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#### Abstract

We prove a necessary and sufficient condition under which a connected graph has a connected $P_{3}$-path graph. Moreover, an analogous condition for connectivity of the $P_{k}$-path graph of a connected graph which does not contain a cycle of length smaller than $k+1$ is derived.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph, $k \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ be the set of all paths of length $k$ (i.e., with $k+1$ vertices) in $G$. The vertex set of a path graph $P_{k}(G)$ is the set $\mathcal{P}_{k}$. Two vertices of $P_{k}(G)$ are joined by an edge if and only if the edges in the intersection of the corresponding paths form a path of length $k-1$, and their union forms either a cycle or a path of length $k+1$. It means that the

[^0]vertices are adjacent if and only if one can be obtained from the other by "shifting" the corresponding paths in $G$.

Path graphs were investigated by Broersma and Hoede in [2] as a natural generalization of line graphs, since $P_{1}(G)$ is the line graph of $G$. We have to point out that, in the pioneering paper [2] the number $k$ in $P_{k}(G)$ denotes the number of vertices of the paths and not their length. However, in some applications our notation is more consistent, see e.g., [3]. Traversability of $P_{2}$-path graphs is studied in [9], and a characterization of $P_{2}$-path graphs is given in [2] and [7]. Distance properties of path graphs are studied in [1], [4] and [5], and [6] and [8] are devoted to isomorphisms of path graphs.

Let $V=V(G)$ be a set of $n$ distinct symbols. Consider strings of length $k+1$ of these symbols, in which all $k+1$ symbols are mutually distinct. Let $G$ be a graph on vertex set $V$, edges of which correspond to pairs of symbols which can be neighbours in our strings. If we do not distinguish between a string and its reverse, then $P_{k}(G)$ is connected if and only if every string can be obtained from any other one sequentially, by removing a symbol from one of its ends and adding a symbol to the other end.

Let $G$ be a connected graph. It is well-known (and trivial to prove) that $P_{1}(G)$, i.e., the line graph of $G$, is a connected graph. However, this is not the case for $P_{k}$-path graphs if $k \geq 2$. This causes some problems, especially when studying distances in path graphs. For example, in [1] the authors give an upper bound for the diameter of every component of a $P_{k}$-path graph, as the whole graph can be disconnected. By [4, Theorem 1], we have:

Theorem A. Let $G$ be a connected graph. Then $P_{2}(G)$ is disconnected if and only if $G$ contains two distinct paths $A$ and $B$ of length two, such that the degrees of both endvertices of $A$ are 1 in $G$.

In this paper we generalize Theorem A to $P_{k}$-path graphs when $G$ does not contain a cycle of length smaller than $k+1$. Moreover, we completely solve the case of $P_{3}$-path graphs.

We use standard graph-theoretic notation. Let $G$ be a graph. The vertex set and the edge set of $G$, respectively, are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$. For two subgraphs, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of $G$, by $H_{1} \cup H_{2}$ we denote the union of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, and $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ denotes their intersection. Let $u$ and $v$ be vertices in $G$. By $d_{G}(u, v)$ we denote the distance from $u$ to $v$ in $G$, and by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(u)$ the degree of $u$ is denoted. For the vertex set of a component of $G$ containing $u$ we use $C o(u)$. A path and a cycle, respectively, of length $l$ are denoted by $P_{l}$ and $C_{l}$.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give a (necessary and sufficient) condition for a connected graph (under some restrictions) to have a connected $P_{k}$-path graph, and Section 3 is devoted to an analogous condition for $P_{3}$-path graphs of general graphs.

## $2 \quad P_{k}$-Path Graphs

Let $G$ be a graph, $k \geq 2,0 \leq t \leq k-2$, and let $A$ be a path of length $k$ in $G$. By $P_{k, t}^{*}$ we denote an induced subgraph of $G$ which is a tree of diameter $k+t$ with a diametric path $\left(x_{t}, x_{t-1}, \ldots, x_{1}, v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-t}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t}\right)$, such that all endvertices of $P_{k, t}^{*}$ have distance $\leq t$ either to $v_{0}$ or to $v_{k-t}$ and the degrees of $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-t-1}$ are 2 in $P_{k, t}^{*}$. Moreover, no vertex of $V\left(P_{k, t}^{*}\right)$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-t-1}\right\}$ is joined by an edge to a vertex in $V(G)-V\left(P_{k, t}^{*}\right)$. The path $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-t}\right)$ is a base of $P_{k, t}^{*}$, and we say that $A$ lies in $P_{k, t}^{*}$, $A \in P_{k, t}^{*}$, if and only if the base of $P_{k, t}^{*}$ is a subpath of $A$.


