

B. KORZAN (Warszawa)

ON LOGIC TRANSFORMATIONS
 OF THE BINARY STOCHASTIC PROCESS

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the random process $Y(i)$ with discrete parameter, defined as the transformation of a given binary random process,

$$(1) \quad Y(i) = f_n(X_{i-n+1}, X_{i-n+2}, \dots, X_{i-n+j}, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i),$$

where X_i denotes a two-point random variable being the value of the process $X(i)$ for fixed i ($i = -v, \dots, -r, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, v$ and r denoting natural numbers for which $n \leq r \leq v - n + 1$) and f_n is an n -argument logic function ($n \geq 1$).

The realizations of the random variable X_i will be denoted by x_i .

Let Y_i be a two-point random variable being the value of the process $Y(i)$ for fixed i ($i = -r, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Such a process very often occurs in the theory of signal detection in the presence of noise ([1]). In this case $\{X_i\}$ is a sequence of quantized signals mixed with noise. This sequence forms the input stream of a decision device; here f_n is the decision function used for detecting the signal and $\{Y_i\}$ is a sequence of decisions concerning the existence and non-existence of the signal.

For an optimal choice of the function f_n it is necessary to find some probability characteristics of the process $Y(i)$, depending on the process $X(i)$.

The aim of this paper is to present an algorithm for computing probabilities of the events

$$B_J = \{(Y_1 = 1) \cup (Y_2 = 1) \cup \dots \cup (Y_J = 1)\}, \quad J \geq 1,$$

for various functions f_n and distributions of random variables X_i .

For the sake of simplicity let us write:

$$p_i = P\{X_i = 1\}, \quad q_i = P\{X_i = 0\} = 1 - p_i,$$

$$a_i = \{Y_i = 0\}, \quad b_i = \{Y_i = 1\},$$

$$A_i = \{a_{-r} \cap a_{-r+1} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-1} \cap a_i\},$$

$$\bar{A}_i = \{b_{-r} \cup b_{-r+1} \cup \dots \cup b_{i-1} \cup b_i\},$$

$$B_i = \{b_1 \cup b_2 \cup \dots \cup b_{i-1} \cup b_i\}.$$

2. A recurrence formula for $P\{B_J\}$.

ASSUMPTIONS. 1° $\{X_i\}$ is a sequence of independent two-point random variables with known probabilities p_i ($i = -v, \dots, -r, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$); v and r denote natural numbers satisfying the condition $n \leq r \leq v - n + 1$;

2° $p_i = 0$ for $i \leq 0$;

3° the stochastic process $Y(i)$ is defined by (1), where f_n is an n -argument logic function ($n \geq 1$);

4° $f_n(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$; $f_n \neq 0$.

The distributions of the random variables X_i ($i = -v, \dots, -r, \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$) completely define the process $Y(i)$. Thus, theoretically, the problem is reduced to classical methods of seeking the distribution of the function with random arguments. However, if J is a large number, classical methods lead to very cumbersome numerical computations (e.g. for $J = 30$ the number of additions would be near to 10^9). These classical methods would require a very long computer working time and could give large numerical errors. It is possible to avoid these difficulties by using suitable recurrent formulae.

Let us consider the relations

$$\begin{aligned} P\{A_i \cup \bar{A}_i\} &= P\{A_i\} + P\{\bar{A}_i\} \leq 1, \\ P\{A_i \cap \bar{A}_i\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

based on the fact that A_i and \bar{A}_i are complementary events. From assumptions 2° and 4° it follows that

$$(2) \quad P\{A_i\} = 1 \quad \text{for } i \leq 0.$$

As $P\{A_0\} = 1$, thus

$$(3) \quad P\{B_J | A_0\} = P\{B_J \cap A_0\},$$

$$(4) \quad P\{B_J \cap A_0\} = P\{B_J\}.$$

Similarly

$$(5) \quad P\{A_i | A_0\} = P\{A_i\}.$$

Since $A_i = A_0 \cap \bar{B}_i$; $P\{A_i\} = P\{\bar{B}_i | A_0\} \cdot P\{A_0\} = P\{\bar{B}_i\} = 1 - P\{B_i\}$, for every i we have

$$(6) \quad P\{B_i\} = 1 - P\{A_i\}.$$

Taking into consideration the above relations we observe that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} P\{B_J\} &= P\{A_0 \cap b_1\} + P\{A_1 \cap b_2\} + \dots + \\ &\quad + \dots + P\{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\} + \dots + P\{A_{J-1} \cap b_J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider events $\{B_i\}$ and $\{B_{i-1}\}$. From (7) it follows that

$$(8) \quad P\{B_i\} = P\{B_{i-1}\} + P\{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\}.$$

