

R. ZIELIŃSKI (Warszawa)

## A CLASS OF STOPPING RULES FOR FIXED PRECISION SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATES

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\})$  be a statistical space and  $g$  a mapping from  $\Theta$  into a metric space  $\mathcal{X}$  with the distance between  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  denoted by  $\|x - y\|$ . Let  $(X_t), t = 1, 2, \dots$ , be a sequence of  $\mathcal{X}$ -valued random elements on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  which is assumed to converge to  $g(\theta)$  whenever the distribution of  $(X_t)$  is generated by  $P_\theta$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , the problem consists in fixed precision estimation of  $g(\theta)$ , i.e. in finding a stopping variable  $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that

$$P_\theta \{ \|X_\tau - g(\theta)\| < \varepsilon \} \geq 1 - \gamma \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

As in [3] suppose that we can observe  $k$  independent copies  $(X_t^{(i)})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , of  $(X_t)$ . Intuitively, one might expect that if  $k$  is large, then all the sequences  $(X_t^{(i)})$  "meet together" only in a narrow neighborhood of  $g(\theta)$ . This suggests the stopping rule

$$\tau = \inf \{ t \geq 1 : \varrho_k(X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(k)}) < \delta \},$$

where  $\delta$  is a (small) positive number and  $\varrho_k : \mathcal{X}^k \rightarrow R_+^1$  is a measure of concentration of points in  $\mathcal{X}$  (e.g., the radius of the smallest ball containing all points  $X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(k)}$ ). We shall show that under some conditions concerning the speed of convergence of  $(X_t)$  and the distribution of  $(X_t)$  the stopping variable  $\tau$  leads to the desired result: Theorem 1 in Section 2 establishes the existence of fixed precision estimates, and Theorem 2 suggests a method of the effective construction of such estimates. The method given in Theorem 2 is then applied in the example in which a "usual" fixed precision estimate does not exist.

**2. Results.** The following assumptions are relevant to the Lemma and Theorem 1:

$$(A1) \quad (\forall \eta > 0) \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P_\theta \{ \|X_t - g(\theta)\| \geq \eta \} < \infty \quad \text{uniformly in } \theta \in \Theta, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$(\forall \eta > 0)(\forall \eta' > 0)(\exists T)(\forall t > T)(\forall \theta \in \Theta) \sum_{j=t}^{\infty} P_\theta \{ \|X_j - g(\theta)\| \geq \eta \} \leq \eta'.$$

(A2) Given  $\theta \in \Theta$  and  $t$ , the random elements  $X_t^{(i)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  are i.i.d.

(A3) For each  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\varrho_k$  is a non-negative real-valued function on  $\mathcal{X}^k$  such that for any set of points  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}$

$$c_1 \max_{i,j} \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\| \geq \varrho_k(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}) \geq c_2 \max_{i,j} \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\|$$

with some positive constants  $c_1 = c_1(k)$  and  $c_2 = c_2(k)$ .

(A4) For each  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $\hat{g}_k$  is a mapping from  $\mathcal{X}^k$  into  $\mathcal{X}$  such that for every set of points  $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)} \in \mathcal{X}$  and for each  $y \in \mathcal{X}$

$$\|g_k(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(k)}) - y\| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|x^{(i)} - y\|.$$

For example, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a convex subset of a normed linear space (with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ), then  $\hat{g}_k$  might be defined as a "sample mean"  $(x^{(1)} + \dots + x^{(k)})/k$  (see [3]).

LEMMA. Under assumptions (A1)-(A3) we have  $P_\theta\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$  for each  $\delta > 0$  and for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

THEOREM 1. If (A1)-(A4) hold and if for each  $t$

$$\alpha_{\theta,t}(\delta) = \sup_{y \in \mathcal{X}} P_\theta\{\|X_t - y\| < \delta\}$$

tends to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $k \geq 2$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$P_\theta\{\|\hat{g}_k(X_\tau^{(1)}, \dots, X_\tau^{(k)}) - g(\theta)\| < \varepsilon\} \geq 1 - \gamma$$

for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

The proofs are slight modifications of those given in [3] and will be omitted.