In Figure 1 a $P_{6,3}^{*}$ is pictured. Note that this graph contains also two $P_{6,0}^{*}$ and one $P_{6,1}^{*}$, but it does not contain $P_{6,2}^{*}$. We remark that by thin halfedges are outlined possible edges joining vertices of $P_{6,3}^{*}$ to vertices in $V(G)-V\left(P_{6,3}^{*}\right)$.

In this section we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph without cycles of length smaller than $k+1$. Then $P_{k}(G)$ is disconnected if and only if $G$ contains $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq$ $t \leq k-2$, and a path $A$ of length $k$ such that $A \notin P_{k, t}^{*}$.

For easier handling of paths of length $k$ in $G$ (i.e., the vertices of $P_{k}(G)$ ) we adopt the following convention. We denote the vertices of $P_{k}(G)$ (as well as the vertices of $G$ ) by small letters $a, b, \ldots$, while the corresponding paths of length $k$ in $G$ will be denoted by capital letters $A, B, \ldots$. It means that if $A$ is a path of length $k$ in $G$ and $a$ is a vertex in $P_{k}(G)$, then $a$ must be the vertex corresponding to the path $A$.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph without cycles of length smaller than $k+1$. Moreover, let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ be a path of length $k$ in $G$ which is not in $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$. Then for every $i, 0 \leq i \leq k$, there is an $a_{i} \in C o(a)$ such that $x_{i}$ is an endvertex of $A_{i}$ and the edge of $A_{i}$ incident with $x_{i}$ lies in $A$.

Proof. Observe that if there is a vertex $a_{i} \in C o(a)$ such that $x_{i}$ is an endvertex of $A_{i}$, then choosing $a_{i}$ with $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a, a_{i}\right)$ smallest possible, the endedge of $A_{i}$ incident with $x_{i}$ is in $A$.

Thus, suppose that for some $i, 0<i<k$, there is no $a_{i} \in C o(a)$ such that $x_{i}$ is an endvertex of $A_{i}$. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ formed by the vertices and edges of paths $A^{\prime}$, where $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$. Clearly, $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \subseteq A^{\prime}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. Let $R=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-t}\right)$ be the longest path that share all $A^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. As $k-t \geq 2$, we have $t \leq k-2$. Further, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{2}\right)=\ldots=\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{k-t-1}\right)=2$, and every endvertex of $H$ has distance $\leq t$ either to $v_{0}$ or to $v_{k-t}$. Since $H$ does not contain cycles (recall that the length of every cycle in $G$ is at least $k+1$ ), $H$ is $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$. As $R \subseteq A$ we have $A \in P_{k, t}^{*}$, a contradiction.
Let $A$ and $B$ be two paths of length $k$ in $G$. If one endvertex of $B$, say $x$, lies in $A$, but the edge of $B$ incident with $x$ is not in $A$, then we say that the pair $(A, B)$ forms $T$ with a touching vertex $x$.

Note that if $(A, B)$ forms $T$ in $G$, then $A \cup B$ is not necessarily a tree even if $G$ does not contain a cycle of length $\leq k$.

Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a graph without cycles of length smaller than $k+1$. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$, and let $(A, B)$ form $T$ in $G$. Then $b \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$.

Proof. Let $(A, B)$ form $T$ with a touching vertex $x$. By Lemma 2, there is $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ such that $x$ is an endvertex of $A^{\prime}$ and the edge of $A^{\prime}$ incident with $x$ lies in $A$. As $G$ does not contain a cycle of length smaller than $k+1$, we have $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a^{\prime}, b\right) \leq k$, and hence $b \in C o(a)$.
Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. We arrange the proof into three steps.
(i) First suppose that $G$ contains some $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$, with a base $R=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-t}\right)$, and a path $A$ of length $k$ such that $A \notin P_{k, t}^{*}$. Since the diameter of $P_{k, t}^{*}$ is $k+t$, there is a path $B$ of length $k$ in $G$ such that $B \in P_{k, t}^{*}$, i.e., $R \subseteq B$. By the structure of $P_{k, t}^{*}$, for every vertex $b^{\prime}$ of $P_{k}(G)$
which is adjacent to $b$ we have $R \subseteq B^{\prime}$, too. Hence, for every $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ it holds $R \subseteq B^{\prime}$. Since $A$ does not contain $R$, we have $a \notin C o(b)$, so that $P_{k}(G)$ is a disconnected graph.
(ii) Now suppose that $G$ contains some $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$, such that for every $a \in V\left(P_{k}(G)\right)$ it holds $A \in P_{k, t}^{*}$. We show that either $P_{k}(G)$ is a connected graph, or $G$ contains $P_{k, t^{\prime}}^{*}, 0 \leq t^{\prime}<t$, and a path $B$ of length $k$ such that $B \notin P_{k, t^{\prime}}^{*}$.