Identities (7) and (8) may be used for deriving a recurrent formula. Define:

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta P_i = P\{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\} = P\{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_0 \cap a_1 \cap \dots \cap a_{i-1} \cap b_i\}; \\ \mathbf{X}_i^{(n)} = (X_{i-n+1}, X_{i-n+2}, \dots, X_{i-n+j}, \dots, X_i); \\ \mathbf{X}_i^{(2n)} = (X_{i-2n+1}, X_{i-2n+2}, \dots, X_{i-n}, X_{i-n+1}, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i); \\ \mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)} = (X_{-v}, \dots, X_{-r}, \dots, X_{-1}, X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i). \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbf{X}_i^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{X}_i^{(2n)}$ and $\mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)}$ are random vector variables. Their realizations will be denoted by small letters $\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)}$, $\mathbf{x}_i^{(2n)}$ and $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}$ respectively.

Denote by Γ_i the set of those realizations $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}$ which are related to the event $\{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\}$. Hence

$$(10) \quad \Delta P_i = P\{\mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in \Gamma_i\}.$$

Taking into consideration that the events $\{\mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}\}$ are exclusive, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \Delta P_i = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in \Gamma_i} P\{\mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}\}.$$

Let us consider the subsequences

$$\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)} = (x_{i-n+1}, x_{i-n+2}, \dots, x_{i-n+j}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

of the sequences $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in \Gamma_i$.

For each $\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)}$ the following equality holds:

$$(12) \quad f(\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)}) = 1.$$

Now consider the following event:

$$R_i^{(n)} = \{a_{i-n} \cap a_{i-n+1} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-1} \cap b_i\}.$$

In conformity with (1) this event is realized by correspondent sequences

$$\mathbf{x}_i^{(2n)} = (x_{i-2n+1}, x_{i-2n+2}, \dots, x_{i-n}, x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i).$$

Denote by K_i the set of the sequences $\mathbf{x}_i^{(2n)}$.

We shall require the following

LEMMA 1. *The sets K_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, are identical: $K_1 = K_2 = \dots = K_i = K$.*

Proof. Let us consider two arbitrary sets K_g and K_h ($g, h = 1, 2, \dots$). Let $\mathbf{x}_g^{(2n)} \in K_g$. According to (1) the sequence $\mathbf{x}_g^{(2n)}$ realizes the sequence

$$\{y_{g-n} = 0, y_{g-n+1} = 0, \dots, y_{g-1} = 0, y_g = 1\}$$

which realizes the event $R_g^{(n)}$.

Now, let us consider the sequence

$$\mathbf{x}_h^{(2n)} = (x_{h-2n+1}, x_{h-2n+2}, \dots, x_{h-n}, x_{h-n+1}, \dots, x_{h-1}, x_h)$$

and let $\mathbf{x}_h^{(2n)} = \mathbf{x}_g^{(2n)}$. In view of (1) the sequence $\mathbf{x}_h^{(2n)}$ realizes the sequence

$$\{y_{h-n} = 0, y_{h-n+1} = 0, \dots, y_{h-1} = 0, y_h = 1\}$$

which in turn realizes the event $R_h^{(n)}$. Hence $\mathbf{x}_h^{(2n)} \in K_h$. Thus

$$\bigwedge_{\mathbf{x}^{(2n)}} [\mathbf{x}^{(2n)} \in K_g] \Rightarrow [\mathbf{x}^{(2n)} \in K_h]$$

and

$$K_g \subset K_h.$$

Similarly, it can be also shown that $K_h \subset K_g$. Hence $K_h = K_g = K$, which completes the proof.

Denote by \mathfrak{M}_i the set of all the different subsequences

$$\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)} = (x_{i-n+1}, x_{i-n+2}, \dots, x_{i-n+j}, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i)$$

of the sequences $\mathbf{x}_i^{(2n)} \in K_i$.

