

J. MUSIELAK and A. WASZAK (Poznań)

On two-modular spaces

1. Let X be a real or complex vector space and let two modulars ϱ and ϱ' in the sense of [5] be given in X . We are going to develop a theory of two-modular spaces, in analogy to the theories of Saks spaces due to W. Orlicz [7], [8] and of two-norm spaces due to A. Alexiewicz [1] and further investigations by A. Alexiewicz and Z. Semadeni [2], and by A. Wiweger [9].

Let X_ϱ and $X_{\varrho'}$ be the modular spaces defined by modulars ϱ and ϱ' , respectively. By $|\cdot|_\varrho$ and $|\cdot|_{\varrho'}$ we denote the respective F -norms generated by these modulars. If ϱ or ϱ' is convex, $\|\cdot\|_\varrho$ or $\|\cdot\|_{\varrho'}$ will denote the respective homogeneous norm. Modular convergence $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'} x$ in $X_{\varrho'}$ (or $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$ in X_ϱ) means that $\varrho'(k(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$ (or $\varrho(k(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a $k > 0$ depending on the sequence (x_n) (see [5] and [6]).

A sequence (x_n) of elements of X will be called ϱ -bounded if for any sequence of numbers $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ there holds $\varepsilon_n x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} 0$.

1.1. *Let ϱ be convex. A sequence (x_n) of elements of X_ϱ is ϱ -bounded if and only if there exist positive constants k and M such that $\varrho(kx_n) \leq M$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

Proof. Supposing the above condition to be satisfied and $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we get $\varrho(k\varepsilon_n x_n) \leq \varepsilon_n \varrho(kx_n) \leq \varepsilon_n M \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and (x_n) is ϱ -bounded. Conversely, let us suppose that (x_n) is ϱ -bounded with a convex ϱ and for any $k > 0$, $M > 0$ there exists an index n for which $\varrho(kx_n) > M$. Taking $k = m^{-2}$, $M = 1$, we may choose n_m such that $\varrho(m^{-2} \cdot x_{n_m}) > 1$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$ Since $x_n \in X_\varrho$, no natural number may appear in the sequence (n_m) infinitely many times. Thus we may extract an increasing subsequence of indices (n_{m_i}) , obtaining $\varrho(m_i^{-2} \cdot y_i) > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, where $y_i = x_{n_{m_i}}$. Since (y_i) is also ϱ -bounded, so $\varrho(k \cdot m_i^{-1} \cdot y_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for a $k > 0$. Taking i so large that $km_i > 1$ and applying the convexity of ϱ , we obtain

$$1 < \varrho\left(\frac{y_i}{m_i^2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{km_i} \varrho\left(\frac{ky_i}{m_i}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

a contradiction.



1.2. Now we shall introduce the notion of γ -convergence in the triple $\mathcal{X} = \langle X, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$. A sequence (x_n) of elements of X is called γ -convergent to $x \in X$ if $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'} x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (x_n) is ϱ -bounded. We denote this by $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x$.

It is evident that if $x'_n, x''_n, x', x'' \in X$, $x'_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x'$, $x''_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x''$, a and b are scalars, then $ax'_n + bx''_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} ax' + bx''$.

If $\mathcal{X}_1 = \langle X_1, \varrho_1, \varrho'_1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{X}_2 = \langle X_2, \varrho_2, \varrho'_2 \rangle$, then an operator $T: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is called (γ_1, γ_2) -continuous if $x, x_n \in X_1$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} x$ imply $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} T(x)$.

Obviously, there exists in \mathcal{X} also the notion of γ -convergence with respect to norms $|\cdot|_{\varrho}$ and $|\cdot|_{\varrho'}$, as defined in [1], p. 49.

1.3. If $x_n, x \in X_{\varrho} \cap X_{\varrho'}$ and (x_n) is γ -convergent to x in the sense of the norms $|\cdot|_{\varrho}, |\cdot|_{\varrho'}$, then $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x$.

