

A. KRZYWICKI and A. RYBARSKI (Wrocław)

ON AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY  
 CONNECTED WITH HARDY'S INEQUALITY

Let  $F$  denote the class of functions  $f = f(t)$ , defined and absolutely continuous on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ , satisfying the conditions  $f(-1) = f(1) = 0$  and such that

$$(1) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p^a \dot{f}^2 dt < \infty$$

where  $p = (1-t^2)^{-1/2}$ ,  $\dot{f} = df/dt$  and  $a$  is a positive number. We shall prove the following

**THEOREM** *If  $f \in F$  and*

$$(2) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p^{a+4} f f_0 dt = 0,$$

where  $f_0 = p^{-1-a}$ , then the following inequality holds true

$$(3) \quad \lambda_a \int_{-1}^1 p^{a+4} f^2 dt \leq \int_{-1}^1 p^a \dot{f}^2 dt$$

with  $\lambda_a = (1+a/2)^2$  for  $0 < a < 4$  and  $\lambda_a = 3a-3$  for  $a \geq 4$ . The coefficient  $\lambda_a$  in (3) cannot be enlarged. Moreover, for  $0 < a < 4$ , there is equality in (3) for  $f = 0$  only.

If condition (2) is rejected, then we have

$$(4) \quad (1+a) \int_{-1}^1 p^{a+4} f^2 dt \leq \int_{-1}^1 p^a \dot{f}^2 dt$$

for any  $f \in F$  and there is equality in (4) for  $f = \text{const } f_0$  only (see [2]). The reason for considering the additional restriction (2) is motivated by some problems of approximation in the theory of differential equations.

**Proof of the theorem.** We introduce a new independent variable  $x$  and a new function  $u = u(x)$  which are connected with  $t$  and  $f$  by the relations

$$(5) \quad t = \operatorname{th}x/2, \quad f = (\operatorname{ch}x/2)^{-\alpha}u, \quad \alpha = 1 + a/2.$$

As a result of simple calculations we get the identities

$$(6) \quad J_1 \equiv \int_{-1}^1 p^{\alpha+4} f^2 dt = 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 dx = 1/2 \|u\|^2$$

and

$$(7) \quad J_2 = \int_{-1}^1 p^{\alpha} \dot{f}^2 dt = 2 \|u'\|^2 + \alpha^2 \|u\|^2 + \alpha(1-a)/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\operatorname{ch}x/2)^{-2} u^2 dx,$$

where ' denotes differentiation with respect to the variable  $x$  and  $\| \cdot \|$  is the standard  $L_2(-\infty, \infty)$ -norm. When deriving (7) the relation  $(\operatorname{th}x/2)u \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$  is to be used which, on the other hand, is equivalent to the relation  $p^{\alpha+2} \dot{f}^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \pm 1$ , established in [2]. The function  $u$  is absolutely continuous on any finite interval of the real line and, due to (7), the norms  $\|u\|$  and  $\|u'\|$  are finite. The class of all such functions will be called  $U$ .

Let  $u \in C_2(-\infty, \infty)$ , i.e.  $u$  is twice continuously differentiable and vanishing outside of a segment of the  $x$ -axis. For such  $u$  the integral  $J_2$  may be expressed in the form

$$(8) \quad J_2 = 2(Lu, u) + \alpha^2/2 \|u\|^2,$$

where

$$(9) \quad Lu = -u'' + \alpha(1-a)/4 (\operatorname{ch}x/2)^{-2} u$$

and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes, as usually, the inner product in  $L_2$ . The second order differential operator  $L$ , if considered on  $C_2$ , is symmetric and it may be extended to a selfadjoint operator  $L_0$  with a domain  $D(L_0) \subset U$ . Its spectrum has been studied by Titchmarsh [3]: it consists of a continuous part which is identical with the positive semiaxis  $\kappa \geq 0$  and of a point spectrum whose points  $\kappa_r$  lying on the negative semiaxis  $\kappa \leq 0$  are given by

$$(10) \quad \kappa_r = -(\alpha/2 - 1/2 - r)^2, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, [\alpha/2 - 1/2]$$

and  $[\beta]$  denotes the integer part of  $\beta$ .

Consider the smallest eigenvalue  $\kappa_0 = -\alpha^2/16$  and corresponding eigenfunction  $\tilde{u}$ . They satisfy the relation

$$(L_0 \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}) = \kappa_0 \|\tilde{u}\|^2$$

which is equivalent to  $J_2 = (2\kappa_0 + \alpha^2/2)\|\tilde{u}\|^2 = (1+a)J_1$ . The last equality is identical with (4), where the  $\leq$  sign has been replaced by the  $=$  sign and where  $f = \tilde{f} = (\text{ch } x/2)^{-\alpha}\tilde{u}$ . However, the only function of class  $F$  for which there is equality in (4) is the function  $\text{const } f_0$ , therefore, due to relation  $\tilde{u} \in U$ , we have  $f = \text{const } f_0$ , and  $\tilde{u} = \text{const } u_0$ , where  $u_0 = (\text{ch } x/2)^{-\alpha}$ , is the only eigenfunction of  $L_0$ , corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\kappa_0$ .