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$(13) \quad \mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M}_2 = \dots = \mathfrak{M}_i = \dots = \mathfrak{M}.$$

Denote card $\{\mathfrak{M}\}$ by M . Then

$$(14) \quad \mathfrak{M} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(n)1}, \mathbf{x}^{(n)2}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)m}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)M}\},$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)m} = (x_1^m, x_2^m, \dots, x_s^m, \dots, x_n^m).$$

It follows from the definition of the set \mathfrak{M} that this set is unambiguously defined by the function f_n . A simple computer program for finding \mathfrak{M} for various functions f_n has been made by the author.

It follows from the above definitions that if $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \mathbf{x}^{(n)m} \in \mathfrak{M}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$), then $f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}) = 1$. Hence

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{R},$$

where \mathfrak{R} is the set of all these values of the vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)}$ for which $f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}) = 1$.

Example. Let $f(\mathbf{x}^{(3)}) = \bar{x}_1 x_2 x_3 \vee x_1 x_3 \vee x_1 x_2 \bar{x}_3$. For this function

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{(011), (101)\}, \quad \mathfrak{R} = \{(011), (101), (110), (111)\}.$$

Let us now come back to the sets Γ_i .

If the sequence $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in \Gamma_i$ ($\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}$ realizes the event $\{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\}$), then the subsequence of its last $2n$ terms forms the correspondent se-

quence $\mathbf{x}_i^{(2n)} \in K_i$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \{A_{i-1} \cap b_i\} &= \{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n-1} \cap a_{i-n} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-1} \cap b_i\} \\ &= \{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n-1} \cap R_i^n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where, as assumed, $r \geq n$. Hence, the last n terms of every sequence $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in I_i$ form the subsequence $\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)}$ equal to correspondent vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)m} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Thus, we can divide every set I_i in separate disjoint subsets I_i^m in such a way that each I_i^m contains only those sequences $\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}$ for which their last n terms form the sequences $\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)}$ equal to $\mathbf{x}^{(n)m}$. Thus

$$(16) \quad I_i = \bigcup_{m=1}^M I_i^m.$$

It follows from (9), (10), (11) and (16) that

$$(17) \quad \Delta P_i = \sum_{m=1}^M \Delta P_i^m,$$

where

$$(18) \quad \Delta P_i^m = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)} \in I_i^m} P\{\mathbf{X}_i^{(v+i+1)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(v+i+1)}\}.$$

From (4) and (7)

$$(19) \quad P\{B_J\} = \sum_{i=1}^J \Delta P_i.$$

Thus, seeking the probability $P\{B_J\}$ is reduced to seeking of the probabilities ΔP_i^m ($i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$).

Let us consider the vectors $\mathbf{x}^{(n)m} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Assign to each of these vectors a corresponding binary matrix $[\sigma_{k,j}^m]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For this purpose the following operators will be defined:

$$(20) \quad L_1^j[\mathbf{x}^{(n)}] = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$(21) \quad L_2^j[\mathbf{x}^{(n)}] = (x_{n-j+1}, x_{n-j+2}, \dots, x_n) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n).$$

The elements of the matrices $[\sigma_{k,j}^m]$ are defined by

$$(22) \quad \sigma_{k,j}^m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \{(k, j): L_2^j[\mathbf{x}^{(n)k}] = L_1^j[\mathbf{x}^{(n)m}]\}, \\ 0 & \text{for the others pairs } (k, j), \end{cases}$$

where $m, k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The general recurrent formula for computing the probabilities ΔP_i^m is defined by the following

THEOREM. Let f_n be an n -argument logic function and let assumptions 1°-4° hold. Then

$$(23) \quad \Delta P_i^m = \\ = P\{A_{i-n}\} \prod_{s=1}^n p_{i-n+s}^{x_s^m} q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^M \sigma_{k,j}^m \Delta P_{i-n+j}^k \prod_{s=j+1}^n p_{i-n+s}^{x_s^m} q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)},$$

where x_s^m denote the s -th component of the vector $\mathbf{x}^{(n)m} \in \mathfrak{M}$ ($x_s^m \in \{0, 1\}$).

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, the event $\{X_i^{(n)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\}$ will be denoted in the sequel by $\{x_i^{(n)m}\}$. Similar symbols apply to the other cases, for example the event $\{X_i^{(v+i+1)} \in \Gamma_i\}$ will be denoted by $\{\Gamma_i\}$.

Let us consider the event

$$(24) \quad C_i^m = \{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n} \cap x_i^{(n)m}\}, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

where $x_i^{(n)m} = \mathbf{x}^{(n)m} \in \mathfrak{M}$.