Proof. We may take $x = 0$. Since $|x_n|_{\varrho'} \rightarrow 0$, so $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'} 0$. Moreover, taking $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we have $|\varepsilon_n x_n|_{\varrho} \rightarrow 0$, by assumption. Thus, if $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is arbitrary, then one can choose an N such that $\varrho(\varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon_n x_n) < \varepsilon$ for $n > N$. Hence, $\varrho(\varepsilon_n x_n) < \varepsilon$ for $n > N$ and we obtain $\varepsilon_n x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} 0$.

2. In the following we shall consider a special case of the space \mathcal{X} . Let μ be a measure in σ -algebra Σ of subsets of a non-empty set Ω and let X be a vector subspace of the space S of all Σ -measurable, real or complex functions on Ω with equality μ -almost everywhere. Let φ and ψ be φ -functions, i.e. $\varphi(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$, $\varphi(0) = 0$, φ non-decreasing and continuous for $u \geq 0$, $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and the same about ψ (see [4]). Then ϱ and ϱ' may be defined by the formulae

$$(*) \quad \varrho(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(|x(t)|) d\mu, \quad \varrho'(x) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(|x(t)|) d\mu.$$

Let us remark that taking in this example $\Omega = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $\mu =$ Lebesgue measure, $\varphi(u) = |u|^p$ ($p \geq 1$), $\psi(u) = e^u - u - 1$, we easily observe that the converse statement to 1.3 does not hold. It is sufficient to choose

$$x_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 2^{-n} \text{ or } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1, \\ i/2 & \text{if } 2^{-i-1} \leq t < 2^{-i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

$x(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t)$. Then $\varrho'(2(x - x_n)) = \infty$ but $\varrho'(x - x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varrho(x_n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^p}{2^i} < \infty$, and so $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x$, but this relation does not hold in the sense of the norms.

Let us remark that the following lemma is true:

2.1. LEMMA. If ψ is a φ -function, ϱ' is of the form $(*)$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'} 0$, then

(a) $x_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ in measure μ in Ω ,

(b) if $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and ψ is convex, then $\int_{\Omega} |x_n(t)| d\mu \rightarrow 0$.

In order to prove (a) let us choose arbitrary $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ and let us write

$$E_n^\eta = \left\{ t \in \Omega : |x_n(t)| > \frac{1}{k} \psi^{-1}(\eta) \right\},$$

the number $k > 0$ being given by the condition

$$(+)\quad \int_{\Omega} \psi(k|x_n(t)|) d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking N so large that $\int_{\Omega} \psi(k|x_n(t)|) d\mu < \varepsilon\eta$ for $n > N$ we obtain

$$\varepsilon\eta > \int_{E_n^\eta} \psi(k|x_n(t)|) d\mu \geq \eta\mu(E_n^\eta) \quad \text{for } n > N,$$

whence $\mu(E_n^\eta) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In order to show (b) we take an arbitrary $\eta > 0$ and we choose $M_\eta > 0$ such that $\psi(u)/u \geq M_\eta$ for $u \geq \eta$. Taking $k > 0$ from condition (+) and writing $A_n = \{t \in \Omega : |x_n(t)| \geq \eta/k\}$, we get

$$k \int_{\Omega} |x_n(t)| d\mu \leq \eta\mu(\Omega) + \frac{1}{M_\eta} \int_{\Omega} \psi(k|x_n(t)|) d\mu,$$

and this shows the required property.

3. Supposing the measure μ to be atomless, we are going to prove some embedding theorems for two-modular spaces $\mathcal{X} = \langle L^\psi, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$ and $\mathcal{X}' = \langle L^\psi, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$, with various functions φ and ψ .

3.1. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1$ and ψ_2 be φ -functions and let

$$\varrho_i(x) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu, \quad \varrho'_i(x) = \int_{\Omega} \psi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu,$$

$$\mathcal{X}_i = \langle L^{\varphi_i}, \varrho_i, \varrho'_i \rangle, \quad \mathcal{X}'_i = \langle L^{\psi_i}, \varrho_i, \varrho'_i \rangle \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Let us still recall the relations $\psi_2 <^l \psi_1$ and $\psi_2 <^a \psi_1$ for pairs of φ -functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 (see [4], p. 123). The relation $\psi_2 <^l \psi_1$ means that there exist positive numbers A, B, u_0 such that $\psi_2(Au) \leq B\psi_1(u)$ for $u \geq u_0$. Moreover, $\psi_2 <^a \psi_1$ means that there are positive numbers A, B for which $\psi_2(Au) \leq B\psi_1(u)$ for all $u \geq 0$.