THEOREM 2. Let  $(Y_t)$  and  $(r_t)$  be two sequences of  $\mathcal{X}$ -valued and real-valued random elements, respectively, such that the distribution of  $S_t = r_t^{-1} \|Y_t - g(\theta)\|$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . If there exists a sequence  $(a_t)$  of real numbers such that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P_\theta\{S_t \geq a_t\} < \infty$$

and

$$P_\theta\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a_t r_t = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta,$$

then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  there exists an integer  $T$  such that  $\tau = \inf\{t > T: a_t r_t \leq \varepsilon\}$  is a (finite) stopping rule and

$$P_\theta\{\|Y_\tau - g(\theta)\| < \varepsilon\} \geq 1 - \gamma \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

**Proof.**  $P_\theta\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a_t r_t = 0$  implies  $P_\theta\{\tau < \infty\} = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta\{\|Y_\tau - g(\theta)\| \geq \varepsilon\} &= \sum_{t=T}^{\infty} P_\theta\{\|Y_t - g(\theta)\| \geq \varepsilon, \tau = t\} \\ &\leq \sum_{t=T}^{\infty} P_\theta\{\|Y_t - g(\theta)\| \geq \varepsilon, \varepsilon \geq a_t r_t\} \leq \sum_{t=T}^{\infty} P_\theta\{S_t \geq a_t\} \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows.

**3. Examples.** 1. Let  $\Theta = \{\theta = (\mu, \sigma) : -\infty < \mu < \infty, \sigma' \leq \sigma \leq \sigma''\}$  with  $0 < \sigma' \leq \sigma'' < \infty$  and let  $(X_t)$  be a sequence of real-valued random variables. Suppose that  $P_\theta$  is a probability measure such that

$$P_\theta\{X_t \leq x\} = \Phi\left(\frac{x - \mu_t}{\beta_t \sigma}\right),$$

where  $\Phi$  is the probability distribution function of the standard normal variable  $N(0, 1)$ ,  $\mu_t = \mu + m_t$ ,  $m_t \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 < \beta_t \rightarrow 0$  fast enough as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This is a typical stochastic approximation case.

Suppose that  $m_t = O(\beta_t)$ . Then, for every positive  $\eta$ ,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P_\theta\{|X_t - \mu| \geq \eta\} = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left[ \Phi\left(-\frac{\eta}{\beta_t \sigma} - \frac{m_t}{\beta_t \sigma}\right) + 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\eta}{\beta_t \sigma} - \frac{m_t}{\beta_t \sigma}\right) \right],$$

which converges uniformly in  $\theta \in \Theta$  so that (A1) holds. For  $\alpha_{\theta,t}(\delta)$  we have

$$\alpha_{\theta,t}(\delta) = 2\Phi\left(\frac{\delta}{\beta_t \sigma}\right) - 1,$$

which for each  $t$  tends to zero as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

Suppose that  $k$  independent realizations  $(X_t^{(i)})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , of  $(X_t)$  are available. Then, by Theorem 1, as a sequential estimate of  $\mu$  we can take

$$\hat{\mu}_t = \sum_{i=1}^k X_t^{(i)} / k$$

and stop the process of estimation using the stopping rule  $\tau$  with

$$\varrho_k(X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(k)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (X_t^{(i)} - \hat{\mu}_t)^2 / k.$$

2. Let  $\xi_n$  ( $n = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ) be a doubly infinite sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $N(0, 1)$  and let  $X_t = \mu + \xi_t + Z_{t,m}$ , where

$$Z_{t,m} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m = 0, \\ m^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{t-j} & \text{if } m = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Our aim is to construct a fixed length ( $\varepsilon$ , say), fixed confidence level ( $1 - \gamma$ , say) confidence interval for the mean  $\mu$  with  $m$  being unknown. It is well known (see [1]) that if  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma$  satisfy some additional requirements, then there exist no functions  $\hat{\mu}_t(X_1, \dots, X_t)$ ,  $t = 1, 2, \dots$ , and no finite stopping rule which could give a solution.