Let $R=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-t}\right)$ be the base of $P_{k, t}^{*}$, and let $b$ be a vertex of $P_{k}(G)$ such that $B \in P_{k, t}^{*}$ and $v_{0}$ is an endvertex of $B$ (e.g., choose $B$ as a part of a diametric path of $P_{k, t}^{*}$ ). Let $a$ be a vertex of $P_{k}(G), A \in P_{k, t}^{*}$. If there is $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ such that either $v_{0}$ or $v_{k-t}$ is an endvertex of $A^{\prime}$, then either $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a^{\prime}, b\right) \leq 2 t$ or $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a^{\prime}, b\right)=t$ (by the structure of $P_{k, t}^{*}$ we have $\left.R \subseteq A^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, $a \in C o(b)$.

Thus, suppose that there is a vertex $a$ in $P_{k}(G), A \in P_{k, t}^{*}$, such that for every $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ neither $v_{0}$ nor $v_{k-t}$ is an endvertex of $A^{\prime}$. Let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$ formed by the vertices and edges of paths $A^{\prime}$, for which $a^{\prime} \in$ $C o(a)$. Clearly, $R \subseteq A^{\prime}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$. Let $R^{\prime}=\left(v_{0}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{k-t^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the longest path that share all $A^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$. Since $R \subset R^{\prime}$, by the choice of $A$ we have $v_{0}=v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{1}=v_{i+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{k-t}=v_{i+k-t}^{\prime}$, where $i>0$ and $i+k-t<k-t^{\prime}$, i.e., $t^{\prime}<t-i$. Further, $\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\ldots=\operatorname{deg}_{H}\left(v_{k-t-1}^{\prime}\right)=2$, and every endvertex of $H$ has distance $\leq t^{\prime}$ either to $v_{0}^{\prime}$ or to $v_{k-t^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Since $H$ does not contain cycles, $H$ is $P_{k, t^{\prime}}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$. As $R^{\prime} \nsubseteq B$, we have $B \notin P_{k, t^{\prime}}^{*}$.
(iii) Finally, suppose that $G$ does not contain $P_{k, t}^{*}, 0 \leq t \leq k-2$. We show that $P_{k}(G)$ is a connected graph.

Let $a, b \in V\left(P_{k}(G)\right)$. First suppose that $A \cap B$ does not contain an edge. Let $P=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ be a shortest path in $G$ joining a vertex of $A$ with a vertex of $B$ (i.e., $y_{l} \in V(B)$ ). By Lemma 2 , there is $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $y_{l}$ is an endvertex of $B^{\prime}$ and the edge of $B^{\prime}$ incident with $y_{l}$ lies in $B$. Let $B^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{k-1}^{\prime}, y_{l}\right)$. Then $P^{\prime}=\left(b_{0}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{k-1}^{\prime}, y_{l}, y_{l-1}, \ldots, y_{0}\right)$ is a walk of length $k+l$. Since $G$ does not contain a cycle of length $\leq k$, every subwalk of $P^{\prime}$ of length $k$ is a path. Let $B^{\prime \prime}$ be a subpath of length $k$ of $P^{\prime}$ with endvertex $y_{0}$. Then $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq l$, and hence $b^{\prime \prime} \in C o(b)$. As $\left(A, B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ forms $T$ in $G$, we have $b \in C o(a)$, by Lemma 3 .

Now suppose that $A \cap B$ contains an edge. Let $P=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ be a longest path that is shared by $A$ and $B$. By Lemma 2, for every $i$, $0 \leq i \leq l$, there is $b_{i} \in \operatorname{Co}(b)$ such that $y_{i}$ is an endvertex of $B_{i}$, and the edge of $B_{i}$ incident with $y_{i}$ lies in $B$. If $B_{0}$ does not contain the edge $y_{0} y_{1}$, then $\left(A, B_{0}\right)$ forms $T$ in $G$, so that $b \in C o(a)$, by Lemma 3. Analogously, if
$B_{l}$ does not contain $y_{l-1} y_{l}$, then $b \in C o(a)$. Thus, suppose that $B_{0}$ contains the edge $y_{0} y_{1}$ and $B_{l}$ contains $y_{l-1} y_{l}$. Then there is some $i, 0 \leq i<l$, such that both $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+1}$ contain the edge $y_{i} y_{i+1}$. By Lemma 2, there is $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ such that $y_{i}$ is an endvertex of $A^{\prime}$ and the edge of $A^{\prime}$ incident with $y_{i}$ lies in $A$. If $A^{\prime}$ contains the edge $y_{i} y_{i+1}$, then $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a^{\prime}, b_{i+1}\right) \leq k-1$, and hence $b \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. On the other hand, if $A^{\prime}$ does not contain $y_{i} y_{i+1}$, we have $d_{P_{k}(G)}\left(a^{\prime}, b_{i}\right) \leq k$, and hence $b \in C o(a)$ as well.

