Note that  $V(a) \neq \emptyset$ , because  $a \leq a$  gives  $a \in V(a)$ . Furthermore,  $V(0) = \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{X})$ .

**Proposition 4.21.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then for each  $x \in X$  there exists a unique element  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $a \leq x$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$ . Take  $a = \bar{x}$ , that is,  $a = 0 \diamond (0 * x)$ . By (P3),  $a \leq x$ . From (4.1) it follows that  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . To prove uniqueness, let  $b \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  be such that  $b \leq x$ . Then  $b \diamond x = 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 * b = (b \diamond x) * b = (b * b) \diamond x = 0 \diamond x = 0 * x$$

and hence  $b = \bar{b} = 0 \diamond (0 * b) = 0 \diamond (0 * x) = \bar{x} = a$ . ■

**Lemma 4.22.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and  $a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Then*

$$V(a) = \Phi^{-1}(a).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x \in V(a)$ , that is,  $a \leq x$ . We have  $\bar{x} \leq x$ . Since  $a, \bar{x} \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , by Proposition 4.21,  $a = \bar{x}$ , that is,  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(a)$ . Conversely, if  $a = \bar{x}$ , then  $a \leq x$  by (P3). Hence  $x \in V(a)$ . ■

**Proposition 4.23.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra. Then:*

- (a)  $X = \bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}} V(a)$ ;
- (b) if  $a, b \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  and  $a \neq b$ , then  $V(a) \cap V(b) = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** (a) Clearly,  $\bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}} V(a) \subseteq X$  and let  $x \in X$ . Obviously,  $x \in V(\bar{x})$  and  $\bar{x} \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Therefore,  $x \in \bigcup_{a \in \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}} V(a)$ .

(b) Let  $a, b \in \text{Cen}(\mathfrak{X})$  and  $a \neq b$ . On the contrary suppose that  $V(a) \cap V(b) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in V(a) \cap V(b)$ . Then  $a \leq x$  and  $b \leq x$ . From Proposition 4.21 it follows that  $a = b$ , a contradiction. ■

We now establish a relationship between the ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra and the ideals of its centre.

**Proposition 4.24.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $A \subseteq \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A$  is an ideal of  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ ;
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I = \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$ . From Lemma 4.22 we have  $I = \bigcup_{a \in A} \Phi^{-1}(a) = \Phi^{-1}(A)$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $A \in \text{Id}(\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X})$ . By Proposition 4.13,  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .  
(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since  $I = \Phi^{-1}(A)$ , we conclude that  $A = \Phi(I)$ . Obviously,  $0 \in A$  and hence  $\Phi^{-1}(0) \subseteq I$ . Applying Proposition 4.14 we deduce that  $A$  is an ideal of  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . ■

**Theorem 4.25.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals of a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$  containing  $K(\mathfrak{X})$  and ideals of  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proof.** Set  $\mathcal{I} = \{I \in \text{Id}(\mathfrak{X}) : I \supseteq K(\mathfrak{X})\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Id}(\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X})$ . We consider two functions:

$$f : I \in \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{\bar{x} : x \in I\} \quad \text{and} \quad g : A \in \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a).$$

Since  $f(I) = \Phi(I)$ , from Proposition 4.14 we conclude that  $f$  maps  $\mathcal{I}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 4.24,  $g(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a) \in \mathcal{I}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and therefore  $g$  maps  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathcal{I}$ . We have

$$(4.4) \quad (f \circ g)(A) = \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(A)) = A \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Obviously,  $I \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I))$ . Let now  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I))$ , that is,  $\bar{x} = \bar{a}$  for some  $a \in I$ . Then  $\Phi(x * a) = 0$ , and hence  $x * a \in \Phi^{-1}(0)$ . Therefore,  $x * a \in I$  (since  $\Phi^{-1}(0) = K(\mathfrak{X}) \subseteq I$ ). By definition,  $x \in I$ . Thus  $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I)) = I$ . Consequently,

$$(4.5) \quad (g \circ f)(I) = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(I)) = I \quad \text{for all } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

We conclude from (4.4) and (4.5) that  $f \circ g = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $g \circ f = \text{id}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , hence that  $f$  and  $g$  are inverse bijections between  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . ■

**Example 4.26.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}_1 = (\{0, a, b, c\}; *_1, \diamond_1, 0)$  be the pseudo-BCH-algebra from our Example 2.5. Consider the set  $X_2 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with the operation  $*_2$  defined by the following table:

|       |   |   |   |   |   |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|
| $*_2$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 0     | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 1     | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 2     | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 3     | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 4     | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

