

## NEW VERSIONS ON NIKAIDÔ'S COINCIDENCE THEOREM

LIANG-JU CHU AND CHING-YAN LIN<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics  
National Taiwan Normal University  
Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China*

### Abstract

In 1959, Nikaidô established a remarkable coincidence theorem in a compact Hausdorff topological space, to generalize and to give a unified treatment to the results of Gale regarding the existence of economic equilibrium and the theorems in game problems. The main purpose of the present paper is to deduce several generalized key results based on this very powerful result, together with some KKM property. Indeed, we shall simplify and reformulate a few coincidence theorems on acyclic multifunctions, as well as some Górniewicz-type fixed point theorems. Beyond the realm of monotonicity nor metrizable, the results derived here generalize and unify various earlier ones from the classic optimization theory. In the sequel, we shall deduce two versions of Nikaidô's coincidence theorem about Vietoris maps from different approaches.

**Keywords:** Vietoris map, Nikaidô's coincidence theorem, Fan-type element, Górniewicz-type fixed point theorem, coincidence, variational inequality, acyclic multifunction, partition of unity, local intersection property, KKM mapping, locally selectable multifunction.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H04, 47H10, 49J35, 52A99, 58E35.

---

<sup>1</sup>This work is partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under the grant NSC 90-2115-M003-004.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

An *acyclic* space is a nonempty compact Hausdorff path connected with a topological space whose  $n$ -th homology group is zero for each  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Homology, taken over any fixed field of coefficients, is in terms of either Vietoris or Čech cycles, as in Begle [2, 3, 4]. For example, any nonempty compact convex set and any compact contractible space are acyclic. In this paper, we will establish several unified coincidence theorems, together with fixed point theorems, and several corollaries from them for acyclic multifunctions. Beyond the realm of monotonicity and convexity on operators, the results derived here generalize and unify various earlier ones from classic optimization theory, as will be indicated below. For the purpose, we shall adopt a technical result from Nikaidô [19]. Indeed, a remarkable coincidence theorem, due to Nikaidô, is proved by using a result of Begle [2, 3, 4] plus the outline of the Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz [16] proof of Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

**Nikaidô's Coincidence Theorem** [19, Theorem 3]. Let  $M$  be a compact Hausdorff topological space,  $N$  a finite-dimensional compact convex set, and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be continuous functions from  $M$  to  $N$ . If  $\tau$  is onto and the inverse image  $\tau^{-1}(q)$  is acyclic for each  $q \in N$  (such a function  $\tau$  is called a *Vietoris map*), then there exists some  $p \in M$  such that  $\sigma(p) = \tau(p)$ .

In particular, when  $T = \tau^{-1}$  and  $f = \sigma$ , Nikaidô's coincidence theorem implies

**Górniewicz's Fixed Point Theorem** [10, 13, 14]. Let  $P$  be an  $n$ -simplex in a topological vector space  $X$ , and  $Y$  be any compact Hausdorff topological space. If  $T : P \rightarrow Y$  is an acyclic multifunction and  $f : Y \rightarrow P$  is a continuous function, then  $f \circ T : P \rightarrow P$  has a fixed point.

Indeed, Górniewicz theorems are results of Lefschetz type theorems. Accordingly, there exist  $x \in P$  and  $y \in T(x)$  such that  $x = f(y)$ . Such a pair  $(x, y)$  is called a coincidence for  $T$  and  $f$ . For multifunctions  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $S : Y \rightarrow X$ , we define the most central of the problems treated here, the *coincidence* for  $T$  and  $S$ , to be a pair  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  with  $y \in T(x)$  and  $x \in S(y)$ . When  $X = Y$  and  $S$  is the identity map on  $X$ , then the coincidence problem is reduced to the fixed-point problem of  $T$ .

We digress briefly now to list a little notation and review some definitions. Suppose that  $C$  and  $D$  are subsets of topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

respectively. In this paper, we shall use *LC spaces* to indicate the class of locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces. A *multifunction*  $T$  from  $C$  to  $D$ , written as  $T : C \longrightarrow D$ , is simply a function which assigns each point  $x$  of  $C$  to a (possibly empty) subset  $T(x)$  of  $D$ . The *domain*, *range*, *graph* and *inverse* of  $T$  are defined, respectively, by

$$D(T) := \{x \in C; T(x) \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$R(T) := \{y \in D; y \in T(x) \text{ for some } x \in D(T)\},$$