## $3 \quad P_{3}$-Path Graphs

Let $G$ be a graph and let $A$ be a path of length three in $G$. By $P_{3}^{\circ}$ we denote a subgraph of $G$ induced by vertices of a path of length 3 , say $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$, such that neither $v_{0}$ nor $v_{3}$ has a neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. We say that the path $A$ is in $P_{3}^{\circ}, A \in P_{3}^{\circ}$, if $A=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$.

By $P_{4}^{\circ}$ we denote an induced subgraph of $G$ with a path $\left(x, v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, y\right)$, in which every neighbour of $v_{0}$ (and analogously every neighbour of $v_{2}$ ), except $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$, has degree 1 , or it has degree 2 and in this case it is adjacent to $v_{1}$. Moreover, no vertex of $V\left(P_{4}^{\circ}\right)-\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ is joined by an edge to a vertex of $V(G)-V\left(P_{4}^{\circ}\right)$ in $G$. The path $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$, and we say that the path $A$ lies in $P_{4}^{\circ}, A \in P_{4}^{\circ}$, if the base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$ is a subpath of $A$.


On example of a graph $P_{3}^{\circ}$ is pictured in Figure 2 and a graph $P_{4}^{\circ}$ in Figure 3. The edges that must be in $G$ are painted thick, while edges, that are not necessarily in $G$, are painted thin.

Let $K_{4}$ be a complete graph on 4 vertices, and let $S$ be a set (possibly empty) of independent vertices. A graph obtained from $K_{4} \cup S$ by joining all vertices of $S$ to one special vertex of $K_{4}$ is denoted by $K_{4}^{*}$, see Figure 4. Let $K_{2, t}$ be a complete bipartite graph, $t \geq 1$, and let $(X, Y)$ be the bipartition of $K_{2, t}, X=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Join $t$ sets of independent vertices by edges, each to one vertex of $Y$; further, glue a set of stars (each with at least 3 vertices) by one endvertex, each either to $v_{1}$ or to $v_{2}$; glue a set of triangles by one
vertex, each either to $v_{1}$ or to $v_{2}$; and finally, join $v_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ by an edge. The resulting graph is denoted by $K_{2, t}^{*}$, see Figure 5 .


Figure 4


Figure 5

Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph such that $P_{3}(G)$ is not empty. Then $P_{3}(G)$ is disconnected if and only if one of the following holds:
(1) $G$ contains $P_{t}^{\circ}, t \in\{3,4\}$, and a path $A$ of length 3 such that $A \notin P_{t}^{\circ}$;
(2) $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}^{*}$;
(3) $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2, t}^{*}, t \geq 1$.

If $A \in P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, then $a$ is an isolated vertex in $P_{3}(G)$, and if $A \in P_{4}^{\circ}$, then $a$ lies in a complete bipartite graph. Thus, we have the following corollary of Theorem 4.

Corollary 5. Let $G$ be a connected graph that is not isomorphic to $K_{4}^{*}$ or to $K_{2, t}^{*}, t \geq 1$. Then at most one nontrivial component of $P_{3}(G)$ is different from a complete bipartite graph.

In the proof of Theorem 4 we use 6 lemmas.
Lemma 6. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be vertices in $P_{3}(G)$. If neither $A$ nor $B$ is in some $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$ in $G$, then there are vertices $c$ and $d$ in $P_{3}(G)$, such that $c \in C o(a), d \in C o(b)$ and $C$ and $D$ share an edge in $G$.

Proof. Let $A \cap B$ do not contain an edge, and let $P=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right)$ be a shortest path in $G$ joining a vertex of $A$ with a vertex of $B$ (i.e., $y_{l} \in V(B)$ ). We show that there is a vertex $b^{\prime}$ in $C o(b)$, such that $y_{l}$ is an endvertex of $B^{\prime}$.

Suppose that there is no vertex $b^{\prime}$ with the required property. Then $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{l}, x_{3}\right)$, and since $B$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there is a vertex $\bar{b}$ in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $\bar{b} b \in E\left(P_{3}(G)\right)$. By our assumption, $\bar{B}=\left(x_{1}, y_{l}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ for some $x_{4} \in V(G)$. Moreover, for every neighbour $u$ of $b$ we have $U=\left(x_{1}, y_{l}, x_{3}, z\right)$,
where $z$ has no neighbours in $V(G)-\left\{y_{l}, x_{3}\right\}$; and for every neighbour $v$ of $\bar{b}$ we have $V=\left(z, x_{1}, y_{l}, x_{3}\right)$, where $z$ has no neighbours in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, y_{l}\right\}$. Hence $B$ is in some $P_{4}^{\circ}$, a contradiction.