Let us now remark that it suffices to prove our theorem for functions  $f \in C_2(-1, 1)$  only and then apply the standard limiting procedure. When working with corresponding functions  $u \in U$ , we can restrict ourselves to the class  $C_2(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Assume  $u \in C_2(-\infty, \infty)$ . The orthogonality condition (2), when expressed in terms of  $x$  and  $u$ 's, takes the form

$$(11) \quad (u, u_0) = 0.$$

We make now use of spectral representation of the operator  $L_0$ . Due to (11), we have

$$(12) \quad (L_0 u, u) \geq \mu \|u\|^2$$

for any  $u \in C_2(-\infty, \infty)$  where  $\mu$  is the point of the spectrum of  $L_0$  which is different from and nearest to  $\kappa_0$ , i.e.  $\mu = 0$  for  $0 < a < 4$  and  $\mu = \kappa_0 = -1/4(\alpha-3)^2$  for  $a \geq 4$ . Due to (8) and the identity  $L_0 u = Lu$  for  $u \in C_2(-\infty, \infty)$ , the inequality (12) may be written as  $J_2 \geq \lambda_a J_1$ ,  $\lambda_a = 4\mu + \alpha^2$ ,  $f \in C_2(-1, 1)$ , which is the desired inequality (3).

Now for  $0 < a < 4$ ,  $\mu = 0$  is a point of the continuous part of the spectrum and there is no function other than zero for which there would be equality in (3) with both integrals convergent. If  $a \geq 4$ , then  $\mu = \kappa_1$  and an eigenfunction corresponding to this eigenvalue turns (3) to equality. This completes the proof of the theorem.

A particular case,  $a = 1$ , will be considered now under the additional assumption that  $f \in F$  is an even function. In this case inequality (3) has the form

$$(13) \quad 9/4 \int_{-1}^1 p^5 f^2 dt \leq \int_{-1}^1 p f^2 dt$$

and the orthogonality condition becomes

$$(14) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p^3 f dt = 0.$$

We shall show that in this particular case our theorem is equivalent to Hardy's theorem [1].

We shall make use of the identity

$$(15) \quad \int_{-1}^1 p f^2 dt - 2 \int_{-1}^1 p^5 f^5 dt = \int_{-1}^1 p^{-3} \dot{h}^2 dt,$$

(see [2], formula (11)), where  $h = p^2 f$  with  $f \in F$ . Let  $\{T_k\}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , denote the system of Chebyshev's polynomials. Denoting by  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  coefficients with respect to  $\{T_k\}$  of functions  $h$  and  $p^{-2} \dot{h}$  resp., i.e.

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi a_0 &= \int_{-1}^1 p h T_0 dt, & \pi a_k &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 p h T_k dt, \\ \pi b_0 &= \int_{-1}^1 p^{-1} \dot{h} T_0 dt, & \pi b_k &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 p^{-1} \dot{h} T_k dt, \end{aligned}$$

we have, due to Parseval's relation,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= 2/\pi \int_{-1}^1 p h^2 dt = 2a_0^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k^2, \\ I_2 &= 2/\pi \int_{-1}^1 p^{-3} \dot{h}^2 dt = 2b_0^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} b_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have also the following identities

$$(18) \quad a_1 = 2b_0, \quad 2b_k = (k+1)a_{k+1} - (k-1)a_{k-1}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . These identities follow simply when performing integration by parts in (16). Due to relations (18) we can express the coefficients  $a_k$  in terms of  $b_k$ .

The orthogonality condition (14) is now equivalent to  $a_0 = 0$ . We have also relations  $a_1 = a_3 = \dots = 0$  and  $b_0 = b_2 = \dots = 0$  implied by the assumption  $h$  to be an even function. If we now put  $\beta_k = b_{2k-1}$ ,  $B_k = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$  we can then rewrite  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  in the form

$$(19) \quad I_1 = \sum_{k \geq 1} (B_k/k)^2, \quad I_2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \beta_k^2.$$

According to Hardy's theorem we have  $I_2 < 4I_1$  if only not all  $\beta_k$  are zero; here the coefficient 4 is the best one. The inequality (13) is now an immediate consequence of the identity (15). Conversely, Hardy's theorem follows simply from inequality (13) and identity (15) expressed in terms of  $\beta_k$ .

The above arguments may be modified so as to apply to the general case considered in our theorem, however, a generalization of Hardy's theorem is then needed. We hope to return to this problem elsewhere.

• **References**

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, London 1951.
- [2] A. Krzywicki and A. Rybarski, *On some integral inequalities involving Chebyshev weight function*, Colloq. Math. 18 (1967), pp. 147-150.
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, *Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations*, Oxford 1946.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

*Received on 22. 12. 1967*

---