$$G(T) := \{(x, y) \in C \times D; y \in T(x)\},$$

and

$$G(T^{-1}) := \{(y, x) \in D \times C; (x, y) \in G(T)\}.$$

A multifunction  $T : C \longrightarrow D$  is *upper semicontinuous at  $x$*  provided for each open set  $V$  containing  $T(x)$ , there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $x$  such that  $T(y)$  is contained in  $V$  whenever  $y$  is in  $U$ . We shall say  $T$  is *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) provided  $T$  is u.s.c. at each  $x$ .  $T$  will be called to be *acyclic* provided  $T$  is u.s.c. and  $T(x)$  is acyclic for each  $x$ . It is known from a Künneth theorem (see Massey [18]) that the Cartesian product of two acyclic multifunctions is acyclic.  $T$  is *closed* if the graph of  $T$  is closed in  $C \times D$ . It is also known that any u.s.c. compact-valued multifunction  $T : C \longrightarrow D$  is closed. Conversely, if  $T$  is closed and  $D$  is compact, then  $T$  is also u.s.c.. When  $R(T)$  is contained in some compact subset of  $D$ , we shall say  $T$  to be *compact*; in other words,  $clR(T)$  is compact in  $D$ . Therefore, any compact closed multifunction is u.s.c.. It is clear that  $T$  is compact whenever  $D$  is compact. As well, when  $C$  is compact, any u.s.c. compact-valued multifunction  $T$  is compact. Further,  $T$  is said to have the *local intersection property* if, for each  $x \in C$  with  $T(x) \neq \emptyset$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $N(x)$  of  $x$  such that

$$\bigcap_{z \in N(x)} T(z) \neq \emptyset.$$

The following proposition provides a relation between Vietoris maps and acyclic multifunctions.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be nonempty subsets of Hausdorff topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and let  $\tau$  be a continuous Vietoris map*

from  $M$  to  $N$ . If  $M$  is compact, then the multifunction  $\tau^{-1} : N \rightarrow M$  is acyclic.

**Proof.** Let  $T = \tau^{-1}$ . Since  $\tau$  is a Vietoris map,  $T(q) = \tau^{-1}(q)$  is nonempty and acyclic for each  $q \in N$ . Notice that

$$G(\tau) = \{(y, x) \in M \times N; \tau(y) = x\} = \{(y, x) \in M \times \tau(M); \tau(y) = x\}$$

is a closed subset of  $M \times \tau(M)$ , as  $\tau$  is continuous. It follows that

$$G(T) = G(\tau^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in N \times M; y \in \tau^{-1}x\} = \{(x, y) \in N \times M; \tau(y) = x\}$$

is also closed. This shows that  $T$  is a closed multifunction. Since  $R(T)$  is contained in the compact set  $M$ , it follows that  $T$  is u.s.c., and hence  $T$  is an acyclic multifunction. ■

For a subset  $C$  of  $X$ , the closure and the convex hull of  $C$  will be denoted by  $clC$  and  $coC$ , respectively. For such a pair  $(T, C)$  and a function  $\phi : C \times C \times D \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ , we shall also consider an auxiliary problem, called the *generalized variational inequality problem* :

$GVI(T, C, \phi)$  : Find  $\bar{x} \in C$  and  $\bar{y} \in T(\bar{x})$  such that  $\phi(x, \bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 0, \forall x \in C$ .

In particular, if  $\phi(z, x, y) := \langle z - x, y \rangle, \forall (z, x, y) \in C \times C \times D$ , the problem  $GVI(T, C, \phi)$  reduces to the usual variational inequality  $VI(T, C)$ . Furthermore, when  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space, with dual  $X^*$ , and  $T$  is the subdifferential of a convex function  $f : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ ; i.e.,

$$T(x) = \partial f(x) := \{y \in X^*; f(z) - f(x) \geq \langle z - x, y \rangle, \forall z \in X\},$$

it is easy to see that  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  solves  $VI(T, C)$  only if  $\bar{x}$  solves the abstract convex programming problem  $\min\{f(x); x \in C\}$ .

