

MAŁGORZATA FILIPCZAK, TOMASZ FILIPCZAK

On the comparison of the density type topologies generated by sequences and by functions

Abstract. In the paper we investigate density type topologies generated by functions f satisfying condition $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} > 0$, which are not generated by any sequence.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A10, 26A15, 28A05.

Key words and phrases: density points, density topology, comparison of topologies.

Through the paper we shall use standard notation: \mathbb{R} will be the set of real numbers, \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, \mathcal{L} the family of Lebesgue measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} and $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set E . By $\Phi_d(E)$ we shall denote the set of all Lebesgue density points of measurable set E (i.e. $\Phi_d(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|(x-h; x+h) \cap E|}{2h} = 1\}$) and by \mathcal{T}_d the density topology consists of measurable sets satisfying $E \subset \Phi_d(E)$. For any operators $\Phi, \Psi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ we write $\Phi \subset \Psi$ if $\Phi(E) \subset \Psi(E)$ for every $E \in \mathcal{L}$. If $\Phi \subset \Psi$ and $\Phi \neq \Psi$ then we write $\Phi \subsetneq \Psi$.

We will consider two generalizations of Lebesgue density. First of them, called a density generated by a sequence, was introduced by J. Hejduk and M. Filipczak in [6]. For a convenience we will formulate definitions using decreasing sequences tending to zero, instead of nondecreasing sequences going to infinity.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ be the family of all decreasing sequences tending to zero. We will denote sequences from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ by (a_n) or by $\langle a \rangle$. Let $\langle a \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, $E \in \mathcal{L}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall say that x is an $\langle a \rangle$ -density point of E (a right-hand $\langle a \rangle$ -density point of E) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap [x - a_n; x + a_n]|}{2a_n} = 1 \quad \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap [x; x + a_n]|}{a_n} = 1 \right).$$

By $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle}(E)$ ($\Phi_{\langle a \rangle}^+(E)$) we will denote the set of all $\langle a \rangle$ -density (right-hand $\langle a \rangle$ -density) points of E . In the same way one may define left-hand $\langle a \rangle$ -density point of E and the set $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle}^-(E)$. Evidently, $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle}(E) = \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}^+(E) \cap \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}^-(E)$.

In [6] it was proved that $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle}$ is a lower density operator and the family

$$\mathcal{T}_{\langle a \rangle} = \{E \in \mathcal{L}; E \subset \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}(E)\}$$

is a topology containing the density topology \mathcal{T}_d . Moreover for $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$, $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle} = \Phi_d$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\langle a \rangle} = \mathcal{T}_d$.

For any pair of sequences $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ we denote by $\langle a \rangle \cup \langle b \rangle$ the decreasing sequence consisting of all elements from $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$. It is clear that $\langle a \rangle \cup \langle b \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ and that

PROPOSITION 1 *If $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle} \subset \Phi_{\langle b \rangle}$ then $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle \cup \langle b \rangle} = \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}$.*

The second type of density we will observe are densities generated by functions. We denote by \mathcal{A} the family of all functions $f : (0; \infty) \rightarrow (0; \infty)$ such that

$$(A1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0,$$

$$(A2) \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} < \infty,$$

$$(A3) \quad f \text{ is nondecreasing.}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that x is a *right-hand f -density point* of a measurable set E if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|(x; x+h) \setminus E|}{f(h)} = 0.$$

By $\Phi_f^+(E)$ we denote the set of all right-hand f -density points of E . In the same way one may define *left-hand f -density points* of E and the set $\Phi_f^-(E)$. We say that x is an *f -density point* of E if it is a right and a left-hand f -density point of E . By $\Phi_f(E)$ we denote the set of all f -density points of E , i.e. $\Phi_f(E) = \Phi_f^+(E) \cap \Phi_f^-(E)$. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}$, the family

$$\mathcal{T}_f = \{E \in \mathcal{L}; E \subset \Phi_f(E)\}$$

forms a topology stronger than the natural topology on the real line (see [1, Th. 7] and [4, Th. 1]).

PROPOSITION 2 ([4, PROP. 4]) *For each $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, an inclusion $\mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_g$ holds if and only if $\Phi_f \subset \Phi_g$.*

In [2] and [3] it has been shown that properties of f -density operator Φ_f and f -density topology \mathcal{T}_f are strictly depended on $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x}$. In our paper we are interested in topologies generated by functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $\liminf_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x} > 0$. The family of all such functions we will denote by \mathcal{A}^1 .