Thus, there is a vertex $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$, such that $y_{l}$ is an endvertex of $B^{\prime}$. Let $b^{\prime \prime}$ be the first vertex on a shortest $b-b^{\prime}$ path in $P_{3}(G)$, such that one endvertex of $B^{\prime \prime}$ is in $P$. Assume that $B^{\prime \prime}=\left(b_{3}^{\prime \prime}, b_{2}^{\prime \prime}, b_{1}^{\prime \prime}, y_{i}\right)$. Then $P^{\prime}=$ $\left(b_{3}^{\prime \prime}, b_{2}^{\prime \prime}, b_{1}^{\prime \prime}, y_{i}, y_{i-1}, \ldots, y_{0}\right)$ is a path of length $i+3 \geq 3$. Let $B^{*}$ be a subpath of $P$ of length 3 , such that $y_{0}$ is an endvertex of $B^{*}$. Then $d_{P_{3}(G)}\left(b^{\prime \prime}, b^{*}\right)=i$, and hence, $b^{*} \in C o(b)$.

Denote $B^{*}=\left(y_{0}, b_{1}^{*}, b_{2}^{*}, b_{3}^{*}\right)$, and suppose that $A \cap B^{*}$ does not contain an edge. Let $A=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$. Distinguish two cases.
(i) $y_{0}=a_{1}$. Then $b_{1}^{*} \neq a_{0}$ and $b_{1}^{*} \neq a_{2}$, so that at least one of $a_{0}$ and $a_{2}$, say $a_{0}$, is different from $b_{2}^{*}$. Since $a_{0}$ is not an interior vertex of $B^{*}$, $D=\left(a_{0}, y_{0}, b_{1}^{*}, b_{2}^{*}\right)$ is a path of length 3 in $G$. As $b^{*} d \in E\left(P_{3}(G)\right)$, we have $d \in C o(b)$ and $A \cap D$ contains an edge.
(ii) $y_{0}=a_{0}$. If $b_{1}^{*} \neq a_{2}$ then $C=\left(b_{1}^{*}, y_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is a path of length 3 in $G$, $c \in C o(a), b^{*} \in C o(b)$, and $C \cap B^{*}$ contains an edge. On the other hand, if $b_{1}^{*}=a_{2}$ then $D=\left(a_{1}, y_{0}, a_{2}, b_{2}^{*}\right)$ is a path of length 3 in $G, d \in C o(b)$, and $A \cap D$ contains an edge.

Lemma 7. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be two vertices in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin \operatorname{Co}(a)$ and $A \cap B$ contains a path of length two. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Then $G$ is isomorphic either to $K_{4}^{*}$ or to $K_{2, t}^{*}$ for some $t \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right), x_{3} \neq x_{4}$. Since $b \notin C o(a), x_{0}$ has no neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. Thus, both $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ have some neighbours in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$, as $G$ does dot contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$. Let $y$ be a vertex of $G$ such that $x_{1} y \in E(G)$ and $y \notin\left\{x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. Then $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$, where $A^{\prime}=\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$. Since $b \notin C o(a)$ we have $b^{\prime} \notin C o\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, and hence, $y$ has no neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$.

Suppose that $x_{3} x_{4} \in E(G)$ and distinguish three cases.
Case 1. $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4} \in E(G)$, see Figure 6.
Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{2}$. Then $A$, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{1}\right),\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{0}\right),\left(x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$, $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right), B$ is a sequence of paths
whose images produce a walk of length 9 from $a$ to $b$ in $P_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. (We remark that $d_{P_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}(a, b)=9$.) Thus $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction. Hence $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$.

Let $C_{1}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and $C_{2}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right)$ be two cycles of length 4 in $G$. For every subpath $A^{\prime}$ of $C_{1}$ of length 3 we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$, and for every subpath $B^{\prime}$ of $C_{2}$ of length 3 we have $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$. Let $y$ be a vertex in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ which is joined to some $x \in\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$. Since $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ contains an edge incident with $x$, there are paths $A^{\prime \prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ of length 3 in $G$, both containing the edge $y x$, such that $a^{\prime \prime} \in C o(a), b^{\prime \prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A^{\prime \prime} \cap B^{\prime \prime}$ contains $P_{2}$. Thus, analogously as above it can be shown that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)=1$. Finally, as $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ we have $x=x_{1}$, and hence $G \cong K_{4}^{*}$.
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Figure 8

Case 2. $x_{1} x_{3} \in E(G)$ and $x_{1} x_{4} \notin E(G)$, see Figure 7 and Figure 8 (by dotted lines edges that are missing in $G$ are pictured).

