

S. TRYBUŁA (Wrocław)

## MINIMAX ESTIMATION AND PREDICTION FOR RANDOM VARIABLES WITH BOUNDED SUM

1. In this paper the form of a minimax estimator  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$  of the parameter  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ ,  $m_i = E(X'_i)$ , is determined for the loss function (2), in the case when the random variables  $X'_1, \dots, X'_r$  satisfy the conditions

$$(1) \quad X'_1 \geq 0, \dots, X'_r \geq 0, \quad X'_1 + \dots + X'_r \leq s.$$

An application to random variables with hierarchical structure (see (8) and (9)) is given. A prediction problem for random variables with bounded sum is considered.

2. Let  $X' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_r)$  be a random variable satisfying the conditions

$$X'_1 \geq 0, \dots, X'_r \geq 0, \quad X'_1 + \dots + X'_r = s, \quad s > 0, r \in \{2, 3, \dots\}.$$

Let  $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)}$ ,  $X^{(j)} = (X_1^{(j)}, \dots, X_r^{(j)})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , be independent random variables having the same distribution as  $X'$ . Write  $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n)})$ ,  $m_i = E(X'_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and let  $d(X) = (d_1(X), \dots, d_r(X))$  be an estimator of the parameter  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ . The problem is to find a minimax estimator of  $m$  for the loss function

$$(2) \quad L(m, \hat{a}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (a_i - m_i)(a_j - m_j),$$

where  $\hat{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  is an estimate of  $m$  and the matrix  $C = \|c_{ij}\|_1^r$  is nonnegative definite.

Denote

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_i^{(j)}.$$

Let us consider an estimator  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$  for which

$$(3) \quad d_i(X) = \frac{X_i + \beta_i \sqrt{n}}{n + \sqrt{n}},$$

where  $\beta_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i = s$ . For such an estimator

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad R(m, d) &= E(L(m, d(X))) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n+1})^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} [E(X'_i - m_i)(X'_j - m_j) + (m_i - \beta_i)(m_j - \beta_j)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n+1})^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} [E(X'_i X'_j) - 2\beta_j m_i + \beta_i \beta_j]
 \end{aligned}$$

is the risk function.

But

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} X'_i X'_j - s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} X'_i \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} X'_i X'_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ii} X'_i X'_j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{jj} X'_i X'_j \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r (c_{ii} + c_{jj} - 2c_{ij}) X'_i X'_j \leq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

because matrix  $C$  is nonnegative definite and  $X'_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Thus we obtain

$$(5) \quad R(m, d) \leq \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n+1})^2} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (\beta_i \beta_j - 2\beta_j m_i) + s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} m_i \right].$$

Let  $e_1 = (s, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_r = (0, 0, \dots, s)$ ,

$$(6) \quad P(X' = e_i) = \frac{m_i}{s} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(X'_i) &= m_i, & E(X'_i X'_j) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \\
 E(X'^2_i) &= sm_i
 \end{aligned}$$

and for each estimator (3)

$$R(m, d) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n+1})^2} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (\beta_i \beta_j - 2\beta_j m_i) + s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} m_i \right].$$

Suppose that there are a set  $A \subset R = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ ,  $|A| \geq 2$ , and

constants  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, v$  such that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in A} (c_{ii} - 2c_{ij}) \beta_j &= v \quad \text{if } i \in A, \\ \sum_{j \in A} (c_{ii} - 2c_{ij}) \beta_j &\leq v \quad \text{if } i \in R - A, \end{aligned}$$

$\beta_i > 0$  for  $i \in A$ ,  $\beta_i = 0$  for  $i \in R - A$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i = s$ . It follows from [4] that such a set  $A$  and such constants always exist. For  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, v$  chosen in such a way we have

$$R(m, d) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n} + 1)^2} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} \beta_i \beta_j + v \right) = c,$$

if  $X'$  is distributed according to (6) with  $m_i = 0$  if  $i \in R - A$ , and

$$R(m, d) \leq c$$

for any distribution of  $X'$ .

One can view the problem of finding a minimax estimator of the parameter  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$  as the problem of determining a minimax strategy in a game against nature; the nature chooses a distribution of the random variable  $X'$ , the statistician chooses an estimator  $d$  of  $m = E(X')$ , the payoff is the risk function  $R(m, d)$ . Choose a mixed strategy of the nature in the following way:

(S) At first choose the parameter  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  according to the density

$$g(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha_{i_1}) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_{i_s})} p_{i_1}^{\alpha_{i_1}-1} \dots p_{i_s}^{\alpha_{i_s}-1} & \text{if } p_{i_k} > 0, \sum_{k=1}^s p_{i_k} = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(A = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}, \alpha_i = (\sqrt{n/s}) \beta_i).$$

and later, choose the distribution  $P$  of  $X'$  according to (6).