From assumption 1° it follows that

$$(25) \quad P\{C_i^m\} = P\{A_{i-n}\} \prod_{s=1}^n p_{i-n+s}^{x_s^m} q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)}.$$

Consider an event E_i ,

$$E_i = \{(b_{i-n+1} \cup \dots \cup b_{i-1} \cup b_i) \cup (a_{i-n+1} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-1} \cap a_i)\}, \\ P\{E_i\} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence $P\{C_i^m \cap E_i\} = P\{C_i^m\}$.

It is easy to see that

$$P\{C_i^m \cap (a_{i-n+1} \cap a_{i-n+2} \cap \dots \cap a_i)\} = 0.$$

Hence

$$P\{C_i^m\} = P\{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n} \cap (b_{i-n+1} \cup \dots \cup b_{i-1} \cup b_i) \cap x_i^{(n)m}\}.$$

But from (7) it follows that

$$\{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n} \cap (b_{i-n+1} \cup b_{i-n+2} \cup \dots \cup b_{i-1} \cup b_i)\} \\ = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{a_{-r} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n} \cap a_{i-n+1} \cap \dots \cap a_{i-n+j-1} \cap b_{i-n+j}\}.$$

Thus

$$(26) \quad P\{C_i^m\} = \sum_{j=1}^n P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^m \cap x_i^{(n)m}\}.$$

But, according to (16),

$$\Gamma_{i-n+j}^m = \bigcup_{k=1}^M \Gamma_{i-n+j}^k, \quad \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k\} \cap \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^m\} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } k \neq m.$$

Hence

$$(27) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j} \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = \sum_{k=1}^M P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\}.$$

By definition

$$(28) \quad \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k\} \equiv \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}\},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k} = \mathbf{x}^{(n)k} \in \mathfrak{M}$. Hence

$$(29) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k} \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(30) \quad \{\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k} \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} \equiv \{\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k} \cap L_2^j[\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}] \cap L_1^j[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}] \cap L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\},$$

where

$$L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}] = (x_{i-n+j+1}^m, x_{i-n+j+2}^m, \dots, x_{i-1}^m, x_i^m).$$

Thus

$$P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap (L_2^j[\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}] \cap L_1^j[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]) \cap L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\}.$$

Two cases are possible.

1. If $\sigma_{k,j}^m = 1$, then $\{L_2^j[\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}]\} = \{L_1^j[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\}$ and taking into consideration that

$$(31) \quad \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap L_2^j[\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}]\} \equiv \{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k\}$$

one gets

$$P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\}.$$

2. If $\sigma_{k,j}^m = 0$, then $\{L_2^j[\mathbf{x}_{i-n+j}^{(n)k}]\} \cap \{L_1^j[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\} = \emptyset$ (which is an impossible event) and

$$(32) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = 0.$$

Thus

$$(33) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = \sigma_{k,j}^m P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\}.$$

It follows from assumption 1° that the events $\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k\}$ and $\{L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\}$ are independent.

Taking into consideration that

$$P\{L_2^{n-j}[\mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}]\} = \prod_{s=j+1}^n p_{i-n+s}^m q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)}$$

and

$$P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k\} = \Delta P_{i-n+j}^k,$$

we infer from (33) that

$$(34) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j}^k \cap \mathbf{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = \sigma_{k,j}^m \Delta P_{i-n+j}^k \prod_{s=j+1}^n p_{i-n+s}^m q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)}.$$

From (34) and (27) we have

$$(35) \quad P\{\Gamma_{i-n+j} \cap \mathfrak{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = \sum_{k=1}^M \sigma_{k,j}^m \Delta P_{i-n+j}^k \prod_{s=j+1}^n p_{i-n+s}^{x_s^m} q_{i-n+s}^{(1-x_s^m)}.$$

Let us observe that

$$\sigma_{k,n}^m = \delta_{m,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k = m, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$(36) \quad P\{\Gamma_i \cap \mathfrak{x}_i^{(n)m}\} = \sum_{k=1}^M \delta_{m,k} \Delta P_i^k = \Delta P_i^m.$$

From (35), (26), (36) and (25) we obtain (23), which completes the proof.

Now, the probabilities $P\{B_J\}$ can be found from (23), (17) and (19).