γ_i -convergence of (x_n) to zero will mean that $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'_i} 0$ and (x_n) is ϱ_i -bounded, where $i = 1, 2$.

First, we shall give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that $\mathcal{X}'_1 \subset \mathcal{X}'_2$, this being understood in the sense of a (γ_1, γ_2) -continuous embedding. The same problem will be solved also for the case $\mathcal{X}'_1 \subset \mathcal{X}_2$. First, we show that

3.2. If $L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \subset L^{\varphi_2}(\Omega)$ (as sets), then $x_n \in L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega)$, $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_1'} \mathbf{0}$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_2'} \mathbf{0}$.

Indeed, the supposed inclusion implies $\varphi_2 \prec^l \varphi_1$ in the case of $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and $\varphi_2 \prec^a \varphi_1$ in the case of $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$ (see [4], p. 131). Let us limit ourselves to the case $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. From $\varphi_2 \prec^l \varphi_1$ we conclude in the well-known manner that for every $u_1 > \mathbf{0}$ there exists a $B_1 > \mathbf{0}$ such that $\varphi_2(Au) \leq B_1\varphi_1(u)$ for $u \geq u_1$. By assumption, there is a constant $k > \mathbf{0}$ such that $\varrho_1'(kx_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. Let us put $\Omega_n = \{t \in \Omega: k|x_n(t)| > u_1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_2'(A k x_n) &\leq B_1 \int_{\Omega_n} \varphi_1(k|x_n(t)|) d\mu + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_n} \varphi_2(Ak|x_n(t)|) d\mu \\ &\leq B_1 \varrho_1'(kx_n) + \varphi_2(Au_1)\mu(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and the condition $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_2'} \mathbf{0}$ follows by continuity of φ_2 at $\mathbf{0}$.

3.3. The following conditions are equivalent for $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and for μ atomless:

(a) $\mathcal{X}'_1 \subset \mathcal{X}'_2$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_1'} \mathbf{0}$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} \mathbf{0}$,

(b) $\varphi_2 \prec^l \varphi_1$ and there are constants $A, B, u_0 > \mathbf{0}$ such that

(i) $\varphi_2(Au) \leq B \max\{\varphi_1(u), \varphi_1(u)\}$ for $u \geq u_0$.

Proof. Let us suppose that (b) holds. It is known that $L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \subset L^{\varphi_2}(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $\varphi_2 \prec^l \varphi_1$ (see [4]). Let $x_n \in L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega)$, $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_1'} \mathbf{0}$, (x_n) is ϱ_1 -bounded. By 3.2, $x_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_2'} \mathbf{0}$. It remains to show that (x_n) is ϱ_2 -bounded. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$; then writing $y_n = \varepsilon_n x_n$, we obtain $y_n \in L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega)$ for sufficiently large n , $\varrho_1'(ky_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ and $\varrho_1(ky_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ for some $k > \mathbf{0}$.

Let us remark that from (b) it follows that for any $u_1 > \mathbf{0}$ there exists a $B_1 > \mathbf{0}$ such that $\varphi_2(Au) \leq B_1 \max\{\varphi_1(u), \varphi_1(u)\}$ for $u \geq u_1$. Hence, writing $E_n = \{t \in \Omega: k|y_n(t)| > u_1\}$ and arguing as in the proof of 3.2, we obtain

$$\varrho_2(Aky_n) \leq B_1 \varrho_1'(ky_n) + B_1 \varrho_1(ky_n) + \varphi_2(Au_1)\mu(\Omega),$$

which shows that $y_n \xrightarrow{\varrho_2} \mathbf{0}$. Thus, (x_n) is ϱ_2 -bounded.