Take  $k$  independent copies  $(X_t^{(i)})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , of  $(X_t)$  and put

$$\bar{X}_t^{(i)} = \sum_{n=1}^t X_n^{(i)} / t.$$

We apply Theorem 2 with

$$Y_t = \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{X}_t^{(i)} / k \quad \text{and} \quad r_t^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{X}_t^{(i)} - Y_t)^2 / (k-1).$$

The random variable  $S_t = r_t^{-1}(Y_t - \mu)\sqrt{k}$  is distributed as  $t_{k-1}$  - Student's  $t$  with  $k-1$  degrees of freedom - and depends neither on  $\theta = (m, \mu)$  nor on  $t$ . Take the following approximation for the cumulative distribution function of  $t_{k-1}$  (see [2]):

$$P\{t_{k-1} \geq x\} = cx^{-(k-1)}(1 + O(x^{-2})) \quad (c = \text{const}).$$

It follows that for  $a_t = t^a$  the series  $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} P_0\{|S_t| \geq a_t\}$  converges if  $a > 1/(k-1)$ .

By the Tchebycheff inequality we have

$$P_0\{a_t^2 r_t^2 > \eta\} \leq c' t^{-1} a_t^2 \quad (c' = \text{const}),$$

so that  $a_t r_t \rightarrow 0$  in probability if  $a < 1/2$ . By Theorem 2, a fixed precision estimate for  $\mu$  exists whenever the number of copies is  $k \geq 4$ .

**Acknowledgment.** The author is greatly indebted to Dr. Jacek Koronacki for helpful remarks and stimulating discussion.

#### References

- [1] J. R. Blum and J. Rosenblatt, *On fixed precision estimation in time series*, Ann. Math. Statist. 40 (1969), p. 1021-1032.
- [2] L. N. Bol'shev and N. V. Smirnov (Л. Н. Большев и Н. В. Смирнов), *Таблицы математической статистики*, Москва 1968.
- [3] R. Zieliński, *A stopping rule for sequential estimation processes*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., Astronom. Phys., 26 (1978), p. 441-444.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
00-950 WARSZAWA

Received on 11. 1. 1979

R. ZIELIŃSKI (Warszawa)

PEWNA KLASA REGUŁ ZATRZYMYWANIA PROCESÓW  
ESTYMACJI SEKWENCYJNEJ

## STRESZCZENIE

Niech  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{P_\theta: \theta \in \Theta\})$  będzie przestrzenią statystyczną i niech  $g$  będzie odwzorowaniem  $\Theta$  w przestrzeń metryczną  $\mathcal{X}$ , gdzie odległość między punktami  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$  oznaczona jest przez  $\|x - y\|$ . Niech  $(X_t), t = 1, 2, \dots$ , będzie ciągiem elementów losowych o wartościach w  $\mathcal{X}$ , zbieżnym do  $g(\theta)$ , gdy „prawdziwy” rozkład jest  $P_\theta$ . (Ciąg  $(X_t)$  jest estymatorem sekwencyjnym parametru  $g(\theta)$ .) Niech  $\varepsilon > 0$  oraz  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  będą ustalonymi liczbami. Zakładając, że można obserwować jednocześnie  $k \geq 2$  niezależnych realizacji  $(X_t^{(i)}), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , ciągu  $(X_t)$ , skonstruowano taki estymator sekwencyjny  $\hat{g}_t = g(X_t^{(1)}, \dots, X_t^{(k)})$  oraz taki moment zatrzymywania  $\tau$ , że  $P_\theta\{\|\hat{g}_\tau - g\| < \varepsilon\} \geq 1 - \gamma$  dla wszystkich  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

---