Topologies generated by functions from \mathcal{A}^1 and from $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}^1$ have quite different properties (for example they satisfy different separation axioms - see [3, Th. 5 and

Th. 7)). On the other hand for any function f from \mathcal{A}^1 properties of \mathcal{T}_f are similar to properties of topologies generated by sequences, so similar to properties of the density topology \mathcal{T}_d (compare [4, Th. 3], [9], [7] and [8]). Moreover

PROPOSITION 3 ([4, TH. 5]) *For any sequence $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, the function f defined by a formula*

$$f(x) = s_n \quad \text{for } x \in (s_{n+1}; s_n]$$

belongs to \mathcal{A}^1 and $\Phi_{\langle s \rangle} = \Phi_f$.

COROLLARY 4 *For each $\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, an inclusion $\mathcal{T}_{\langle a \rangle} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\langle b \rangle}$ holds if and only if $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle} \subset \Phi_{\langle b \rangle}$.*

In [4] we have constructed a function $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ such that $\Phi_f \neq \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}$ for each $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. We will remain the definition of that function, omitting the proof, because we will construct a similar one in Theorem 11.

EXAMPLE 5 ([4, TH. 6]) Let us define sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w \rangle &= (2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, \dots), \\ \langle r \rangle &= (1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots), \\ a_0 &= 1, \quad a_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_n^2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ b_n &= a_n w_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_n} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Evidently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n-1}}{b_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = \infty.$$

The function f defined by a formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a_{n-1} & \text{for } x \in (b_n; a_{n-1}], \\ b_n r_n & \text{for } x \in (a_n; b_n]. \end{cases}$$

belongs to \mathcal{A}^1 and $\Phi_f \neq \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}$ for each $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

The function constructed above proves that the family $\{\mathcal{T}_f; f \in \mathcal{A}^1\}$ is bigger than $\{\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}; \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$. We will show that there exist continuum topologies from $\{\mathcal{T}_f; f \in \mathcal{A}^1\} \setminus \{\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}; \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ and that for any pair of sequences $\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ satisfying $\mathcal{T}_{\langle a \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle b \rangle}$ there is a function $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{\langle a \rangle} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_f \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{\langle b \rangle}$ and $\mathcal{T}_f \neq \mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}$ for $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

Set

$$f_\alpha(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad s_\alpha(n) = \alpha s(n)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}^1, \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Obviously $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and $\langle s_\alpha \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

PROPOSITION 6 If $\Phi_f = \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}$ then $\Phi_{f_\alpha} = \Phi_{\langle s_\alpha \rangle}$.

PROOF It is sufficient to prove that for any measurable set E

$$0 \in \Phi_{f_\alpha}^+(E) \iff 0 \in \Phi_{\langle s_\alpha \rangle}^+(E).$$

If $0 \in \Phi_{f_\alpha}^+(E)$ then

$$\frac{|(0; x) \cap \frac{1}{\alpha} E'|}{f(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} |(0; \alpha x) \cap E'|}{f_\alpha(\alpha x)} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0^+} 0,$$

and so $0 \in \Phi_f^+(\frac{1}{\alpha} E) = \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(\frac{1}{\alpha} E)$. Hence

$$\frac{|(0; s_\alpha(n)) \cap E'|}{s_\alpha(n)} = \frac{|(0; s(n)) \cap \frac{1}{\alpha} E'|}{s(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which gives $0 \in \Phi_{\langle s_\alpha \rangle}^+(E)$.

Conversely, if $0 \in \Phi_{\langle s_\alpha \rangle}^+(E)$ then

$$\frac{|(0; s(n)) \cap \frac{1}{\alpha} E'|}{s(n)} = \frac{|(0; s_\alpha(n)) \cap E'|}{s_\alpha(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

and consequently $0 \in \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(\frac{1}{\alpha} E) = \Phi_f^+(\frac{1}{\alpha} E)$. Thus

$$\frac{|(0; x) \cap E'|}{f_\alpha(x)} = \frac{\alpha |(0; \frac{x}{\alpha}) \cap \frac{1}{\alpha} E'|}{f(\frac{x}{\alpha})} \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow 0^+} 0,$$

which implies $0 \in \Phi_{f_\alpha}^+(E)$. ■

COROLLARY 7 If $\Phi_f \notin \left\{ \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}; \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \right\}$ then $\Phi_{f_\alpha} \notin \left\{ \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}; \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \right\}$ for any $\alpha > 0$.

THEOREM 8 If f is the function defined in Example 5 then $\Phi_{f_\alpha} \subsetneq \Phi_{f_\beta}$ for any $\alpha > \beta > 1$.