Since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is not a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$, either there is a vertex $y \in V(G)-$ $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ such that $y x_{4} \in E(G)$, or there is a path of length 2 glued by one endvertex to $x_{3}$ (the other vertices of the path are not in $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ ).

First suppose that there is $x_{5} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ such that $x_{4} x_{5} \in$ $E(G)$, see Figure 7. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{2}$. Then $A,\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right),\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, $\left(x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{0}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right), B$ is a sequence of paths whose images produce a walk of length 9 from $a$ to $b$ in $P_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction.

Hence $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. Analogously, for every vertex $x$, such that $x x_{2}, x x_{3} \in E(G)$, every neighbour of $x$ (different from $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) has degree 1 in $G$.

Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be vertices in $V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right\}$, such that $x_{2} y_{1}, y_{1} y_{2} \in$ $E(G)$. If $y_{2}$ is joined by an edge to a vertex, say $z$, of $V(G)-\left\{x_{2}, y_{1}\right\}$, then for $C=\left(x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, z\right)$ we have $c \in C o(a)$ and $c \in C o(b)$. Hence $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction. Since $G$ contains $P_{3}^{\circ}$ if there is a vertex of degree 1 joined to $x_{2}$, we have $G \cong K_{2, t}^{*}$ for some $t \geq 2$.

Now suppose that there are $x_{5}, x_{6} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ such that $x_{3} x_{5}, x_{5} x_{6} \in E(G)$, see Figure 8. (Observe that the cases $x_{6} \in\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}\right\}$ imply $b \in C o(a)$.)

Let $G^{\prime}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by joining $x_{0}$ to $x_{2}$. Then $A$, $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right),\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$, $\left(x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{1}, x_{0}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}, x_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right), B$ is a sequence of paths whose images produce a walk of length 9 from $a$ to $b$ in $P_{3}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction.

Hence $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$. Analogously, for every vertex $x$, such that $x x_{2}, x x_{3} \in E(G)$, every neighbour of $x$ (different from $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) has degree 1 in $G$. Now analogously as above it can be shown that $G \cong K_{2, t}^{*}$ for some $t \geq 2$.

Case 3. $x_{1} x_{3}, x_{1} x_{4} \notin E(G)$, see Figure 9.
Since neither $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ nor $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$ is a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$, there is a vertex $x_{5} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ which is adjacent either to $x_{3}$ or to $x_{4}$. Assume that $x_{3} x_{5} \in E(G)$. As $b \notin C o(a), x_{5}$ has no neighbour in $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}\right\}$. Since $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is not a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$, there is a vertex $y \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right\}$ such that either $y x_{5} \in E(G)$ or $y x_{4} \in E(G)$.

First suppose that there is a vertex $x_{6} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right\}$ such that $x_{5} x_{6} \in E(G)$. Then every neighbour of $x_{4}$ (different from $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) has degree 1 in $G$, otherwise $b \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. Analogously, for every vertex $x$, such that $x x_{2}, x x_{3} \in E(G)$, every neighbour of $x$ (different from $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) has degree 1 in $G$. Thus, analogously as above we have $G \cong K_{2, t}^{*}$ for some $t \geq 1$.

If there is $x_{6} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right\}$ such that $x_{4} x_{6} \in E(G)$, then the problem is reduced to the previous case as $\left(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$ is not a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$.
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To prove the lemma it remains to consider the case $x_{3} x_{4} \notin E(G)$, see Figure 10.

As $b \notin C o(a)$, there is no cycle $\left(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \ldots\right)$ of length at least 4 in $G$. Since neither $A$ nor $B$ is in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there are $x_{5}, x_{6} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{5}\right\}$, $x_{5} \neq x_{6}$, such that $x_{3} x_{5}, x_{4} x_{6} \in E(G)$. Moreover, as $G$ does not contain
$P_{4}^{\circ}$ with base $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, there is $x_{7} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{6}\right\}$ such that $x_{5} x_{7} \in$ $E(G)$, and analogously, there is $x_{8} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{7}\right\}$ such that $x_{6} x_{8} \in$ $E(G)$. (Observe that $b \in C o(a)$ if $x_{7}=x_{1}$, and the same holds if $x_{8}=x_{1}$.) But now $d_{P_{3}(G)}(a, b) \leq 7$, and hence $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be two vertices in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin C o(a)$ and $A \cap B$ contains two independent edges. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Then $G$ is isomorphic either to $K_{4}^{*}$ or to $K_{2, t}^{*}$ for some $t \geq 1$, or there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains a path of length 2 .