It is not difficult to verify that the estimator defined by (3) and (7) is a Bayes estimator with respect to such a mixed strategy of nature, and we have proved

**THEOREM 1.** Each estimator  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$  with  $d_i$  defined by (3), where the  $\beta_i$  are chosen according to (7), is a minimax estimator of the parameter  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$  for the loss function (2). Such a minimax estimator always exists.

In [4] it is proved that  $\beta_1^0, \dots, \beta_r^0$  satisfying (7) are solutions to the equation

$$s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} \beta_i^0 - \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} \beta_i^0 \beta_j^0 = \max \left( s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} \beta_i - \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} \beta_i \beta_j \right),$$

where the maximum is taken over the set of  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$  such that  $\beta_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i = s$ .

Taking into account the maximin strategy of nature defined in (S) one can notice that each estimator (3) with  $\beta_i$  satisfying (7) is a minimax estimator of the parameter  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  of the multinomial distribution

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_r = x_r) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_r!} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_r^{x_r}$$

for the loss function

$$L(p, \hat{a}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (a_i - p_i)(a_j - p_j)$$

if matrix  $C$  is nonnegative definite. This was proved in [4]. Our considerations are partly based on this result.

Let the random variable  $X' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_r)$  satisfy the conditions

$$X'_1 \geq 0, \dots, X'_r \geq 0, \quad X'_1 + \dots + X'_r \leq s, \quad s > 0, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and let the loss function be given by (2). Let us define  $X'_{r+1} = s - \sum_{i=1}^r X'_i$  and  $c_{i,r+1} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r+1$ . Then we are in the situation considered in this section and there exists a minimax estimator  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$  of the parameter  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$  of the form (3) with  $\beta_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i \leq s$ . In the case  $r = 1$  the problem was solved in [1] ( $\beta_1 = s/2$ ).

3. Let  $X' = (X'_{11}, \dots, X'_{1s_1}, \dots, X'_{r1}, \dots, X'_{rs_r})$  be a random variable satisfying the conditions

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} X'_{ik} = s, \quad X'_{ik} \geq 0.$$

Let  $m_{ik} = E(X'_{ik})$  and let  $X_{ik}^{(j)}$ ,  $X^{(j)}$ ,  $X$ ,  $X_{ik}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s_i$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be defined as the corresponding random variables in Section 2. Let the loss function be of the form

$$(9) \quad L(m, \hat{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i (a_i - m_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} c_{ik} (a_{ik} - m_{ik})^2,$$

where

$$m_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} m_{ik}, \quad a_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} a_{ik},$$

$c_i \geq 0$ ,  $c_{ik} > 0$  and  $\hat{a} = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1s_1}, \dots, a_{r1}, \dots, a_{rs_r})$  is an estimate of  $m = (m_{11}, \dots, m_{1s_1}, \dots, m_{r1}, \dots, m_{rs_r})$ . Consider the estimator  $d = (d_{11}, \dots, d_{1s_1}, \dots, d_{r1}, \dots, d_{rs_r})$  of  $m$  for which

$$(10) \quad d_{ik} = \frac{X_{ik} + \beta_{ik} \sqrt{n}}{n + \sqrt{n}},$$

where

$$\beta_{ik} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} \beta_{ik} = s.$$

Denote

$$X_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} X_{ik}, \quad \beta_i = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} \beta_{ik}.$$

Then

$$d_i(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} d_{ik}(X) = \frac{X_i + \beta_i \sqrt{n}}{n + \sqrt{n}}$$

is the corresponding estimator of  $m_i$ . From Theorem 1 it follows that there exists an estimator  $d$  of  $m$ , with  $d_{ik}$  given by (10), which is minimax. In paper [3] a method of determining the constants in the case  $s = 1$  is given (it is done for the multinomial distribution). I think that a modification of this method may be used when

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^{s_i} X'_{ik} \leq s, \quad X'_{ik} \geq 0.$$

When all  $c_{ik} = 0$  in (9) a simple method to determine  $\beta_i$  is given in [2]. This was also found for the multinomial distribution.