3. An example. Let us consider the following function f_n (for $n = 5$):

$$f(\mathfrak{x}^{(5)}) = x_1 x_3 x_4 \vee x_2 x_3 x_4 \vee x_2 x_3 x_5 \vee x_1 x_2 x_4 x_5.$$

This function has the following set \mathfrak{N} :

$$\{01101, 01110, 01111, 10110, 10111, 11011, 11101, 11110, 11111\}.$$

On the other hand, the set \mathfrak{M} consists of the following 5 elements (the equality of M and n is incidental):

| m | x_1^5 | x_2^5 | x_3^5 | x_4^5 | x_5^5 |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

We have

$$[\sigma_{k,j}^1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\sigma_{k,j}^2] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\sigma_{k,j}^3] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$[\sigma_{k,j}^4] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [\sigma_{k,j}^5] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_i^1 &= P\{A_{i-5}\}q_{i-4}p_{i-3}p_{i-2}q_{i-1}p_i - [\Delta P_{i-4}^2 + \Delta P_{i-4}^4]p_{i-3}p_{i-2}q_{i-1}p_i - \\ &\quad - \Delta P_{i-3}^1p_{i-2}q_{i-1}p_i - \Delta P_{i-1}^4p_i, \\ \Delta P_i^2 &= P\{A_{i-5}\}q_{i-4}p_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - [\Delta P_{i-4}^2 + \Delta P_{i-4}^4]p_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - \\ &\quad - \Delta P_{i-3}^1p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - \Delta P_{i-1}^5q_i, \\ \Delta P_i^3 &= P\{A_{i-5}\}q_{i-4}p_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - [\Delta P_{i-4}^3 + \Delta P_{i-4}^4]p_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - \\ &\quad - \Delta P_{i-3}^1p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - \Delta P_{i-1}^5p_i, \\ \Delta P_i^4 &= P\{A_{i-5}\}p_{i-4}q_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - \\ &\quad - [\Delta P_{i-4}^1 + \Delta P_{i-4}^3 + \Delta P_{i-4}^5]q_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - \\ &\quad - [\Delta P_{i-3}^2 + \Delta P_{i-3}^4]p_{i-2}p_{i-1}q_i - \Delta P_{i-2}^1p_{i-1}q_i, \\ \Delta P_i^5 &= P\{A_{i-5}\}p_{i-4}q_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - \\ &\quad - [\Delta P_{i-4}^1 + \Delta P_{i-4}^3 + \Delta P_{i-4}^5]q_{i-3}p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - \\ &\quad - [\Delta P_{i-3}^2 + \Delta P_{i-3}^4]p_{i-2}p_{i-1}p_i - \Delta P_{i-2}^1p_{i-1}p_i, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P\{A_i\} &= 1 - P\{B_i\}, \quad P\{B_i\} = \sum_{w=1}^i \Delta P_w \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots), \\ \Delta P_w &= \sum_{m=1}^5 \Delta P_w^m, \end{aligned}$$

and for $i \leq 0$ we put, according to the assumptions, $P\{A_i\} = 1$ and $\Delta P_i^m = 0$.

The probabilities of the other realizations of the process $Y(i)$ may be computed similarly. The recurrence method described in this paper may also be used for computing the distributions of various random variables which may be derived from the process $Y(i)$. For example, the distribution of the length of a series may be computed by this method.

Reference

- [1] С. З. Кузмин, *Цифровая обработка радиолокационной информации*, Советское Радио, Москва 1967.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Received on 1. 8. 1968;
revised version on 17. 2. 1970

B. KORZAN (Warszawa)

**O TRANSFORMACJACH LOGICZNYCH
BINARNEGO PROCESU STOCHASTYCZNEGO**

STRESZCZENIE

W pracy rozważany jest binarny proces stochastyczny $Y(i)$ z dyskretnym parametrem, określony jako przekształcenie danego binarnego procesu stochastycznego $X(i)$. Przekształcenie to jest realizowane, zgodnie z wyrażeniem (1), przez n -argumentową funkcję algebry logiki dwuwartościowej.

Celem pracy jest poszukiwanie formuł analitycznych dla wyznaczenia wartości miary prawdopodobieństwa dla danych podzbiorów realizacji procesu $Y(i)$ w zależności od charakterystyk probabilistycznych procesu $X(i)$.

Znaleziono formułę rekurencyjną umożliwiającą między innymi wyznaczenie rozkładu prawdopodobieństwa długości serii ciągu $\{Y_i\}$.

Uzyskane wyniki znajdują zastosowanie w telekomunikacji przy poszukiwaniu optymalnych filtrów cyfrowych dla wykrywania sygnałów w szumach odbiorczych.