Now, let us suppose (a). Then $L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \subset L^{\varphi_2}(\Omega)$ and so $\varphi_2 \prec^l \varphi_1$. It remains to prove the second condition in (b). We show now $L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \subset L^{\varphi_2}(\Omega)$. Let $x \in L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega) \cap L^{\varphi_1}(\Omega)$; then $x_n = x/n$ tends to zero with respect to any of the modulars ϱ_1 and ϱ_1' . Consequently, $x_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_1'} \mathbf{0}$. By (a), $x_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_2'} \mathbf{0}$. Hence (x_n) is ϱ_2 -bounded, and so $\varrho_2(k \cdot n^{-2} \cdot x) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $k > \mathbf{0}$. Thus, $x \in L^{\varphi_2}(\Omega)$. Applying the above proved inclusion

and modified Theorem 2.21 from [4], p. 131, we immediately conclude the second condition from (b).

3.4. Let us remark that the Theorem 3.3 remains valid also for an atomless infinite measure μ if we replace (b) by the following condition

(c) $\varphi_2 <^a \varphi_1$ and there are constants $A, B > 0$ such that (i) holds for all $u \geq 0$.

Since it is evident that $\varphi_2 <^l \varphi_1$ implies (i) from 3.3 (b), so it is easily observed that in the case of \mathcal{X}_1 and \mathcal{X}_2 the following theorem is obtained analogously:

3.5. If $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and μ is atomless, then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a') $\mathcal{X}_1 \subset \mathcal{X}_2$ and $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} 0$ implies $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} 0$,

(b') $\varphi_2 <^l \varphi_1$.

3.6. As in the previous case we may remark that Theorem 3.5 remains valid also in the case where μ is atomless and infinite if we replace (b') by

(c') $\varphi_2 <^a \varphi_1$.

4. We start with the definition of γ -completeness in the general case of $\langle X, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$, where ϱ is convex. A sequence (x_n) of elements of X satisfies the ϱ' -Cauchy condition if there exists a constant $k > 0$ with the property that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N such that $\varrho'(k(x_n - x_m)) < \varepsilon$ for $m, n > N$. A set $\{x \in X_\varrho: \varrho(k_0 x) \leq M_0\}$ with fixed $k_0, M_0 > 0$ will be termed a ϱ -ball in X_ϱ . $\langle X, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$ will be called γ -complete if for every fixed ϱ -ball K in X_ϱ , and sequence (x_n) of elements of K satisfying the ϱ' -Cauchy condition, is γ -convergent to an element of K .

4.1. $\mathcal{X}' = \langle L^\varphi, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$ with ϱ, ϱ' given by (*), φ convex, is γ -complete.

Let (x_n) be ϱ -bounded with constants $k_0, M_0 > 0$ and let it satisfy the ϱ' -Cauchy condition with a constant $k > 0$. Since $L^\varphi(\Omega)$ is complete with respect to ϱ' -convergence, there is an $x_0 \in L^\varphi(\Omega)$ such that $\varrho'(k(x_n - x_0)) \rightarrow 0$, with the same constant k . Hence $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ in measure (see [2], Lemma (a)), and so $\varphi(k_0|x_{n_i}(t)|) \rightarrow \varphi(k_0|x_0(t)|)$ for a subsequence (x_{n_i}) of (x_n) . Applying Fatou's lemma, we observe that $\varrho(k_0 x_0) \leq M_0$. Thus, \mathcal{X}' is γ -complete.

Arguing as in the above proof, we easily get that

4.2. $\langle L^\varphi \cap L^\psi, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$ with ϱ, ϱ' given by (*), φ convex, is γ -complete.

From 4.2 it immediately follows:

4.3. Let φ be convex and let $\psi <^l \varphi$ in the case of $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $\psi <^a \varphi$ in the case of $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. Then $\mathcal{X} = \langle L^\varphi, \varrho, \varrho' \rangle$ with ϱ, ϱ' given by (*) is γ -complete.

Remark. The results above remain valid if we replace the space under consideration by the Saks spaces $\langle L^\varphi, \|\cdot\|_\varrho, \|\cdot\|_{\varrho'} \rangle$, $\langle L^\varphi, \|\cdot\|_\varrho, \|\cdot\|_{\varrho'} \rangle$,

$\langle L^p \cap L^q, \|\cdot\|_p, \|\cdot\|_q \rangle$, because, for convex ρ , ρ -boundedness is equivalent to boundedness in norm $\|\cdot\|_p$, and completeness in norm $\|\cdot\|_q$ means ρ' -completeness with every $k > 0$.