PROOF Since f is nondecreasing, we have $f_\alpha \leq f_\beta$ and $\Phi_{f_\alpha} \subset \Phi_{f_\beta}$. Let (n_i) be an increasing sequence of positive integers such that $r_{n_i} = 1$ for every i . Define

$$E = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta b_{n_i}; \beta b_{n_i} \sqrt{w_{n_i}}).$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$0 \in \Phi_{f_\beta}(E) \setminus \Phi_{f_\alpha}(E).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that for any n

$$\beta a_n < b_n \quad \text{and} \quad \beta b_n < \alpha b_n < \beta b_n \sqrt{w_n} < a_{n-1}.$$

If $x \in (\beta b_{n_i}; \beta a_{n_i-1}]$ for some i then

$$(1) \quad \frac{|(0; x) \cap E'|}{f_\beta(x)} \leq \frac{\beta b_{n_i} \sqrt{w_{n_i}}}{f\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)} = \frac{\beta b_{n_i} \sqrt{w_{n_i}}}{a_{n_i-1}} = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{w_{n_i}}}.$$

If $x \in (\beta a_{n_i}; \beta b_{n_i}]$ for some i then

$$(2) \quad \frac{|(0; x) \cap E'|}{f_\beta(x)} < \frac{a_{n_i}}{f\left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)} = \frac{a_{n_i}}{r_{n_i} b_{n_i}} = \frac{1}{w_{n_i}}.$$

If $x \in (\beta a_p; \beta b_{p-1}]$ and $n_i < p < n_{i+1}$ for some i then

$$(3) \quad \frac{|(0; x) \cap E'|}{f_\beta(x)} < \frac{a_p}{r_p b_p} \leq \frac{1}{w_p}.$$

From (1)-(3) we conclude that $0 \in \Phi_{f_\beta}(E)$.

On the other hand for $x_i = \alpha b_{n_i}$

$$\frac{|(0; x_i) \cap E'|}{f_\alpha(x_i)} \geq \frac{|(\beta b_{n_i}; \alpha b_{n_i})|}{f(b_{n_i})} = \frac{(\alpha - \beta) b_{n_i}}{r_{n_i} b_{n_i}} = \alpha - \beta > 0,$$

and consequently $0 \notin \Phi_{f_\alpha}(E)$. ■

In [4, Th. 1] it has been proved that for each function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a continuous function $g \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi_f = \Phi_g$. Thus there is at most continuum different f -density topologies. Combining this result with Corollary 4, Corollary 7 and Theorem 8 we obtain

COROLLARY 9 *The family $\{\mathcal{T}_f; f \in \mathcal{A}^1\} \setminus \{\mathcal{T}_{\langle s \rangle}; \langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}\}$ has cardinality continuum.*

In the next theorem we will compare densities generated by sequences. Let us formulate a useful lemma from [5]. Fix $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ from $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. There is a unique sequence (k_n) of positive integers such that

$$b_n \in (a_{k_n+1}; a_{k_n}]$$

for each n with $b_n < a_1$. From now on (k_n) will denote this unique sequence.

LEMMA 10 ([5, Th. 7]). *The following conditions are equivalent*

- (a) $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle} \subset \Phi_{\langle b \rangle}$.
- (b) For arbitrary increasing sequence (n_i) of positive integers

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{k_{n_i}}}{b_{n_i}} < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n_i}}{a_{k_{n_i}+1}} = 1.$$

THEOREM 11 Let $\langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. If $\Phi_{\langle b \rangle} \not\subseteq \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}$ then there is $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ such that $\Phi_{\langle b \rangle} \subset \Phi_f \subset \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}$ and $\Phi_f \neq \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}$ for $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

PROOF By Proposition 1 we can assume that $\langle a \rangle$ is a subsequence of $\langle b \rangle$. Since $\Phi_{\langle a \rangle} \not\subseteq \Phi_{\langle b \rangle}$, there exists an increasing sequence (n_i) of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{k_{n_i}}}{b_{n_i}} = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad M = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n_i}}{a_{k_{n_i}+1}} > 1.$$

Let us denote

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{M} & ; \quad M < \infty \\ 2 & ; \quad M = \infty \end{cases}.$$