Finally, we expose a general continuous selection theorem. A *locally selectable multifunction*  $T : C \rightarrow D$  is a multifunction satisfying for each  $x \in C$  there exist an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  and a continuous mapping  $f_x : C \rightarrow D$  such that

$$f_x(y) \in T(y), \forall y \in U_x \cap C.$$

In virtue of partition of unity, we follow mainly an idea from Wu and Shen [22] to establish a unified continuous selection theorem as follows.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $S : C \longrightarrow D$  be a multifunction, where  $C$  is a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $X$ , and  $D$  is a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space  $Y$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $C$  and any one of the following properties holds, then there exists a continuous selection  $f$  from  $S$  on  $K$ ; that is,  $f(x) \in S(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in K$ .*

- (I) *There exists a multifunction  $A : K \longrightarrow D$  satisfying*
  - (i)  *$A(x)$  is nonempty and  $\text{co}A(x) \subset S(x)$  for each  $x \in K$ ,*
  - (ii)  *$A$  is locally selectionable.*
- (II) *There exists a multifunction  $A : K \longrightarrow D$  satisfying*
  - (i)  *$A(x)$  is nonempty and  $\text{co}A(x) \subset S(x)$  for each  $x \in K$ ,*
  - (ii)  *$A$  has the local intersection property.*
- (III) *There exists a multifunction  $A : K \longrightarrow D$  satisfying*
  - (i)  *$A(x)$  is nonempty and  $\text{co}A(x) \subset S(x)$  for each  $x \in K$ ,*
  - (ii)  *$A^{-1}(y)$  is open for each  $y \in D$ .*

**Proof.** (I) Since  $A$  is locally selectionable, for any  $x \in K \subset C$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  and a continuous mapping  $f_x : K \longrightarrow D$  such that

$$f_x(y) \in A(y), \forall y \in U_x \cap K.$$

Since  $\{U_x; x \in K\}$  forms an open covering of the compact set  $K$ , there is a finite subcover  $\{U_{x_1}, U_{x_2}, \dots, U_{x_n}\}$  of  $K$ . Thus, there is a partition of unity subordinate to this subcover; that is, there are continuous functions  $\varphi_k : K \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that

- (i) for each  $k$ ,  $\varphi_k(y) = 0$ ,  $\forall y \notin U_{x_k}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y) = 1$ ,  $\forall y \in K$ .

Define a mapping  $f : K \longrightarrow Y$  by

$$f(y) := \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y) f_{x_k}(y), \forall y \in K.$$

Thus,  $f$  is clearly continuous. Note that for  $y \in K$  with  $\varphi_k(y) \neq 0$ , the condition (i) yields  $y \in U_{x_k}$ , and hence  $f_{x_k}(y) \in A(y)$ . It follows that

$$f(y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y) f_{x_k}(y) \in \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_k(y) A(y) \subset \text{co}A(y) \subset S(y), \forall y \in K.$$

This shows that  $f$  is a continuous selection from  $S$  on  $K$ .

(II) Since  $A$  has the local intersection property, for each  $x \in K$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $N(x)$  of  $x$  such that

$$F(x) := \bigcap_{z \in N(x)} A(z) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since  $K$  is compact, there is a finite open cover  $\{N(x_i); i \in I\}$  of  $K$  and a partition of unity subordinated to this cover, say  $\{f_i; i \in I\}$ , such that

- (i)  $f_i(x) = 0, \forall x \notin N(x_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i \in I} f_i(x) = 1, \forall x \in K$ .

Now, we choose any  $y_i \in F(x_i)$  for each  $i \in I$ , and define  $f : K \longrightarrow Y$  by

$$f(x) := \sum_{i \in I} f_i(x) y_i, \forall x \in K.$$

Then  $f$  is clearly continuous. Moreover, for each  $x \in K$  and each  $i \in I$ , if  $f_i(x) \neq 0$ , then  $x \in N(x_i)$ . It follows that  $y_i \in F(x_i) \subset A(x)$ , and hence

$$f(x) \in \text{co}\{y_i; f_i(x) \neq 0\} \subset \text{co}A(x) \subset S(x), \forall x \in K.$$

This yields that  $f$  is a continuous selection from  $S$  on  $K$ .

(III) Since  $A^{-1}(y)$  is open for each  $y \in D$ , then for each  $x \in C$  with  $A(x) \neq \emptyset$ , we can choose a  $y \in A(x)$  and let  $N(x) = A^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $N(x)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and  $y \in \bigcap_{z \in N(x)} A(z)$ . Hence,  $A$  has the local intersection property, and the proof is complete. ■

As an application of Nikaidô's Coincidence Theorem, a new coincidence theorem is obtained as follows. This result shall be further extended, respectively; see Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $X$  and  $D$  be a nonempty convex subset of a topological vector space  $Y$ . If  $S : C \longrightarrow D$  is a multifunction satisfying any one of conditions*

(II)~(III) in Proposition 1.2 with  $K = C$ , and  $T : D \longrightarrow C$  is an acyclic multifunction, then there is a coincidence for  $S$  and  $T$ ; that is, there is some  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in C \times D$  such that  $\bar{y} \in S(\bar{x})$  and  $\bar{x} \in T(\bar{y})$ .