From Example 3 of [17] it follows that  $\mathfrak{X}_2 = (X_2; *_2, *_2, 0)$  is a (pseudo)-BCH-algebra. The direct product  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_2$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra. From Proposition 4.20 we have  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X} = \{0\} \times \{0, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $K(\mathfrak{X}) = X_1 \times \{0, 1\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{Id}(\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}) = \{\{(0, 0)\}, \{(0, 0), (0, 3)\}, \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}\}$ . Then, by Theorem 4.25,  $\mathfrak{X}$  has three ideals containing  $K(\mathfrak{X})$ , namely:  $K(\mathfrak{X})$ ,  $K(\mathfrak{X}) \cup \{(0, 3)\}$ ,  $(a, 3)$ ,  $(b, 3)$ ,  $(c, 3)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

Now we shall show that the centre  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$  defines a regular congruence on a pseudo-BCH-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\text{Con}\mathfrak{X}$  denote the set of all congruences on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and let

$\theta \in \text{Con}\mathfrak{X}$ . For  $x \in X$ , we write  $x/\theta$  for the congruence class containing  $x$ , that is,  $x/\theta = \{y \in X : y\theta x\}$ . Set  $X/\theta = \{x/\theta : x \in X\}$ . It is easy to see that the factor algebra  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta = \langle X/\theta; *, \diamond, 0/\theta \rangle$  satisfies (pBCH-1) and (pBCH-2). The axioms (pBCH-3) and (pBCH-4) are not necessarily satisfied. If  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta$  is a pseudo-BCH-algebra, then we say that  $\theta$  is *regular*.

**Remark 4.27.** A. Wroński has shown that non-regular congruences exist in BCK-algebras (see [18]) and hence in pseudo-BCH-algebras.

**Theorem 4.28.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a pseudo-BCH-algebra and let  $\theta_c = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : \bar{x} = \bar{y}\}$ . Then  $\theta_c$  is a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta_c \cong \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ .*

**Proof.** The mapping  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathfrak{X}$  onto  $\text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ . Moreover we have

$$\text{Ker}\Phi = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : \Phi(x) = \Phi(y)\} = \theta_c.$$

By the Isomorphism Theorem we get  $\mathfrak{X}/\theta_c \cong \text{Cen}\mathfrak{X}$ , and therefore  $\theta_c$  is a regular congruence on  $\mathfrak{X}$ . ■

### Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to the referee for his/her very careful reading and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Borzooei, A.B. Saeid, A. Rezaei, A. Radfar and R. Ameri, *On pseudo-BE-algebras*, Discuss. Math. General Algebra and Appl. **33** (2013) 95–97. doi:10.7151/dmgaa.1193
- [2] M.A. Chaudhry, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica **36** (1991) 665–676.
- [3] W.A. Dudek and Y.B. Jun, *Pseudo-BCI-algebras*, East Asian Math. J. **24** (2008) 187–190.
- [4] G. Dymek, *Atoms and ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Comment. Math. **52** (2012) 73–90.
- [5] G. Dymek, *p-semisimple pseudo-BCI-algebras*, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. **19** (2012) 461–474.
- [6] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-MV algebras: a noncommutative extension of MV algebras*, in: The Proc. of the Fourth International Symp. on Economic Informatics (Bucharest, Romania, May 1999) 961–968.
- [7] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BL algebras: a noncommutative extension of BL algebras*, in: Abstracts of the Fifth International Conference FSTA 2000 (Slovakia, February, 2000) 90–92.

- [8] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BCK algebras: an extension of BCK algebras*, in: Proc. of DMTCS'01: Combinatorics, Computability and Logic (Springer, London, 2001) 97–114.
- [9] Q.P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **11** (1983) 313–320.
- [10] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, *On axiom systems of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966) 19–22.
- [11] K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966) 26–29.
- [12] Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers, *On pseudo-BCI ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Matem. Vesnik **58** (2006) 39–46.
- [13] Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and J. Neggers, *Pseudo-d-algebras*, Information Sciences **179** (2009) 1751–1759. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2009.01.021
- [14] Y.H. Kim and K.S. So, *On minimality in pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (2012) 7–13. doi:10.4134/CKMS.2012.27.1.007
- [15] K.J. Lee and Ch.H. Park, *Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, J. Appl. & Informatics **27** (2009) 217–231.
- [16] J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, *On d-algebras*, Math. Slovaca **49** (1999) 19–26.
- [17] A.B. Saeid and A. Namdar, *On n-fold ideals in BCH-algebras and computation algorithms*, World Applied Sciences Journal **7** (2009) 64–69.
- [18] A. Wroński, *BCK-algebras do not form a variety*, Math. Japon. **28** (1983) 211–213.

Received 10 July 2013  
Revised 13 November 2014