Replacing (n_i) by a subsequence we can assume that (k_{n_i}) is increasing and for every i

$$(4) \quad \frac{a_{k_{n_i}}}{b_{n_i}} > i^2, \quad \frac{b_{n_i}}{a_{k_{n_i}+1}} > \beta^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_{n_i}}{a_{k_{n_i}+1}} < \beta^5 \quad \text{if } M < \infty.$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c \rangle &= \langle a \rangle \cup (b_{n_i}), \\ \langle r \rangle &= (1, 2, 1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots), \\ g_1(x) &= a_n \quad \text{for } x \in (a_{n+1}; a_n], \\ g_2(x) &= c_n \quad \text{for } x \in (c_{n+1}; c_n], \\ g_3(x) &= b_n \quad \text{for } x \in (b_{n+1}; b_n], \\ f(x) &= \begin{cases} r_i b_{n_i} & \text{for } x \in (a_{k_{n_i}+1}; b_{n_i}], \\ g_1(x) & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{k_{n_i}+1}; b_{n_i}]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 \leq r_i \leq i^2$, $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ and $g_3 \leq g_2 \leq f \leq g_1$. Thus

$$\Phi_{\langle b \rangle} = \Phi_{g_3} \subset \Phi_{\langle c \rangle} = \Phi_{g_2} \subset \Phi_f \subset \Phi_{g_1} = \Phi_{\langle a \rangle}.$$

We will show that $\Phi_f \neq \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}$ for $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that there is $\langle s \rangle \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ such that

$$(5) \quad \Phi_f = \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}.$$

Let us consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \{i \in \mathbb{N}; \exists_{m \in \mathbb{N}} s_m \in [\beta^3 a_{k_{n_i}+1}; i b_{n_i}]\}, \\ R &= \{r_i; i \in T\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the set R is bounded. Suppose it is not true and so T is infinite. Let (t_i) be an increasing sequence consisting of all elements from T , i.e.

$$T = \{t_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let m_i be a fixed positive integer such that

$$s_{m_i} \in \left[\beta^3 a_{k_{n_{t_i}}+1}; t_i b_{n_{t_i}} \right].$$

We will show that

$$(6) \quad s_{m_i} < 2b_{n_{t_i}}$$

for almost every i . Suppose on the contrary that there is an increasing sequence (p_i) of positive integers such $s_{m_{p_i}} \geq 2b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}$ for every i . Hence

$$\frac{a_{k_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}}{s_{m_{p_i}}} \geq \frac{t_{p_i}^2 b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}{t_{p_i} b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}} = t_{p_i} \geq p_i \geq i$$

and so

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{k_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}}{s_{m_{p_i}}} = \infty$$

and

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{m_{p_i}}}{b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}} \geq 2.$$

This, by Lemma 10, contradicts the assumption that $\Phi_{(c)} \subset \Phi_{(s)}$ and finishes the proof of (6).

Now we show that there are real numbers $\varepsilon > \delta > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad a_{k_{n_{t_i}}+1} < \delta b_{n_{t_i}} < \varepsilon b_{n_{t_i}} < s_{m_i}$$

for almost every i . If $M = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n_i}}{a_{k_{n_i}+1}} < \infty$ then by (4) it is sufficient to set $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\beta^2}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{\beta^3}$. If $M = \infty$, then obviously it is sufficient to show that for some positive ε inequality

$$\varepsilon b_{n_{t_i}} < s_{m_i}$$

holds for almost every i . Suppose on the contrary that there is an increasing sequence (p_i) of positive integers such $s_{m_{p_i}} < b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}$ for every i . Hence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}{s_{m_{p_i}}} = \infty.$$

and

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{m_{p_i}}}{a_{k_{n_{t_{p_i}}+1}}} \geq \beta^3 > 1.$$

which also contradicts the assumption that $\Phi_{(c)} \subset \Phi_{(s)}$ and ends the proof of (7).

By our assumptions, the set R is not bounded. Thus there exists an increasing sequence (p_i) of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{t_{p_i}} = \infty.$$

Put

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\delta b_{n_{t_{p_i}}} ; \varepsilon b_{n_{t_{p_i}}} \right).$$

From (6) and (7) it follows that

$$\frac{|A \cap (0; s_{m_{p_i}})|}{s_{m_{p_i}}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon b_{n_{t_{p_i}}} - \delta b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}{2b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}} = \frac{\varepsilon - \delta}{2} > 0$$

for almost every i , and so

$$(8) \quad 0 \notin \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(A').$$

We will show that $0 \in \Phi_f^+(A')$. Let $x \in (a_j; a_{j-1}]$. If $j = k_{n_{t_{p_i}}}$ for some i then

$$(9) \quad \frac{|A \cap (0; x)|}{f(x)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}}{r_{t_{p_i}} b_{n_{t_{p_i}}}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{r_{t_{p_i}}}.$$

On the other hand if $k_{m_{n_i}} < j < k_{m_{n_{i+1}}}$ for some i then

$$(10) \quad \frac{|A \cap (0; x)|}{f(x)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon b_{n_{t_{p_{i+1}}}}}{a_{j+1}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon b_{n_{t_{p_{i+1}}}}}{a_{k_{n_{t_{p_{i+1}}}}}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{t_{p_{i+1}}^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(i+1)^2}.$$

From (5), (9) and (10) it follows that $0 \in \Phi_f^+(A') = \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(A')$, contrary to (8). Hence we conclude that R is bounded.

