

J. MUSIELAK and A. WASZAK (Poznań)

## Some remarks on families of Orlicz classes

1. In [1] there were investigated connections between sets  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i} \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i} \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $L^{\psi} \langle a, b \rangle$ , where  $L^{\psi} \langle a, b \rangle$  and  $L^{\varphi_i} \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , are Orlicz classes. There were given necessary and sufficient conditions for inclusions of these sets. This was generalized further in [2] for a class of modular spaces (see also [3]).

These problems were considered in connection with investigation of families of modulars depending on a parameter, as well as with investigation of some families of functions integrable with a parameter.

1.1. Let  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}$  and  $H$  be three abstract non-empty sets, and let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  be  $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets of sets  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}$  and  $H$ , respectively. Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on  $\mathcal{L}$ , and let  $m, n$  be measures on  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ , respectively. We denote the respective measure spaces by  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L}, \mu)$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{X}, m)$  and  $(H, \mathcal{Y}, n)$ .

It will be assumed that for any sequence  $(\varepsilon_i)$  of positive numbers satisfying the inequality  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots < \mu(\mathcal{E})$  there is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $E_i \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\mu(E_i) = \varepsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover, we consider two fixed non-empty families  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{X}$  respectively  $\mathcal{Y}$ , such that  $0 < m(Z) < \infty$  and  $0 < n(Z^*) < \infty$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ .

1.2. We shall say that the family  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\sigma$ -absorbed if there exists an increasing sequence of sets  $(Z_i)$ ,  $Z_i \in \mathcal{Z}$ , such that for every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  there is an index  $k$  for which  $Z \subset Z_k$ .

The family  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  will be called  $\sigma$ -absorbing, if there exists a sequence of sets  $(Z_k^*)$ ,  $Z_k^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ , such that for every  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  there is an index  $k_0$  for which  $Z_k^* \subset Z^*$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ .

1.3. Let us take two functions  $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$  and  $\psi: H \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ , where  $R_+ = \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ , satisfying the following conditions:

1°  $\varphi(\cdot, u)$  is  $\mathcal{X}$ -measurable in the variable  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\psi(\cdot, u)$  is  $\mathcal{Y}$ -measurable in the variable  $\eta \in H$  for every  $u \in R_+$ .

2°  $\varphi(\xi, \cdot)$  is a  $\varphi$ -function (see e.g. [2]) for  $m$ -almost every  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\psi(\eta, \cdot)$  is a  $\varphi$ -function for  $n$ -almost every  $\eta \in H$ .

3°  $\varphi(\cdot, u)$  is  $m$ -integrable over  $Z$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $u \in R_+$ , and  $\psi(\cdot, u)$  is  $n$ -integrable over  $Z^*$  for all  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $u \in R_+$ .

Let  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ , where  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $u_0 > 0$ . The function  $\psi$  will be called  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  if

$$(1) \quad \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u) dn \leq c \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u) dm \quad \text{for all } u \geq u_0.$$

**1.4.** We shall denote by  $X$  the set of all  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions  $x: E \rightarrow R = (-\infty, \infty)$  such that the functions  $\varphi(\xi, |x(t)|)$  and  $\psi(\eta, |x(t)|)$  are  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{E}$ -measurable in  $\mathcal{E} \times E$  or  $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{E}$ -measurable in  $H \times E$ , respectively.

The following lemma is easy to verify:

**LEMMA.** Let  $(u_i)$  be a sequence of positive numbers and let  $(E_i)$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets belonging to  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then the function

$$(2) \quad x(t) = \begin{cases} u_i & \text{for } t \in E_i, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \notin E_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $X$ .

The following notation will be used:

$$g_\varphi(\xi) = \int_E \varphi(\xi, |x(t)|) d\mu, \quad g_\psi(\eta) = \int_E \psi(\eta, |x(t)|) d\mu$$

for  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\eta \in H$ , where  $x \in X$ . Moreover, we denote by  $L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  and  $L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$  the spaces of functions  $m$ -integrable over the set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  or  $n$ -integrable over the set  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ , respectively.

**2.** The subject of this paper is to find the connections between  $m$ -integrability of  $g_\varphi$  over a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $n$ -integrability of  $g_\psi$  over a set  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ . There will be presented following theorems giving necessary and sufficient condition concerning this problem.

**2.1. THEOREM A.** Let the family  $\mathcal{Z}$  be  $\sigma$ -absorbed, and let the family  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  be  $\sigma$ -absorbing. The following two conditions are equivalent:

A.1. if  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , then there exists  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  such that  $g_\psi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$ ,

A.2. there exist sets  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  and numbers  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ .

**Proof.** Let us denote

$$(3) \quad T = \{t: |x(t)| \geq u_0, t \in E\};$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Z^*} g_\psi(\eta) dn &\leq \int_T \left( \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, |x(t)|) dn \right) d\mu + \mu(E) \cdot \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_0) dn \\ &\leq c \int_T \left( \int_Z \varphi(\xi, |x(t)|) dm \right) d\mu + \mu(E) \cdot \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_0) dn \\ &\leq c \int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm + \mu(E) \cdot \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_0) dn. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the inequality

$$(4) \quad \int_{Z^*} g_\varphi(\eta) dn \leq c \cdot \int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm + \mu(E) \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_0) dn.$$

Now, let us suppose A.2, then, by the above inequality, we obtain A.1.

Now, let us suppose that A.2 does not hold. Then taking in (1),  $Z^* = Z_i^*$ ,  $Z = Z_i$ ,  $c = 2^i$ ,  $u_0$  sufficiently large, we see there exists a sequence  $u_i \uparrow \infty$  such that

$$\int_{Z_i^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn > 2^i \int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $Z_i^*$  and  $Z_i$  are defined as in 1.2. Since  $\varphi(\xi, u_i) \uparrow \infty$  for  $m$ -almost all  $\xi \in E$ , we obtain  $\int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \uparrow \infty$ . Hence, we may choose the sequence  $(u_i)$  in such a manner that  $\int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm > 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let us choose

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon_i = \frac{\mu(E)}{2^i \int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots;$$

then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i < \mu(E)$ . Hence there exist pairwise disjoint sets  $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mu(E_i) = \varepsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Defining  $x$  as in (2) and taking arbitrary  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  we find an index  $k$  such that  $Z \subset Z_k$ . Since  $Z_k \subset Z_i$  for  $i \geq k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu(E_i) \int_{Z_k} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \int_{Z_k} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z_k} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm + \frac{\mu(E)}{2^{k-1}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  for every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Z^*} g_\varphi(\eta) dn &\geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn \geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z_i^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn \\ &\geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \cdot 2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $g_\varphi \notin L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$ . Consequently: A.1 is not satisfied.

**THEOREM B.** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

B.1. *if there exists a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$ , then  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$  for all  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ ,*

B.2. for any  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  there exist constants  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ .

Proof. Supposing B.2, we apply inequality (4). By B.2,  $Z$  and  $Z^*$  may be chosen arbitrarily, and  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  implies the right-hand side of the above inequality to be finite for a set  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ . Hence  $\int_{Z^*} g_\psi(\eta) dn < \infty$  for any  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ , and this proves B.1.

Now, supposing B.2 is not true, there exist sets  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  such that inequality (1) does not hold, where  $c = 2^i$ ,  $u = u_i \uparrow \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn > 2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and we may suppose  $\int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm > 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Choosing

$$(6) \quad \varepsilon_i = \frac{\mu(E)}{2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm},$$

we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i < \mu(E)$ . Let  $\{E_i\} \in \mathcal{E}$  be pairwise disjoint and such that  $\mu(E_i) = \varepsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $\alpha$  be defined as in (2). Then

$$\int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \cdot \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \mu(E) < \infty,$$

but

$$\int_{Z^*} g_\psi(\eta) dn = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i 2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \infty,$$

contrary to B.1.

**THEOREM C.** Let the family  $\mathcal{Z}$  be  $\sigma$ -absorbed. The following two conditions are equivalent:

C.1. if  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ , then  $g_\psi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$  for all  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ ,

C.2. for every  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  there exist  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  and numbers  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ .

Proof. Let us suppose C.2. Then, by inequality (4), we obtain C.1, immediately. Now, let us suppose C.2 does not hold, then there exists  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  such that for any  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,  $c, u_0 > 0$ , it is not true that  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$ , for  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ . We take  $Z = Z_i$  as defined in 1.3,  $c = 2^i$ ,  $u_0$  sufficiently large. Then there exists a sequence  $u_i \uparrow \infty$  such that

$$\int_{Z^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn > 2^i \int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where we may suppose that  $\int_{Z_i} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm > 1$ . Defining numbers  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  by (5) and choosing sets  $E_i$  and function  $\omega$  as in the proof of Theorem A, we have for any  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $Z \subset Z_k$ :

$$\int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z_k} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm + \frac{\mu(E)}{2^{k-1}} < \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{Z^*} g_\varphi(\eta) dn \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i 2^i \int_{Z^*} \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \infty,$$

contrary to C.1.

