

JERZY POPENDA (Poznań)

Finite difference inequalities

Abstract. Discrete inequalities of Gronwall type are considered in vector lattices.

In this note we wish to establish the discrete analogues of the Gronwall's differential inequality [2]. Discrete inequalities of this type but in other form were considered by many authors, see for example Pachpatte [3]–[6], Popenda and Werbowski [8], Willett and Wong [9]. Gronwall's type inequalities play an important role in the qualitative theory of differential, difference, integral and summary equations.

Before giving the main results, we first recollect a few of the basic definitions and notions (see [1]). Throughout the paper E denotes any vector lattice, E_0 – a subset of nonnegative elements of E , N – the set of positive integers. Denote by $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n x_j$ the greatest lower bound and by $\bigvee_{j=1}^n x_j$ the least upper bound for the system $\{x_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ of elements of the lattice E . For any two elements we shall always denote these two bounds by $x \wedge y$ and $x \vee y$; they exist by the definition of a lattice. The symbol " $\leq$ " is used for order relation. For $x \in E$, $(x)_+ := x \vee 0$. Furthermore we define

$$x \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^0 x_j = x \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^0 x_j = x, \quad \sum_{j=1}^0 x_j = 0, \quad \prod_{j=1}^0 a_j = 1.$$

The order relation in a vector lattice has the following properties:

- (i) $x_k \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^n x_j, \quad \bigwedge_{j=1}^n x_j \leq x_k \quad \text{for any } x_k, k = 1, \dots, n, x_k \in E;$
- (ii) $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n x_j \leq \bigwedge_{j=1}^n y_j, \quad \bigvee_{j=1}^n x_j \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^n y_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^n y_j$
 for any $x_j, y_j \in E$ such that $x_j \leq y_j, j = 1, \dots, n;$
- (iii) $\bigvee_{j=1}^n (x_j + y_j) \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^n x_j + \bigvee_{j=1}^n y_j, \quad \bigvee_{\substack{j=1 \\ k=1}}^n (x_j + y_k) = \bigvee_{j=1}^n x_j + \bigvee_{j=1}^n y_j$
 for any $x_j, y_k \in E, j, k = 1, \dots, n;$

- (iv) if $x \leq y$ then $ax \leq ay$ for any $a \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, $x, y \in E$;
 if $x \in E_0$ and $a \leq b$, $a, b \in (-\infty, \infty)$ then $ax \leq bx$;
- (v) $x \vee (y+z) \leq x \vee y + (z)_+$ for any $x, y, z \in E$.

LEMMA. Let $y, z: N \rightarrow E$, $a: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If

$$(1) \quad y_{n+1} \leq a_n y_n + z_n, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(2) \quad y_n \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j [y_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{-1}) z_k], \quad n \in N.$$

Proof. Taking into account property (iv), we have from (1)

$$(\prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{-1}) y_{k+1} - (\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} a_j^{-1}) y_k \leq (\prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{-1}) z_k.$$

Hence, by (ii),

$$(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^{-1}) y_n - y_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{-1}) z_k.$$

Estimation (2) follows now from the above inequality and (iv).

THEOREM 1. Let $x, w: N \rightarrow E_0$, $v: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If

$$(3) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \vee b_j x_j, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(4) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) [w_1 \vee b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j)^{-1}) (w_{k+1} \vee b_{k+1} v_k)],$$

$n \in N.$

Proof. By putting

$$(5) \quad p_n = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \vee b_j x_j, \quad n \in N,$$

we have from (3) obviously

$$(6) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + p_n.$$

Applying (iv), (ii) together with (6) we get from (5)

$$p_{n+1} \leq p_n + w_{n+1} \vee b_{n+1} (v_n + p_n).$$

Observe that $p_n \geq 0$; therefore by (v) we obtain

$$p_{n+1} \leq (1+b_{n+1}) p_n + w_{n+1} \vee b_{n+1} v_n.$$

Hence, by lemma, we obtain the following estimation:

$$(7) \quad p_n \leq \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) [w_1 \vee b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j)^{-1}) (w_{k+1} \vee b_{k+1} v_k)].$$

Estimation (7) together with (6) and (ii) imply (4).

THEOREM 2. Let $x, v, w: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If

$$(8) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \wedge b_j x_j, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(9) \quad x_{n+1} \leq (w_1 \wedge b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n w_k) \wedge \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) [w_1 \wedge b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j)^{-1}) b_{k+1} v_k] + v_n, \quad n \in N.$$

Proof. Writing

$$(10) \quad p_n = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \wedge b_j x_j,$$

we obtain inequalities

$$p_{n+1} \leq p_n + w_{n+1} \wedge b_{n+1} x_{n+1} \leq p_n + w_{n+1},$$

from which we get, by the lemma,

$$(11) \quad p_n \leq w_1 \wedge b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n w_k := c_n.$$

On the other hand, applying (i) and (iv) we get from (10)

$$p_{n+1} \leq p_n + b_{n+1} x_{n+1} \leq p_n + b_{n+1} (v_n + p_n) = (1+b_{n+1}) p_n + b_{n+1} v_n.$$

Hence, by lemma, we obtain the following estimation of p_n :

$$(12) \quad p_n \leq \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) [w_1 \wedge b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j)^{-1}) b_{k+1} v_k] := d_n.$$

Comparing (11), (12) we have, by (ii),

$$p_n \leq c_n \wedge d_n.$$

Hence follows the desired estimation (9).