5. We shall say that a set $X_0 \subset X$ is γ -dense in $\langle X, \rho, \rho' \rangle$ if for every $x \in X$ there exists a sequence (x_n) of elements of X_0 such that $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x$. $\langle X, \rho, \rho' \rangle$ will be called γ -separable if there exists a countable, γ -dense subset X_0 of X . First, we prove the following lemma.

5.1. *If φ is a φ -function, then the set of simple functions integrable in Ω is ρ -dense in the Orlicz space $L^\rho(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in L^\rho(\Omega)$, $x(t) \geq 0$ in Ω . Taking a sequence (x_n) of simple functions such that $0 \leq x_n(t) \nearrow x(t)$ in Ω , we observe that x_n are integrable. Taking $k > 0$ such that $\rho(kx) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(kx(t)) d\mu < \infty$ and applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we easily get $\rho(k(x - x_n)) \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$. In the general case the proof is obtained writing $x(t)$ as the difference of its positive and negative part.

5.2. *Let φ and ψ be φ -functions. Then the set of simple functions integrable in Ω is γ -dense in $\langle L^p \cap L^q, \rho, \rho' \rangle$, where ρ and ρ' are given by (*).*

Proof. Let $x \in L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, $x(t) \geq 0$ in Ω . Let (x_n) be the sequence of simple functions from the proof of 5.1. Then, by 5.1, $x_n \xrightarrow{\rho'} x$. Now, let $0 < \varepsilon_n \leq 1$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $\varphi(k\varepsilon_n x_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi(k\varepsilon_n x_n(t)) \leq \varphi(kx(t))$ almost everywhere in Ω . By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\rho(k\varepsilon_n x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for sufficiently small $k > 0$. Hence (x_n) is ρ -bounded. If we drop the assumption $x(t) \geq 0$, we obtain the result splitting $x(t)$ into positive and negative part.

From the above result it follows at once that:

5.3. *Let $\varphi <^l \psi$ in case of $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $\varphi <^a \psi$ in case of $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. Then the set of simple function integrable in Ω is γ -dense in $\langle L^p, \rho, \rho' \rangle$.*

5.4. *Let $\psi <^l \varphi$ in case of $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $\psi <^a \varphi$ in case of $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$. Then the set of simple functions integrable in Ω is γ -dense in $\langle L^p, \rho, \rho' \rangle$.*

5.5. *Let φ and ψ be φ -functions and let ρ and ρ' are given by (*). If the measure μ is separable, then $\langle L^p \cap L^q, \rho, \rho' \rangle$ is γ -separable.*

Proof. First, let us suppose that $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$. Let Σ_0 be a countable family of sets from Σ such that for any $A \in \Sigma$ there is a sequence of sets $A^n \in \Sigma_0$ for which $\mu(A^n \dot{-} A) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x \in L^p(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, $x(t) \geq 0$ in Ω and let (x_n) be a sequence of simple functions such that $0 \leq x_n(t) \nearrow x(t)$ in Ω . By 5.2, $x_n \xrightarrow{\gamma} x$. Let $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} c_i \chi_{A_i}$, where $A_i \in \Sigma$ are pairwise disjoint and χ_{A_i} is the characteristic function of the set A_i . We choose sets $A_i^n \in \Sigma_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_n$, pairwise disjoint, for which

$$\mu(A_i^n \dot{-} A_i) < [n \cdot p_n \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq p_n} \max(\varphi(c_i), \psi(c_i))]^{-1}.$$