Let L be a positive integer such that

$$L > \sup R,$$

(p_i) be an increasing sequence of positive integers for which

$$r_{p_i} = L$$

and

$$B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} b_{n_{p_i}} ; b_{n_{p_i}} \right).$$

Since

$$\frac{|B \cap (0; b_{n_{p_i}})|}{f(b_{n_{p_i}})} \geq \frac{b_{n_{p_i}} - \frac{1}{\beta} b_{n_{p_i}}}{r_{p_i} b_{n_{p_i}}} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{\beta}}{L} > 0,$$

$$(11) \quad 0 \notin \Phi_f^+(B').$$

We will prove that $0 \in \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(B')$. By definition of (p_i) , $p_i \notin T$ for every i and consequently

$$s_m \notin \left[\beta^3 a_{k_{n_{p_i}}+1}; p_i b_{n_{p_i}} \right]$$

for $m, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider any term s_m of $\langle s \rangle$. There is a positive integer j such that $s_m \in (a_j; a_{j-1}]$. If $j = k_{n_{p_i}}$ for some i then

$$s_m < \beta^3 a_{k_{n_{p_i}}+1} \quad \text{or} \quad s_m > p_i b_{n_{p_i}}.$$

In the first case we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{|B \cap (0; s_m)|}{s_m} \leq \frac{b_{n_{p_i+1}}}{a_{k_{n_{p_i}}+1}} \leq \frac{b_{n_{p_i+1}}}{a_{k_{n_{p_i+1}}}} \leq \frac{1}{p_{i+1}^2} \leq \frac{1}{(i+1)^2},$$

and in the second

$$(13) \quad \frac{|B \cap (0; s_m)|}{s_m} \leq \frac{b_{n_{p_i}}}{p_i b_{n_{p_i}}} \leq \frac{1}{i}.$$

Moreover, if $k_{n_{p_i}} < j < k_{n_{p_i+1}}$, then

$$(14) \quad \frac{|B \cap (0; s_m)|}{s_m} \leq \frac{b_{n_{p_i+1}}}{a_{j+1}} \leq \frac{b_{n_{p_i+1}}}{a_{k_{n_{p_i+1}}}} \leq \frac{1}{p_{i+1}^2} \leq \frac{1}{(i+1)^2}.$$

From (5) and (12)-(14) it follows that $0 \in \Phi_{\langle s \rangle}^+(B') = \Phi_f^+(B')$, contrary to (11). ■

COROLLARY 12 *If $\mathcal{T}_{(b)} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_{(a)}$ then there is $f \in \mathcal{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{(b)} \subset \mathcal{T}_f \subset \mathcal{T}_{(a)}$ and the topology \mathcal{T}_f is generated by no sequence.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, *A generalization of the density topology*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **34** (2006), 37–47.
- [2] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, *Remarks on f -density and ψ -density*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **34** (2006), 141–149.
- [3] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, *On f -density topologies*, Topology Appl. **155** (2008), 1980–1989.
- [4] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, *Density topologies generated by functions and by sequences*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **40** (2008), 103–115.
- [5] M. Filipczak, T. Filipczak, J. Hejduk, *On the comparison of the density type topology*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **52** (2004), 37–46.
- [6] M. Filipczak, J. Hejduk, *On topologies associated with the Lebesgue measure*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **28** (2004), 187–197.
- [7] J.C.Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1980.
- [8] S. Scheinberg, *Topologies which generate a complete measure algebra*, Adv. Math. **7** (1971), 231–239.
- [9] W. Wilczyński, *Density topologies*, in: *Handbook of Measure Theory*, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 2002, 675–702.

MAŁGORZATA FILIPCZAK
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, ŁÓDŹ UNIVERSITY
UL. STEFANA BANACHA 22, 90-238 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail: malfil@math.uni.lodz.pl

TOMASZ FILIPCZAK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ŁÓDŹ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
UL. WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005, ŁÓDŹ, POLAND
E-mail: tfil@math.uni.lodz.pl

(Received: 20.06.2008)