Remark. Let us consider inequality (8), where $w_n \geq b_n x_n$ for all $n \in N$; then of course $w_n \wedge b_n x_n = b_n x_n$ and (8) reduces to

$$(13) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j, \quad n \in N.$$

It is easily verified by using the method of Theorem 2 that then

$$(14) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) \left[b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j) \right)^{-1} b_{k+1} v_k \right], \quad n \in N.$$

THEOREM 3. Let $x: N \rightarrow E_0$, $v, w: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If

$$(15) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n \vee \sum_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(16) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n \vee \sum_{j=1}^n w_j + \prod_{j=2}^n (1+b_j) \left[b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1+b_j) \right)^{-1} b_{k+1} \left(v_k \vee \sum_{t=1}^k w_t \right) \right],$$

$n \in N.$

Proof. Let us observe that by (v)

$$x_{n+1} \leq \left(v_n \vee \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j x_j.$$

The above inequality is of the form (13). Hence, by remark, we obtain (16).

THEOREM 4. Let $x: N \rightarrow E_0$, $v, w: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. If

$$(17) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigvee_{\substack{j=1 \\ k=1}}^n w_j + b_k x_k, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(18) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigvee_{j=1}^n w_j + \prod_{j=2}^n \max[1, b_j] \left[b_1 x_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{j=2}^{k+1} \max[1, b_j] \right)^{-1} \left(b_{k+1} \left(v_k + \bigvee_{t=1}^k w_t \right) \right)_+ \right], \quad n \in N.$$

Proof. In virtue of property (iii), inequality (17) is equivalent to

$$(19) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigvee_{j=1}^n w_j + \bigvee_{j=1}^n b_j x_j.$$

By putting

$$p_n = \bigvee_{j=1}^n b_j x_j, \quad z_n = v_n + \bigvee_{j=1}^n w_j$$

and applying (iv), (ii), (v) we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+1} &= p_n \vee b_{n+1} x_{n+1} \leq p_n \vee (b_{n+1} z_n + b_{n+1} p_n) \\ &\leq p_n \vee b_{n+1} p_n + (b_{n+1} z_n)_+ \leq \max[1, b_{n+1}] p_n + (b_{n+1} z_n)_+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by lemma we obtain an estimation of p_n , which together with (19) give us (18).

Remark. Let us observe that estimation (18) holds if $x: N \rightarrow E_0$, $v, w: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ but instead of (17) the following assumption is satisfied:

$$x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigvee_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j, \quad n \in N.$$

It is evident since by (iii), inequality (19) remains true.

THEOREM 5. Let $x, v, w: N \rightarrow E$, $b: N \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$. If for $n \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad (a) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n \vee \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j, \\ (b) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j, \\ (c) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j, \\ (d) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j \wedge b_j x_j, \\ (e) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j \vee b_j x_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad (a) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n \vee (w_1 + b_1 x_1), \\ (b) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n \wedge (w_1 + b_1 x_1), \\ (c) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + (w_1 + b_1 x_1), \\ (d) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + (w_1 \wedge b_1 x_1), \\ (e) \quad & x_{n+1} \leq v_n + (w_1 \vee b_1 x_1), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, for all $n \in N$.

Proof. Estimations (21) can easily be checked from (20) using properties (i) and (ii).

Remark. Estimations (21) are not the best possible. If for instance $b_n x_n \leq 0$ for all $n \in N$, then $w_n + b_n x_n \leq w_n$, $n \in N$. From this we infer that $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j + b_j x_j \leq \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j$; hence for functions satisfying (20a) we obtain the estimation $x_{n+1} \leq v_n \vee \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_j$, $n \in N$, may be, better than (21a).

We shall prove the theorem given by Pachpatte [4] in the real domain. The

presented proof shows us that many theorems considered for real sequences hold in vector lattices. For the function $y: N \rightarrow E$ we denote $\Delta y: N \rightarrow E$ by $\Delta y_n = y_{n+1} - y_n$ for $n \in N$.