Proof. Let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. Since $b \notin \operatorname{Co}(a), B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{2}\right)$. We may assume that $x_{0}$ has no neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$, otherwise there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains $P_{2}$.

Distinguish three cases.
Case 1. $x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3} \in E(G)$. Then both $A$ and $B$ lie in cycles of length 4. If there is a vertex $y$ adjacent to a vertex of $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$, then there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains $P_{2}$. Thus, $G \cong K_{4}$ which is a special $K_{4}^{*}$.

Case 2. $x_{0} x_{2} \in E(G)$ and $x_{0} x_{3} \notin E(G)$, see Figure 11. Since $A$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there is a vertex $x_{4} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$ such that $x_{3} x_{4} \in$ $E(G)$. But then $a^{\prime} \in C o(a), b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains $P_{2}$, where $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$.

Case 3. $x_{0} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{3} \notin E(G)$, see Figure 12. Since neither $A$ nor $B$ is in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there are vertices $x_{4}, x_{5} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$ such that $x_{2} x_{4}, x_{3} x_{5} \in E(G)$. We may assume that the degree of every neighbour of $x_{1}$ (except $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) is 1 in $G$, as the other possibilities we have already solved.

If $x_{4} \neq x_{5}$, then there are $x_{6}, x_{7} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$ such that $x_{4} x_{6}, x_{5} x_{7} \in E(G)$, as neither $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{2}\right)$ nor $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$. But then $b \in C o(a)$, a contradiction.

Thus, suppose that $x_{4}=x_{5}$. By previous subcase, we may assume that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=3$. As $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is not a base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$, there is $x_{5} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ such that $x_{4} x_{5} \in E(G)$. By our assumptions, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{5}\right)=1$. Hence, $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{5}\right)=1, \operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=3$,
and all neighbours of $x_{1}$ and $x_{4}$ (except $x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ ) have degree 1 in $G$. Thus, $G \cong K_{2,2}^{*}$.
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Lemma 9. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be two vertices in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin \operatorname{Co}(a)$ and $A \cap B$ contains exactly one edge and two vertices outside this edge. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Then there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains two independent edges.

Proof. Let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$. Then either $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$ or $B=$ $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$.

First suppose that $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$. Since $A$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, either $x_{0} x_{3} \in E(G)$ or $x_{3} x_{4} \in E(G)$ for some $x_{4} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$. In both these cases there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains two independent edges.

Now suppose that $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{0}, x_{3}\right)$. Then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{0}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$, and $A^{\prime} \cap B$ contains two independent edges.

Lemma 10. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be two vertices in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin C o(a)$ and $A \cap B$ contains exactly one edge and one vertex outside this edge. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Then there are $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains two edges.

Proof. Let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, and let $x_{4}$ be a vertex of $B$ lying outside $A$. Distinguish four cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $x_{1} x_{2}$ is the middle edge of $B$. Then $B=\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right.$, $\left.x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$. If $x_{4}$ has a neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$, then for $B^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right.$, $\left.x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$ we have $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A \cap B^{\prime}=P_{2}$. Thus, we may assume that both $x_{0}$ and $x_{4}$ have no neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. However, then there is some $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that $x_{1} x_{2}$ is an endedge of $B$.
If $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{0}, x_{4}\right)$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{4}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B$ contains two independent edges.

If $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{0}\right)$ then $b \in C o(a)$; and if $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right)$, then for $B^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$ we have $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A \cap B^{\prime}=P_{2}$.
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Case 3. Suppose that $x_{0} x_{1}$ is an endedge of $B$ and $x_{1}$ is an endvertex of $B$. If $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{4}, x_{2}\right), B=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, or $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right)$, then $b \in C o(a)$. Thus, suppose that $B=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}\right)$, see Figure 13 .

If $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)>2$, then for $B^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ we have $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A \cap B^{\prime}$ contains two independent edges. Thus, suppose that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)=2$.

If $x_{3} x_{4} \in E(G)$, then analogously as above we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{3}\right)=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{G}\left(x_{4}\right)=2$, and hence, there is $P_{4}^{\circ}$ with base $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ in $G$, a contradiction. Thus, suppose that $x_{3} x_{4} \notin E(G)$.

As $b \notin C o(a)$, there is no cycle $\left(x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{4}, \ldots\right)$ of length at least 4 in $G$. Since neither $A$ nor $B$ is in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there are $x_{5}, x_{6} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$, $x_{5} \neq x_{6}$, such that $x_{3} x_{5}, x_{4} x_{6} \in E(G)$. Moreover, as $G$ does not contain $P_{4}^{\circ}$ with base $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, there is a vertex $x_{7} \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{6}\right\}$ such that $x_{5} x_{7} \in E(G)$. Thus, for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{6}, x_{4}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{6}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a), b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=P_{2}$.

