

B. KOPOCIŃSKI (Wrocław)

**SOME ESTIMATIONS OF SYSTEM RELIABILITY
 WITH POSITIVELY DEPENDENT SURVIVAL TIMES
 OF CRITICAL PATHS**

1. Introduction. Let us consider the system of some elements with specified n minimal critical paths. Let A_j ($j \in J = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) denote the random event that all elements of the j -th path are failed, and let B denote the random event that the system is failed. The minimal critical paths may be considered in the system as subsystems being connected in series, namely

$$B = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j.$$

By the *reliability* P of the system we understand the probability of complementation of the event B , so that

$$P = \Pr(B^c) = \Pr\left(\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j^c\right).$$

In common practical situations the random events A_j , $j \in J$, are dependent, and then for the computation of the probability P a probability distribution on the unions $A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_k}$ for every $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, tedious in estimation however, is required. Some partial information on this distribution allows us to estimate the probability P . In this note we consider the estimation of P of second degree, i.e., using the probabilities $P_j = \Pr(A_j)$, $P_{ij} = \Pr(A_i A_j)$, $i, j \in J$, and the additional condition of positive dependence of events. We say that random events A_j , $j \in J$, are *positively en bloc dependent* if for every $J_1 \subset J$ and $J_2 \subset J$ the inequality

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{j \in J_1 \cup J_2} A_j\right) \geq \Pr\left(\bigcap_{j \in J_1} A_j\right) \Pr\left(\bigcap_{j \in J_2} A_j\right)$$

holds.

From among estimations of second degree we recall Hunter's result [2] distinguished by simplicity. Consider any tree on J , i.e., a connected subgraph with $n - 1$ nodes. Let

$$\tau = \{(\tau(j), j) : \tau(j) < j, j = 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$

be the arcs of this tree. Hunter showed that

$$(1) \quad \Pr(B) \leq \sum_{j \in J} \Pr(A_j) - \max_{\tau} \sum_{j=2}^n \Pr(A_{\tau(j)} A_j).$$

In the class of estimations of first degree with positive dependence condition of events $A_1^c, A_2^c, \dots, A_n^c$, Esary et al. [1] showed easily that

$$(2) \quad P = \Pr(B^c) \geq \prod_{j \in J} \Pr(A_j^c) = \prod_{j \in J} (1 - P_j).$$

2. Some estimation of second degree. Let μ be a $2m$ -element permutation of the set J with repetition of its elements,

$$\mu = (i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2, \dots, i_m, j_m),$$

where $2m \geq n$. Hence

$$B^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k}^c A_{j_k}^c,$$

and so for positively en bloc dependent events $A_1^c, A_2^c, \dots, A_n^c$ we get the inequality

$$(3) \quad \Pr(B^c) \geq \prod_{k=1}^m Q_{i_k j_k},$$

where $Q_{ij} = \Pr(A_i^c A_j^c) = 1 - P_i - P_j + P_{ij}$.

This implies the estimation

$$(4) \quad P \geq 1 - \max_{\mu} \prod_{k=1}^m Q_{i_k j_k}.$$

The problem of optimum estimation of P in the class of estimations (3) is reduced to the optimum choice of pairs, strictly, to the optimum division of the set J into one- or two-element subsets. It is easy to see that the class of admissible permutations with repetitions μ may be limited to the class of permutations without repetition if n is even and then $m = n/2$, or to the class of permutations with one permutation if n is odd and then $m = (n+1)/2$.

The optimization problem may also be formulated as a linear programming problem. Write for some μ

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = i_k, j = j_k \text{ or } i = j_k, j = i_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using the symmetry of matrices (Q_{ij}) and (x_{ij}) we get

$$\prod_{k=1}^m Q_{i_k j_k} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} Q_{ij}^{x_{ij}} = \left[\prod_{i, j \in J} Q_{ij}^{x_{ij}(1 + \delta_{ij})} \right]^{1/2} = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in J} w_{ij} x_{ij} \right],$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's delta, and $w_{ij} = -(1 + \delta_{ij}) \log Q_{ij}$.

The linear programming problem is as follows: given the symmetric matrix (w_{ij}) , find the $(0, 1)$ -valued symmetric matrix (x_{ij}) such that

$$\sum_{i \in J} x_{ij} \geq 1, \quad \sum_{j \in J} x_{ij} \geq 1, \quad x_{ij} = x_{ji},$$

$$\sum_{i, j \in J} w_{ij} x_{ij} = \min.$$

This is the so-called *non-bivariate matching problem*. It is solved by Edmonds, and descriptions of computer programs are also given (cf. [3]).

3. Comparison of estimations. Estimation (4) is comparable with estimation (1). The first one is sharper if the random events A_j , $j \in J$, are almost independent, and the second one is sharper if the events have a large common part. We show this by examples.

Let A_j , $j \in J$, be independent events with the same probability p . From (4) we obtain the exact value

$$\Pr(B) = 1 - (1 - p)^n,$$

and from (1) we get the estimation

$$\Pr(B) \leq np - (n - 1)p^2.$$

Let $A_j = A$ for $j \in J$, $n = 2m$, and let $\Pr(A) = p$. From (4) we get the estimation

$$\Pr(B) \leq 1 - (1 - p)^m = p(1 + (1 - p) + \dots + (1 - p)^{m-1}),$$

and from (1) we obtain the exact value

$$\Pr(B) = 2mp - (2m - 1)p = p.$$

References

- [1] J. D. Esary, F. Proschan and D. W. Walkup, *Association of random variables, with applications*, Ann. Math. Statist. 38 (1967), p. 1466-1474.
- [2] D. Hunter, *An upper bound for the probability of a union*, J. Appl. Prob. 13 (1976), p. 597-603.
- [3] E. L. Lawler, *Combinatorial optimization: networks and matroids*, New York 1976.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW
50-384 WROCLAW

Received on 2. 12. 1977

B. KOPOCIŃSKI (Wrocław)**PEWNE OSZACOWANIE NIEZAWODNOŚCI SYSTEMU
PRZY DODATNIO ZALEŻNYCH CZASACH PRZEŻYCIA ŚCIEŻEK KRYTYCZNYCH****STRESZCZENIE**

Niezawodność systemu definiuje się jako prawdopodobieństwo sumy pewnych zdarzeń losowych. Przy założeniu, że zdarzenia te są dodatnio en bloc zależne, w pracy znaleziono oszacowanie niezawodności, w którym skorzystano z macierzy prawdopodobieństw iloczynów par zdarzeń. Algorytm optymalnego szacowania sprowadza się do problemu optymalnego kojarzenia par. Oszacowanie porównano ze znanym oszacowaniem Huntera; okazało się przy tym, że żadnego z nich nie można generalnie wyróżnić.