THEOREM 6. *Let $x, \Delta x: N \rightarrow E_0$, $a, b: N \rightarrow \langle 0, \infty \rangle$. If*

$$(22) \quad \Delta x_n \leq x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j(x_j + \Delta x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_i \Delta x_i, \quad n \in N,$$

then

$$(23) \quad \Delta x_n \leq \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (2 + a_i + b_i)\right] x_1, \quad n \in N.$$

Proof. Putting

$$(24) \quad p_n = x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j(x_j + \Delta x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} b_i \Delta x_i,$$

we obviously have

$$(25) \quad \Delta x_n \leq p_n.$$

From (24), (25) conditions (ii) and (iv) we have

$$(26) \quad \Delta p_n = a_n(x_n + \Delta x_n) + a_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \Delta x_j \leq a_n(x_n + p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j p_j).$$

Again from (25) and (ii) we obtain

$$(27) \quad x_n \leq x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_j.$$

Using (27) in (26) in virtue of (ii) and (iv) we get

$$(28) \quad \Delta p_n \leq a_n(x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_j + p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j p_j).$$

We denote

$$(29) \quad q_n = x_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} p_j + p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j p_j.$$

Observe that p_n is nonnegative for all $n \in N$; hence $p_n \leq q_n$ for $n \in N$. Therefore, it follows from (28), (29), (ii) and (iv) that

$$\Delta q_n = \Delta p_n + p_n + b_n p_n \leq a_n q_n + q_n + b_n q_n,$$

whence

$$q_{n+1} \leq (2 + a_n + b_n) q_n.$$

Since $q_1 = 2x_1$, applying lemma to the above inequality, we have the following estimation

$$(30) \quad q_n \leq 2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2 + a_j + b_j) x_1.$$

Estimation (30) together with (28) and (29) give us by (ii), (iv)

$$(31) \quad \Delta p_n \leq a_n \left[2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (2 + a_j + b_j) \right] x_1.$$

Applying (ii) to (31) and the fact that $p_1 = x_1$ we obtain

$$(32) \quad p_n \leq \left[1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (2 + a_i + b_i) \right] x_1.$$

Estimation (23) follows now from (32) and (25).

In concluding this paper we note that theorems presented here can be used to study boundedness, stability, asymptotic equivalence and other properties of summary difference equations for instance in real domain.

Consider the sequence given by recurrence formula

$$(33) \quad x_{n+1} = v_n + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \vee b_j x_j, \quad n \in N,$$

where $w: N \rightarrow E_0$, $v: N \rightarrow E_0$, $b: N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $x_1 \in E_0$. Let furthermore

$$(34) \quad (a) \quad \{v_n\}_{n \in N} \quad \text{is bounded,}$$

$$(b) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \quad \text{converges,}$$

$$(c) \quad \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n w_{j+1} \vee b_{j+1} v_j \right\}_{n \in N} \quad \text{is bounded;}$$

then the set $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ is bounded.

Since $x_1 \in E_0$ so by assumptions on w , v , b we have $x_n \in E_0$ for all $n \in N$. Therefore applying Theorem 1 we get

$$(35) \quad x_{n+1} \leq v_n + \prod_{j=2}^n (1 + b_j) (w_1 \vee b_1 x_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{j=k+2}^n (1 + b_j) \right) (w_{k+1} \vee b_{k+1} v_k).$$

It follows from (34b) that there exists a constant $\bar{b}$ such that $\prod_{j=k}^n (1 + b_j) < \bar{b}$ for all $k, n \in N$. Boundedness of $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ follows now by (ii) and (iv) from (34a), (34c) and (35).

The definition of an (o) -convergent series of elements in an ordered vector space is the usual

$$(o) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = (o) - \lim_n \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$$

if the right-hand side limit does exist.

The lattice E is said to be a *relatively σ -complete lattice* if any countable, bounded subset of E admits the greatest lower bound and the least upper bound.

Consider recurrence equation (33) in the relatively σ -complete lattice under the same conditions as previously. Since the set $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ is bounded, there exists $\bigvee_{n \in N} x_n$. Therefore, by (ii) and (iv),

$$\sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \bigvee_{n \in N} x_n = \bigvee_{n \in N} x_n \sum_{k=1}^n b_k, \quad n \in N.$$

Hence, from the above inequality and (34b) follows boundedness of the set $\{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k\}_{n \in N}$. Taking into account the definition of a relatively σ -complete lattice we have that this set admits its least upper bound. Since the sequence $\{\sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k\}_{n \in N}$ is increasing, it has the limit. Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k x_k$ is (o) -convergent.

We obtain (o) -summability with the wedge b of the solution of equation (33) in a relatively σ -complete vector lattice.

References

- [1] R. Cristescu, *Ordered vector spaces and linear operators*, Bucuresti 1976.
- [2] T. H. Gronwall, *Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations*, Ann. Math. 2, 20 (1919), 292–296.
- [3] B. G. Pachpatte, *On some fundamental finite difference inequalities*, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Univ. Beograd Ser. Mat. Fiz. 577–598 (1977), 65–73.
- [4] —, *On some fundamental integrodifferential inequalities and their discrete analogues*, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 87A, 9 (1978), 201–207.
- [5] —, *On some new integral inequalities and their discrete analogues*, Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math. 8, 9 (1978), 1093–1107.
- [6] —, *On some new discrete inequalities involving partial sums*, ibidem 9, 7 (1978), 640–647.
- [7] J. Popenda, *On some discrete Gronwall inequalities*, Fasc. Math. (to appear).
- [8] —, J. Werbowksi, *On the discrete analogy of Gronwall lemma*, Fasc. Math. 11 (1979), 89–98.
- [9] D. Willet, J. S. W. Wong, *On the discrete analogues of some generalizations of Gronwall's inequality*, Mon. Math. 69 (1964), 362–367.