Case 4. Suppose that $x_{0} x_{1}$ is an endedge of $B$ and $x_{0}$ is an endvertex of $B$.

If $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{3}\right)$, then $b \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. Since the cases $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right.$, $\left.x_{4}, x_{2}\right)$ and $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ are equivalent, suppose that $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right.$, $x_{4}, x_{2}$ ), see Figure 14.

We have $x_{0} x_{3} \notin E(G)$, otherwise $b \in \operatorname{Co}(a)$. Since $A$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there is $y \in V(G)-\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{3}\right\}$ such that either $x_{0} y \in E(G)$ or $x_{3} y \in E(G)$. Assume that $x_{0} y \in E(G)$. If $y \neq x_{4}$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(y, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(y, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a), b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=P_{2}$. On the other hand, if $y=x_{4}$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{2}, x_{4}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and
$A^{\prime} \cap B$ contains two independent edges.
Lemma 11. Let $G$ be a connected graph, and let $a$ and $b$ be two vertices in $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin C o(a)$ and $A \cap B$ contains exactly one edge and no vertex outside this edge. Moreover, suppose $G$ does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Then there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains two edges.

Proof. Let $A=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, and let $x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$ be vertices of $B$ lying outside $A$. If $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ does not contain $P_{2}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$, then either $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ or $B=\left(x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)$.

First suppose that $B=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$, see Figure 15. If there is $y \in$ $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ such that $y x_{3} \in E(G)$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{5}, x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B=P_{2}$. Hence, we may assume that $x_{3}$ has no neighbour in $V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. Since $A$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, there is $y \in V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ such that $y x_{0} \in E(G)$. If $y \neq x_{4}$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(y, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $B^{\prime}=$ ( $y, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{4}$ ) we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a), b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=P_{2}$. On the other hand, if $x_{0} x_{4} \in E(G)$, then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{5}, x_{4}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B$ contains two edges.

Thus, suppose that $B=\left(x_{4}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)$. Since $A$ is not in $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, we may assume that there is $y \in V(G)-\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ such that $x_{0} y \in E(G)$. Then for $A^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}\right)$ we have $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap B=P_{2}$.


Figure 15
Now we prove Theorem 4.
Proof of Theorem 4. First suppose that $G$ contains $P_{3}^{\circ}$ and a path $A$ of length 3 such that $A \notin P_{3}^{\circ}$. Then there is a path $B$ of length 3 in $G$ such that $B \in P_{3}^{\circ}$. Since $b$ is an isolated vertex in $P_{3}(G), b \notin C o(a)$. Now suppose that $G$ contains $P_{4}^{\circ}$, and choose $B \in P_{4}^{\circ}$. For every vertex $b^{\prime} \in C o(b), B^{\prime}$ contains the base of $P_{4}^{\circ}$. Hence, $P_{3}(G)$ is disconnected if there is a path $A$ of length 3 such that $A \notin P_{4}^{\circ}$.

If $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}^{*}$, then $P_{3}(G)$ has three components, each containing $C_{4}$. Finally, if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{2, t}^{*}, t \geq 1$, and $P_{3}(G)$ is not empty, then some paths of length 3 in $G$ contain the edge $v_{1} v_{2}$, while the
other do not, see Figure 5. Let $a \in V\left(P_{3}(G)\right)$ such that $v_{1} v_{2} \in A$. Then $v_{1} v_{2} \in A^{\prime}$ for every $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$, so that $P_{3}(G)$ is a disconnected graph. To prove the "only if" part of Theorem 4, first suppose that $G$ contains $P_{t}^{\circ}$, $t \in\{3,4\}$, but no path $A$ of length 3 such that $A \notin P_{t}^{\circ}$. If $G$ contains $P_{3}^{\circ}$, then our assumption implies that $G$ is a path of length 3. On the other hand, if $G$ contains $P_{4}^{\circ}$ and there is no $P_{3}^{\circ}$ in $G$, then $G$ is a tree of diameter 4 and $P_{3}(G)$ is a complete bipartite graph. Thus, in what follows we restrict our considerations to graphs which do not contain $P_{t}^{\circ}, t \in\{3,4\}$.

Let $G$ be a graph which does not contain $P_{3}^{\circ}$ or $P_{4}^{\circ}$, and let $a$ and $b$ be vertices of $P_{3}(G)$ such that $b \notin C o(a)$. By Lemma 6 , there are $a^{\prime} \in C o(a)$ and $b^{\prime} \in C o(b)$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}$ contains an edge. Hence, $G$ is either isomorphic to $K_{4}^{*}$ or to $K_{2, t}^{*}, t \geq 1$, by Lemmas $7,8,9,10$ and 11 .
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