**Proof.** Following the proof of Proposition 1.2, we have a finite subset  $\{y_i; i \in I\}$  of  $D$  and a continuous selection  $f$  from  $S$ . Let  $N := \text{co}\{y_i; i \in I\}$ . Then  $N$  is a finite-dimensional compact convex subset of  $D$ . Since  $T$  is u.s.c., the image  $T(N)$  is compact. Thus, the closed subset

$$M := (T(N) \times N) \cap G(T^{-1})$$

of the compact set  $T(N) \times N$  is also compact. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be two maps from  $M$  into  $N$  defined by  $\sigma(x, y) := f(x)$  and  $\tau(x, y) := y$ . Then they are continuous and  $\tau$  is a Vietoris map, since

$$\tau^{-1}(y) = \{(x, y) \in M; \tau(x, y) = y\} = T(y) \times \{y\}$$

is an acyclic set for each  $y \in N$ . Applying Nikaidô's coincidence theorem, we have some  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in M \subset C \times D$  such that  $\sigma(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \tau(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ . It follows that  $\bar{x} \in T(\bar{y})$  and  $\bar{y} = \sigma(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = f(\bar{x}) \in S(\bar{x})$ . ■

## 2. THE FIRST VERSION OF NIKAIDÔ'S COINCIDENCE THEOREM

We begin with a technical result regarding the existence of Fan-type elements [8, 9]. The versatile tool to prove it is adapted from Nikaidô [19], where there is a consequence of the Lefschetz fixed point theorem. For the bibliography, see also [4, 5, 6, 10, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ ,  $D$  a subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ , and  $T : C \longrightarrow D$  be an acyclic multifunction. Suppose that*

$$F(z, x) = \{y \in T(x); \varphi_1(z, y) \geq \varphi_2(x, y)\}, \quad \forall z, x \in C,$$

where  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : C \times D \longrightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  are functions satisfying

- (i)  $\varphi_1(x, y) \geq \varphi_2(x, y)$ ,  $\forall (x, y) \in G(T)$ ,
- (ii) for each  $y \in D$  the map  $x \mapsto \varphi_1(x, y)$  is quasiconvex on  $C$ ,
- (iii) for each  $x \in C$  the map  $y \mapsto \varphi_1(x, y)$  is continuous on  $D$ ,
- (iv) the function  $\varphi_2$  is l.s.c. on  $C \times D$ .

Then there exists a Fan-type element  $\bar{x} \in C$  such that

$$\bigcap_{z \in C} F(z, \bar{x}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proof.** First, we observe that  $G(T)$  is compact, as it is a closed subset of the compact set  $C \times T(C)$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the natural projections of the graph  $G(T)$  of  $T$  onto  $C$  and  $T(C)$ , respectively. That is, for any  $p := (x, y) \in G(T)$  we have  $\alpha(p) := x$  and  $\beta(p) := y$ . Thus for all  $z \in C$  the sets

$$A(z) := \{p \in G(T); \varphi_1(z, \beta(p)) \geq \varphi_2(p)\}$$

are each nonempty and compact. To complete the proof we need to show that

$$(1) \quad \bigcap \{A(z); z \in C\} \neq \emptyset.$$

For this, it will suffice to show just that

$$(2) \quad \bigcap \{A(z_i); i \in I\} \neq \emptyset,$$

for any nonempty finite subset  $\{z_i; i \in I\}$  of  $C$ . Assume now that there is a finite subset  $\{z_i; i \in I\}$  of  $C$  such that

$$\bigcap \{A(z_i); i \in I\} = \emptyset.$$

Then for each  $p \in G(T)$

$$f_I(p) := \min\{\varphi_1(z_i, \beta(p)); i \in I\} < \varphi_2(p).$$

More specifically, since  $\varphi_2 - f_I$  is l.s.c. on the compact set  $G(T)$ , for each  $p \in G(T)$  there exists some  $j \in I$  such that

$$(3) \quad \varphi_1(z_j, \beta(p)) = f_I(p) < \epsilon + f_I(p) \leq \varphi_2(p),$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a positive number, given by

$$\epsilon := \inf\{\varphi_2(p) - f_I(p); p \in G(T)\} > 0.$$

Let

$$\theta_i(p) := \max\{0, \epsilon + f_I(p) - \varphi_1(z_i, \beta(p))\}.$$

It follows from (3) that the formula

$$\theta(p) := \frac{\sum_{i \in I} \theta_i(p) z_i}{\sum_{i \in I} \theta_i(p)}$$