4. Let  $X' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_r)$  be a random variable satisfying the conditions (1) and let  $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n_1)}; Y^{(1)}, \dots, Y^{(n_2)}, X^{(j)} = (X_1^{(j)}, \dots, X_r^{(j)}), j = 1, \dots, n_1, Y^{(k)} = (Y_1^{(k)}, \dots, Y_r^{(k)}), k = 1, \dots, n_2$ , be independent random variables having the same distribution as  $X'$ . Let  $X = (X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(n_1)}), Y = (Y^{(1)}, \dots, Y^{(n_2)})$ ,

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} X_i^{(j)}, \quad Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} Y_i^{(k)},$$

$$Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_r).$$

The problem is to find a minimax predictor of  $Y$ , based on  $X$ , for the loss function

$$(11) \quad L(Y, \hat{a}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij}(a_i - Y_i)(a_j - Y_j),$$

where  $\hat{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$  is a prediction of  $Y$  and the matrix  $C = \|c_{ij}\|_1^r$  is nonnegative definite.

Consider a predictor  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ , where

$$(12) \quad d_i(X) = aX_i + b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

In this case

$$\begin{aligned} R(m, d) &= E(L(Y, d(X))) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} \{ (a^2 n_1 + n_2) E(X'_i - m_i)(X'_j - m_j) \\ &\quad + [b_i - (n_2 - an_1) m_i] [b_j - (n_2 - an_1) m_j] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$(13) \quad a^2 n_1 + n_2 = (n_2 - an_1)^2,$$

$$(14) \quad b_i = (n_2 - an_1) \beta_i,$$

where  $\beta_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^r \beta_i = s$ . For the predictor  $d$  satisfying these conditions we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(m, d) &= (n_2 - an_1)^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} [E(X'_i - m_i)(X'_j - m_j) + (m_i - \beta_i)(m_j - \beta_j)] \\ &\leq (n_2 - an_1)^2 \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (\beta_i \beta_j - 2\beta_j m_i) + s \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ii} m_i \right] \end{aligned}$$

(see (4) and (5)).

Equation (13) holds surely if

$$(15) \quad a = \begin{cases} \frac{n_1 n_2 - \sqrt{n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_2 - 1)}}{n_1 (n_1 - 1)} & \text{for } n_1 > 1, \\ (n_2 - 1)/2 & \text{for } n_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us notice that  $a = 0$  if  $n_2 = 1$ .

On the other hand, for any predictor  $d$  the risk function may be presented as follows

$$R(m, d) = E \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (d_i(X) - Y_i)(d_j(X) - Y_j) \right]$$

$$= E \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} (d_i(X) - n_2 m_i) (d_j(X) - n_2 m_j) \right] \\ + \sum_{i,j=1}^r c_{ij} E (Y_i - n_2 m_i) (Y_j - n_2 m_j),$$

where the second term is independent of  $d$ . Taking this into account one can prove that for  $n_2 > 1$  the predictor  $d$ , determined by (12), (14), and (15), with  $\beta_i$  satisfying conditions (7), is Bayesian with respect to the mixed (maximin) strategy of nature defined by (S) with

$$\alpha_i = \frac{n_2 - an_1}{a} \beta_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

For  $n_2 = 1$ , to define the strategy of nature, one can choose with probability 1 in (S) the parameter  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  equal to  $(1/s)(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$  obtaining the same conclusion. Then, similarly as in Section 2, we obtain

**THEOREM 2.** *Each predictor  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$  with*

$$d_i(X) = aX_i + (n_2 - an_1) \beta_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, r),$$

where  $a$  is given by (15) and  $\beta_i$  are chosen according to (7), is a minimax predictor of the random variable  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$  for the loss function (11). Such a minimax predictor always exists.

In a similar way as in Section 2 one can find the conditions for the minimax predictor of  $Y$  in the case

$$X'_1 \geq 0, \dots, X'_r \geq 0, \quad X'_1 + \dots + X'_r \leq s.$$

#### References

- [1] J. L. Hodges and E. L. Lehmann, *Some problems in minimax point estimation*, Ann. Math. Statist. 21 (1950), p. 182-196.
- [2] S. Trybuła, *Some problems in simultaneous minimax estimation*, ibid. 29 (1958), p. 245-253.
- [3] —, *Some investigations in minimax estimation theory*, Dissertationes Mathematicae 240, Warszawa 1985, 42 pp.
- [4] M. Wilczyński, *Minimax estimation for multinomial and multivariate hypergeometric distribution*, Sankhyā 47, Series A, Pt. 1, p. 128-132.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
50-370 WROCLAW

Received on 1983.02.08