Let us take positive rational numbers c'_i such that $|c'_i - c_i| < 1/n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p_n$, and let us put $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} c'_i \chi_{A_i^n}$. Let $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, and let $0 < k < 1/2$ be so small that $\varrho(k\varepsilon_n x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varrho'(k(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0$. We shall prove that $y_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$. First, we show that (y_n) is ϱ -bounded. We have

$$\varrho(\tfrac{1}{2}k\varepsilon_n y_n) \leq \varrho(k\varepsilon_n(y_n - x_n)) + \varrho(k\varepsilon_n x_n).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(k\varepsilon_n(y_n - x_n)) &\leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(k\varepsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} [|c'_i - c_i| \chi_{A_i^n} + c_i | \chi_{A_i^n} - \chi_{A_i} |] \right) d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(k\varepsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} |c'_i - c_i| \chi_{A_i^n} + k\varepsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} c_i \chi_{A_i^n - A_i} \right) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(2k\varepsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} |c'_i - c_i| \chi_{A_i^n} \right) d\mu + \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(2k\varepsilon_n \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} c_i \chi_{A_i^n - A_i} \right) d\mu \\ &< \varphi(2k\varepsilon_n \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \varphi(2k\varepsilon_n c_i) \mu(A_i^n - A_i) \\ &\leq \varphi(2k\varepsilon_n \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \varphi(c_i) \mu(A_i^n - A_i) < \varphi(2k\varepsilon_n \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + n^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\varrho(\tfrac{1}{2}k\varepsilon_n y_n) < \varphi(2k\varepsilon_n \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + n^{-1} + \varrho(k\varepsilon_n x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence (y_n) is ϱ -bounded. Similar calculation shows that

$$\varrho'(k(y_n - x_n)) < \varphi(2k \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + n^{-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho'(\tfrac{1}{2}k(y_n - x)) &\leq \varrho'(k(y_n - x_n)) + \varrho'(k(x_n - x)) \\ &< \varphi(2k \cdot n^{-1}) \mu(\Omega) + n^{-1} + \varrho'(k(x_n - x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, $y_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$. Hence we conclude that $y_n \xrightarrow{\varrho} x$.

If we omit the assumption $x(t) \geq 0$ in Ω , we obtain the proof by splitting x into positive and negative parts.

This shows that the countable set of simple functions which are rational linear combinations of characteristic functions of sets from Σ_0 is γ -dense in $L^{\varphi} \cap L^{\psi}$.

Now, let $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$ and let Σ_0 be defined as before, where $A \in \Sigma$ is of finite measure μ . Let us choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and let us take for a given $x \in L^{\varphi}(\Omega) \cap L^{\psi}(\Omega)$, $x(t) \geq 0$ in Ω , a $k > 0$ so small that $\varrho(kx) < \infty$ and $\varrho'(kx) < \infty$. Then there exists a set $\Omega_0 \in \Sigma$ of finite measure μ for

which $\varrho'(\frac{1}{2}kx\chi_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0}) < \varepsilon/2$. Now, let us define the sequences (x_n) and (y_n) as in the previous part of the proof, replacing Ω by Ω_0 . Of course, (y_n) remains ϱ -bounded. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho'(\frac{1}{4}k(y_n - x)) &\leq \varrho'(\frac{1}{2}k(y_n - x\chi_{\Omega_0})) + \varrho'(\frac{1}{2}kx\chi_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_0}) \\ &< \psi(2k \cdot n^{-1})\mu(\Omega_0) + n^{-1} + \varrho'(k(x_n - x)\chi_{\Omega_0}) + \varepsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $y_n \xrightarrow{\varrho'} x$. Thus we may conclude the proof.

References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz, *On the two-norm convergence*, Studia Math. 14 (1954), 49–56.
- [2] A. Alexiewicz and Z. Semadeni, *Linear functionals on two-norm spaces*, ibidem 17 (1958), 121–140.
- [3] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and Ya. B. Rutickii, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen 1961.
- [4] W. Matuszewska, *Spaces of φ -integrable functions I*, Prace Matemat. 6 (1961), 121–139.
- [5] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz, *On modular spaces*, Studia Math. 18 (1959), 49–65.
- [6] —, —, *Some remarks on modular spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 7 (11) (1959), 661–668.
- [7] W. Orlicz, *Linear operations in Saks space, I*, Studia Math. 11 (1950), 237–272.
- [8] —, *Linear operations in Saks space, II*, ibidem 15 (1956), pp. 1–25.
- [9] A. Wiweger, *A topologization of Saks spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 5 (1957), 773–777.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
Poznań