specifies a well-defined continuous function from  $G(T)$  to the set  $S := \text{co}\{z_i; i \in I\}$ . Also, the projection  $\alpha$  maps  $L := G(T) \cap (S \times T(C))$  into  $S$  continuously, with  $\alpha^{-1}(x) = \{x\} \times T(x)$ , an acyclic subset of  $L$  for each  $x \in S \subset C$ . Therefore, Nikaidô's coincidence theorem yields some  $\bar{p} := (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in L$  such that  $\theta(\bar{p}) = \alpha(\bar{p})$ . Let  $J := \{i \in I; \theta_i(\bar{p}) > 0\}$ . Then  $J$  is nonempty by (3). Since  $\theta_i(\bar{p}) > 0$  for every  $i \in J$ , it follows that

$$\epsilon + f_I(\bar{p}) - \varphi_1(z_i, \beta(\bar{p})) > 0, \quad \forall i \in J.$$

Thus, by the definition of  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$\varphi_2(\bar{p}) - f_I(\bar{p}) \geq \epsilon > \varphi_1(z_i, \beta(\bar{p})) - f_I(\bar{p}), \quad \forall i \in J.$$

From this, we deduce

$$(4) \quad \varphi_2(\bar{p}) > \varphi_1(z_i, \beta(\bar{p})), \quad \forall i \in J.$$

Notice that the summation in  $\theta(\bar{p})$  can be taken just over  $J$ . It follows that

$$\bar{x} = \alpha(\bar{p}) = \theta(\bar{p}) \in \text{co}\{z_i; i \in J\} \subset C.$$

Since the function  $\varphi_1(\cdot, \bar{y})$  is quasiconvex on  $C$ , by (4) we then have

$$\varphi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \leq \max\{\varphi_1(z_i, \bar{y}); i \in J\} < \varphi_2(\bar{p}) \leq \varphi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

This contradiction yields (2), and hence the proof is complete. ■

Remark that for a function  $\phi : C \times C \times D \longrightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ , we may consider the sets

$$F(z, x) = \{y \in T(x); \phi(z, x, y) \geq 0\}, \quad \forall z, x \in C.$$

An argumentation similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that there exists a Fan-type element  $\bar{x} \in C$  such that

$$\bigcap_{z \in C} F(z, \bar{x}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus, we can obtain an existence theorem of solutions to  $GVI(T, C, \phi)$  as follows.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ ,  $D$  a subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ , and  $T : C \longrightarrow D$  be an acyclic multifunction. Suppose that  $\phi : C \times C \times D \longrightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a function satisfying*

- (i)  $\phi(x, x, y) \geq 0, \forall (x, y) \in G(T)$ ,
- (ii) for each  $(x, y) \in G(T)$ , the map  $z \mapsto \phi(z, x, y)$  is quasiconvex,
- (iii) for each  $z \in C$ , the map  $(x, y) \mapsto \phi(z, x, y)$  is continuous.

*Then there is a solution to  $GVI(T, C, \phi)$ .*

Now, we will prove a reverse form of Górniewicz-type fixed point theorem. Indeed, this result unifies and relaxes almost all of the well-known fixed point theorems, because the question, *if every compact convex subset of an LC space is its neighborhood retract*, seems to be an open problem (in the non-metrizable case).

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , and  $D$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ . If  $f : C \longrightarrow D$  is a continuous function, and  $T : D \longrightarrow C$  is an acyclic multifunction, then there exists a fixed point to the composite  $T \circ f$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $X$  is locally convex, there is a local base  $\beta$  for  $X$  consisting of closed symmetric convex neighborhoods of 0 in  $X$ . For  $U \in \beta$ , we define

$$F_U := \{z \in C; z \in T \circ f(z) + U\}.$$

It is clear that  $z \in C$  is a fixed point of  $T \circ f$  if and only if

$$(5) \quad z \in \bigcap \{F_U; U \in \beta\}.$$

Since  $C$  is compact, it will suffice to show just that the sets  $F_U$  are closed and satisfy the finite intersection property. Note that for any finite collection  $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n\}$  from  $\beta$ , there is a  $U \in \beta$  such that  $F_U \subset F_{U_k}, \forall k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore, it will suffice just to show that each  $F_U$  is both closed and nonempty. Assume that  $F_U$  is empty for some  $U \in \beta$ , we then have