**THEOREM D.** *Let the family  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  be  $\sigma$ -absorbing. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

D.1. *if there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  for which  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$ , then there exists  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  such that  $g_\psi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$ ,*

D.2. *for every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  there exist  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  and constants  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  with  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency of D.2 follows from inequality (4). In order to prove the necessity, let us suppose D.2 is not true. Then there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that for any  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $c, u_0 > 0$ , the condition  $\psi$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $\varphi$  is not satisfied for  $\alpha = (Z, Z^*, c, u_0)$ . Let  $Z^* = Z_i^*$  be defined as in 1.3,  $c = 2^i$ ,  $u_0$  sufficiently large; then there is a sequence  $u_i \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm > 1$  and

$$\int_{Z_i^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn > 2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, let  $\varepsilon_i$  be defined by (6) and let  $E_i$  and the function  $\omega$  be chosen as in the proof of Theorem B. Then we have

$$\int_Z g_\varphi(\xi) dm = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \mu(E) < \infty.$$

Let  $Z^* \in \mathcal{Z}^*$  be arbitrary and let  $k_0$  be chosen in such a manner that  $Z_k^* \subset Z^*$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Then

$$\int_{Z^*} g_\psi(\eta) dn \geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \int_{Z_i^*} \psi(\eta, u_i) dn \geq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i 2^i \int_Z \varphi(\xi, u_i) dm = \infty,$$

a contradiction to D.1.

**2.2.** Let us remark that in case of  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , the condition about sets  $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$  in 1.1 is to be replaced by the following one: for any sequence of numbers  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $E_i \in \mathcal{E}$

such that  $\mu(E_i) = \varepsilon_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then it is easily observed that Theorems A–D remain true taking  $u_0 = 0$ .

**3.** Now, let  $\mathcal{E} = H =$  the set of positive integers,  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} =$  the set of all subsets of  $\mathcal{E} = H$ ,  $m = n =$  the measure assigning to each one-point set the number 1. Now, in place of  $\varphi(\xi, u)$  and  $\psi(\eta, u)$  we may write  $\varphi_i(u)$ ,  $\psi_i(u)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The conditions  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  and  $g_\psi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$  mean that

$$\sum_{i \in Z} \int_E \varphi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{i \in Z^*} \int_E \psi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu < \infty.$$

Now, let  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^*$  be the family of sets  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ ,  $\dots$ . Then it is easily seen that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\sigma$ -absorbed with  $Z_i = \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ , and  $\mathcal{Z}^*$  is  $\sigma$ -absorbing with  $z_i^* = \{1\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover, since the sets  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$  are finite, so  $g_\varphi \in L^1(Z, \mathcal{X}, m)$  means that  $x \in L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  for all  $i \in Z$ , and  $g_\psi \in L^1(Z^*, \mathcal{Y}, n)$  means that  $x \in L^{\psi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  for all  $i \in Z^*$ , where  $L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  and  $L^{\psi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  are Orlicz classes generated by  $\varphi$ -functions  $\varphi_i$  and  $\psi_i$ , respectively.

Moreover, if  $Z = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $Z^* = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ ,  $c, u_0 > 0$ , then  $(\psi_i)$  is  $\alpha$ -weaker than  $(\varphi_i)$  if and only if

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^l \psi_j(u) \leq c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k \varphi_i(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq u_0.$$

Consequently, the following corollaries follow from Theorems A–D:

**3.1. COROLLARY A.** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$A' \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^i L^{\psi_j}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu),$$

A'' *there exist indices  $k, l$  and numbers  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that (7) holds.*

**COROLLARY B.** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$B' \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^i L^{\psi_j}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu),$$

B'' *for any two positive integers  $k, l$  there exist constants  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that (7) holds.*

**COROLLARY C.** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$C' \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\psi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu),$$

C'' *for every index  $l$  there exists an index  $k$  and numbers  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that (7) holds.*

**COROLLARY D.** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

$$D' \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^i L^{\psi_j}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{j=1}^i L^{\varphi_j}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu),$$

D'' for every index  $k$  there exists an index  $l$  and numbers  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that (7) holds.

3. Let us remark that taking as  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^*$  the family of all finite non-empty sets of positive integers, we see that  $\mathcal{Z}$  remains  $\sigma$ -absorbed, but is not  $\sigma$ -absorbing. Hence Theorem B may be applied. Thus, in Corollary B one may replace the conditions B' and B'' by the following ones:

$$\bar{B}' \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{\varphi_i}(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu),$$

$\bar{B}''$  for any two finite sets of positive integers  $Z, Z^*$  there exist constants  $c, u_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in Z} \psi_j(u) \leq c \sum_{i \in Z^*} \varphi_i(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq u_0.$$

#### References

- [1] W. Matuszewska, *Przestrzenie funkcji  $\varphi$ -całkowalnych, I. Własności ogólne  $\varphi$ -funkcji i klas funkcji  $\varphi$ -całkowalnych*, Prace Mat. 6 (1961), p. 121-139.
- [2] — and W. Orlicz, *A note on modular spaces, VI*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 11 (1963), p. 449-454.
- [3] J. Musielak and A. Waszak, *On some families of functions integrable with a parameter*, Publ. Elektr. Fak. Univ. u Beogradu 480 (1974), p. 127-137.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ

---