$$x - y \notin U, \forall (x, y) \in G(T \circ f).$$

Hence, the Minkowski functional

$$\mu_U(x) := \inf\{\lambda > 0; x \in \lambda U\}$$

is continuous and satisfies

$$(6) \quad \mu_U(x - y) \geq 1, \forall (x, y) \in G(T \circ f).$$

It follows that the functional  $\alpha_U : C \rightarrow R$ , defined by

$$\alpha_U(x) := \min\{\mu_U(x - y); y \in T \circ f(x)\}, \forall x \in C,$$

satisfies that  $\alpha_U(x) \geq 1, \forall x \in C$ . Applying Lemma 2.1 to  $(T \circ f, X, X, C, C)$  in place of  $(T, X, Y, C, D)$  with  $\varphi_1(x, y) = \mu_U(x - y)$ , and  $\varphi_2(x, y) = \alpha_U(x)$ , we obtain some  $\bar{x} \in C$  and  $\bar{y} \in T \circ f(\bar{x})$  such that

$$\mu_U(x - \bar{y}) \geq \alpha_U(\bar{x}), \forall x \in C.$$

Since  $\bar{y} \in T \circ f(\bar{x}) \subset C$ , we deduce a contradiction:

$$0 = \mu_U(\bar{y} - \bar{y}) \geq \alpha_U(\bar{x}) \geq 1.$$

This implies that all the sets  $F_U$  are nonempty. It remains to show that each  $F_U$  is closed. Define  $\Delta := \{(x, x); x \in C\}$ , and let  $T_U : C \rightarrow X$  be the multifunction given by

$$T_U(x) := T \circ f(x) + U, \forall x \in C.$$

Observe that  $F_U = p(\Delta \cap G(T_U))$ , where  $p$  denotes the projection of  $C \times C$  onto the first coordinate. Notice that  $T \circ f$  is u.s.c.. The set  $G(T_U) = G(T \circ f) + \{0\} \times U$  is closed as an algebraic sum of the compact set  $G(T \circ f)$  and the closed set  $\{0\} \times U$  in  $X \times X$ . It follows that each  $F_U$  is compact and hence closed. Thus, the proof is complete. ■

Remark that if the convex set  $C$  in Theorem 2.3 (see also Corollary 3.3) was a neighborhood retract in an LC space  $X$ , then both theorems (2.3 and 3.3) would follow from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem for admissible compact multifunctions in the sense of Fournier and Górniewicz [10, Theorem 6.3]. For further considerations on fixed points of noncompact multifunctions, see e.g., [1, 12]. Using the above technical result, we can establish several consequences as follows. By taking  $C = D$  and  $f(x) = x$ ,  $\forall x \in C$ , we first obtain a rather general fixed point theorem. Indeed, many well-known results can be considered as our consequences, such as Brouwer's fixed point theorem, Kakutani's fixed point theorem, Browder's fixed point theorem, and Fan-Glicksberg's fixed point theorem.

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $C$  is a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , then any acyclic multifunction  $T$  from  $C$  into itself has a fixed point.*

From this, we are able to deduce a Himmelberg-type fixed point theorem, which is established by Park [20].

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $C$  is a nonempty convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , then any compact acyclic multifunction  $T$  from  $C$  into itself has a fixed point.*

As an application, we can extend a new coincidence theorem [7, Theorem 2.1] to the case that the image  $S(x)$  need not be convex and the lower section  $S^{-1}(y)$  is not necessarily open.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , and  $D$  be a nonempty convex subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ . If  $S : C \rightarrow D$  is a multifunction satisfying any one of conditions (I)~(III) in Proposition 1.2 with  $K = C$ , and  $T : D \rightarrow C$  is an acyclic multifunction, then there is a coincidence for  $S$  and  $T$ ; that is, there is some  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in C \times D$  such that  $\bar{y} \in S(\bar{x})$  and  $\bar{x} \in T(\bar{y})$ .*

**Proof.** Following the proof of Proposition 1.2, the multifunction  $S$  admits a continuous selection  $f$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.3, there exists a fixed point

$x$  to  $T \circ f$ . Let  $y = f(x)$ . Then  $x \in T \circ f(x) = T(y)$  and  $y = f(x) \in S(x)$ . This completes the proof. ■

We remark that Theorem 2.3 is a particular case of Corollary 2.4, as well as Corollary 2.5, since the composition  $T \circ f$  is an acyclic multifunction from  $C$  to itself. By Proposition 1.1, we can use Theorem 2.3 to establish a general form of Nikaidô's coincidence theorem. Therefore, all the above theorems are equivalent logically to Nikaidô's coincidence theorem in any locally convex Hausdorff topological space.

**Theorem 2.7** (The first version of Nikaidô's coincidence theorem). *Let  $M$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of an LC space  $X$ ,  $N$  a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $Y$ , and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be continuous functions from  $M$  to  $N$ . If  $\tau$  is a Vietoris map, then there exists some  $p \in M$  such that  $\sigma(p) = \tau(p)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C = M$ ,  $D = N$ , and define  $T = \tau^{-1}$  and  $f = \sigma$ . By Proposition 1.1,  $T$  is an acyclic multifunction. Applying Theorem 2.3, we have a fixed point  $p$  to the composition  $T \circ f$ ; that is,  $p \in T \circ f(p) = \tau^{-1}(\sigma(p))$ . It follows that  $\sigma(p) = \tau(p)$ . ■

### 3. THE SECOND VERSION OF NIKAIÐÔ'S COINCIDENCE THEOREM

A multifunction  $S : C \rightarrow X$  is called a *KKM mapping* if  $coA \subset S(A)$  for each finite subset  $A$  of  $C$ . In [8, 9], Fan proved the following celebrated lemma, which asserts that given any convex set  $C$  in  $X$  and a closed-valued *KKM mapping*  $S : C \rightarrow X$ , if  $S(x)$  is compact for at least one  $x \in C$ , then  $\bigcap_{x \in C} S(x) \neq \emptyset$ . This lemma generalizes a classical finite-dimensional result of Knaster, Kuratowski, and Mazurkiewicz. Since then, many results in this direction have been obtained; see for example [6, 8, 17, 21, 22]. Following [6], we generalized the above property to the following form: if  $S, T : C \rightarrow D$  are two multifunctions such that  $T(coA) \subset S(A)$  for each finite subset  $A$  of  $C$ , then we call  $S$  a *generalized KKM mapping* w.r.t.  $T$ . We say that  $T : C \rightarrow D$  has the *KKM property*, if the family  $\{clS(x); x \in C\}$  has the finite intersection property for every generalized *KKM mapping*  $S : C \rightarrow Y$  w.r.t.  $T$ . We shall denote

$$KKM(C, D) = \{T; T : C \rightarrow D \text{ has the KKM property}\}.$$

Using this terminology, we have the following basic property.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , and let  $Y, Z$  be two topological spaces.*

- (1) *If  $T \in KKM(C, C)$  is compact and closed, then  $T$  has a fixed point.*
- (2) *If  $T : C \rightarrow Y$  is an acyclic multifunction, then  $T \in KKM(C, Y)$ .*
- (3) *If  $T \in KKM(C, Y)$  and  $f$  is continuous from  $Y$  to  $Z$ , then  $f \circ T \in KKM(C, Z)$ .*

**Proof.** Part (1) is a result of [6, Theorem 2]. Part (2) is a consequence of [21, Corollary 2]. To prove (3), we let  $S : C \rightarrow Z$  be a generalized  $KKM$  mapping w.r.t.  $f \circ T$ . Then for any finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $C$ , we have

$$f \circ T(\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n S(x_i).$$

Hence

$$T(\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n f^{-1}S(x_i).$$

It follows that  $f^{-1} \circ S$  is a generalized  $KKM$  mapping w.r.t.  $T$ . Since  $T \in KKM(C, Y)$ , then the family  $\{cl(f^{-1} \circ S(x)); x \in C\}$  has the finite intersection property, and hence  $\{clS(x); x \in C\}$  has the finite intersection property. ■

To establish our main results, we begin with a generalized fixed point theorem of Górniewicz-type equipped with the  $KKM$  property.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of a LC space  $X$ , and  $D$  be a nonempty subset of a topological space  $Y$ . If  $T \in KKM(C, D)$  is a compact closed multifunction and  $f : D \rightarrow C$  is a continuous function, then  $f \circ T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1(3),  $f \circ T \in KKM(C, C)$ . Since  $T$  is compact and closed,  $f \circ T$  is also compact and closed. It follows from Lemma 3.1 (1) that  $f \circ T$  has a fixed point. ■

**Corollary 3.3** (Generalized Górniewicz Fixed Point Theorem). *Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex subset of an LC space  $X$ , and  $D$  be a nonempty subset of a topological space  $Y$ . If  $T : C \rightarrow D$  is a compact acyclic multifunction and  $f : D \rightarrow C$  is a continuous function, then  $f \circ T : C \rightarrow C$  has a fixed point.*

Notice that Theorem 3.2 implies also Corollary 2.4. In virtue of the property of continuous selections, we have a general coincidence theorem for KKM mappings.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $X$ , and  $D$  be a nonempty convex subset of an LC space  $Y$ . If  $S : C \rightarrow D$  is a multifunction satisfying any one of conditions (I)~(III) in Proposition 1.2 with  $K = clT(D)$ , and  $T \in KKM(D, C)$  is a compact closed multifunction, then there exists a coincidence for  $S$  and  $T$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  is compact, the set  $clT(D)$  is a compact subset of  $C$ . By Proposition 1.2, the restriction of the multifunction  $S$  to the compact set  $clT(D)$  admits a continuous selection  $f$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.2, there exists a fixed point  $x$  to  $f \circ T$ . Let  $x = f(y)$  for some  $y \in T(x)$ . Then  $x = f(y) \in S(y)$ . This completes the proof. ■

Comparing with Theorem 2.7, the following version requires convexity of  $N$  and local convexity on  $Y$ , but  $M$  need not be convex and  $X$  need not be locally convex.

**Theorem 3.5** (The second version of Nikaidô's coincidence theorem). *Let  $M$  be a nonempty compact subset of a Hausdorff topological space  $X$ ,  $N$  a nonempty convex subset of an LC space  $Y$ , and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be continuous functions from  $M$  to  $N$ . If  $\tau$  is a Vietoris map, then there exists some  $p \in M$  such that  $\sigma(p) = \tau(p)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C = N, D = M$ , and define  $T = \tau^{-1}$ . By Proposition 1.1,  $T$  is an acyclic multifunction. Since  $M$  is compact,  $T$  is compact. Applying Corollary 3.3, we have a fixed point  $p$  to the composition  $f \circ T$ ; that is,  $p \in f \circ T(p)$ . Let  $q \in T(p)$  such that  $p = f(q)$ . It follows that  $\sigma(q) = f(q) = p = \tau(q)$ . ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Andres, L. Górniewicz and J. Jezierski, *Noncompact version of the multivalued Nielsen theory*, Lecture Notes in Nonlinear Analysis, Nicholas Copernicus University **2** (1998), 33–50.
- [2] E.G. Begle, *Locally connected spaces and generalized manifolds*, Amer. Math. J. **64** (1942), 553–574.

- [3] E.G. Begle, *The Vietoris mapping theorem for bicomact space*, Ann. of Math. **51** (1950), 534–543.
- [4] E.G. Begle, *A fixed point theorem*, Ann. of Math. **51** (1950), 544–550.
- [5] F.E. Browder, *Coincidence theorems, minimax theorems, and variational inequalities*, Contemp. Math. **26** (1984), 67–80.
- [6] T.H. Chang and C.L. Yen, *KKM properties and fixed point theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **203** (1996), 224–235.
- [7] L.J. Chu, *On Fan's minimax inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **201** (1996), 103–113.
- [8] K. Fan, *Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A. **38** (1952), 121–126.
- [9] K. Fan, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math. Ann. **142** (1961), 305–310.
- [10] G. Fournier and L. Górniewicz, *The Lefschetz fixed point theorem for multivalued maps of non-metrizable spaces*, Fundamenta Math. **XCII** (1976), 213–222.
- [11] G. Fournier and L. Górniewicz, *The Lefschetz fixed point theorem for some non-compact multivalued maps*, Fundamenta Math. **94** (1976), 245–254.
- [12] I.L. Glicksberg, *A further generalization of the Kakutani fixed point theorem, with application to Nash equilibrium points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 170–174.
- [13] L. Górniewicz, *Homological methods in fixed-point theory of multivalued maps*, Dins Math. **129** (1976), Warszawa.
- [14] L. Górniewicz, *A Lefschetz-type fixed point theorem*, Fundamenta Math. **88** (1975), 103–115.
- [15] A. Granas and F.-C. Liu, *Coincidences for set-valued maps and minimax inequalities*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **65** (1986), 119–148.
- [16] B. Knaster, C. Kuratowski and S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein beweis des fixpunktsatzes für  $n$ -dimensionale simplexe*, Fundamenta Math. **14** (1929), 132–137.
- [17] L.J. Lin and Z.T. Yu, *Fixed points theorems of KKM-type maps*, Nonlinear Anal. **38** (1999), 265–275.
- [18] W.S. Massey, *Singular Homology Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1980.
- [19] H. Nikaidô, *Coincidence and some systems of inequalities*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **11** (1959), 354–373.
- [20] S. Park, *Coincidences of composites of admissible u.s.c. maps and applications*, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Canada **15** (1993), 125–130.

- [21] S. Park, *Foundations of the KKM theory via coincidences of composites of upper semicontinuous maps*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **31** (1994), 493–519.
- [22] X. Wu and S. Shen, *A further generalization of Yannelis-Prabhakar's continuous selection theorem and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197** (1996), 61–74.

Received 5 March 2002

Revised 25 June 2002