

K-CONTACT \mathcal{A} -MANIFOLDS

BY

WŁODZIMIERZ JELONEK (KRAKÓW)

The aim of this paper is to give a characterization of regular K-contact \mathcal{A} -manifolds.

1. Introduction. The class of \mathcal{A} -manifolds (for a definition see [G]) is an important class of Riemannian manifolds which has appeared in a natural way during the investigation of spaces with volume preserving local geodesic symmetries. In our paper [J-2] we have constructed a family (P_c, g_c) of \mathcal{A} -manifolds on a circle bundle P over an arbitrary Kähler–Einstein manifold M . If M is locally non-homogeneous, then P is also locally non-homogeneous. Since there are many locally non-homogeneous Einstein–Kähler manifolds, we have given in this way an answer to the open question stated in the book [B]. The family P_c is parametrized by a real number $c > 0$. For an appropriate choice of c we obtain a K-contact metric structure on P (even Sasakian structure) which at the same time is an \mathcal{A} -manifold. In the present paper we consider a related problem and give necessary and sufficient conditions for a regular K-contact metric manifold to be an \mathcal{A} -manifold (in the compact case our definition of regular contact structure coincides with that in [B-W]).

2. Preliminaries. Throughout the paper we use the notations and terminology of [O-1], [Bl]. Let P be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional C^∞ -manifold. We say that a Riemannian manifold (P, g) admits a *contact metric structure* (g, ξ, ϕ, η) if it admits a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ and a 1-form η such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^2 &= -\text{id} + \eta \otimes \xi, & \phi(\xi) &= 0, & \eta \circ \phi &= 0, & \eta(\xi) &= 1, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), & g(X, \xi) &= \eta(X), \\ g(X, \phi Y) &= d\eta(X, Y).\end{aligned}$$

A contact structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) is called a *K-contact structure* if the characteristic vector field ξ is Killing, i.e. $L_\xi g = 0$, L being the operator of Lie dif-

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C15, 53C25.

ferentiation. This is equivalent to $L_\xi\phi = 0$ (see [Bl]) and then $\phi X = -\nabla_X\xi$. In the sequel we shall assume that P is a circle bundle over a $2n$ -manifold M and ξ is (up to a constant factor) the fundamental vector field of the action of the group S^1 on P (we shall say in that case that the structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) is *regular*). By H (resp. V) we denote the horizontal (resp. vertical) distribution:

$$H = \{X \in TP : \eta(X) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V = \{X \in TP : X \parallel \xi\}.$$

It is clear that $TP = V \oplus H$ and we denote by \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{H} the projections $\mathcal{V} : TP \rightarrow V$ and $\mathcal{H} : TP \rightarrow H$. By $X^* \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ we denote the horizontal lift of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A K-contact metric structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) on P induces an almost Hermitian structure (J_*, g_*) on the manifold M such that (M, g_*, J_*) is an almost Kähler manifold. The metric g_* and the almost complex structure J_* satisfy the conditions

$$g_*(X, Y) = g(X^*, Y^*), \quad dp \circ \phi = J_* \circ dp$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. The existence of such g_* and J_* follows from the fact that ξ is a Killing field and that $L_\xi\phi = 0$. The Kähler form Ω of (M, g_*, J_*) satisfies the relation

$$p^*\Omega(X, Y) = d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y).$$

Note also that the mapping $p : P \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion (see [O'N]) and if P is a Sasakian manifold, then (M, g_*, J_*) is a Kähler manifold. In the sequel we shall use O'Neill's tensors T and A . They are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_X Y &= \mathcal{V}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}\mathcal{H}Y) + \mathcal{H}(\nabla_{\mathcal{H}X}\mathcal{V}Y), \\ T_X Y &= \mathcal{H}(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}\mathcal{V}Y) + \mathcal{V}(\nabla_{\mathcal{V}X}\mathcal{H}Y). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the results of D. Blair [Bl] we have:

PROPOSITION 1. *A contact metric structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) on a Riemannian manifold (P, g) is a K-contact structure if and only if the characteristic vector field ξ is an eigenfield of the Ricci tensor ρ of (P, g) with constant eigenvalue $\lambda = 2n$.*

Let us recall that a *Hodge manifold* is a Kähler manifold whose Kähler form is a multiple of an integral class. We shall use the following:

DEFINITION. An almost Kähler manifold (M, g, J) whose Kähler form $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$ is a multiple of an integral class $\omega \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be an *almost Hodge manifold*.

Remark. Note that there are many almost Hodge manifolds which are not Hodge manifolds, i.e. which are not Kähler manifolds. Many examples of such non-Kähler manifolds are given in [J-1].

Finally, recall that a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called an \mathcal{A} -manifold (see [G]) (we write $M \in \mathcal{A}$) if the Ricci tensor of (M, g) satisfies the condition

$$\nabla_X \varrho(X, X) = 0$$

for all local vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. On Sasakian manifolds, this condition is equivalent to the so-called η -parallelity of the Ricci tensor (see [O-2]).

3. K-contact regular \mathcal{A} -manifolds. Assume that $p : P \rightarrow M$ is a principal circle bundle admitting a K-contact metric structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) such that the fundamental vector field of the action of S^1 on P coincides with the characteristic vector field of the structure. Hence (g, ξ, ϕ, η) induces on M an almost Hermitian structure (g_*, J_*) such that (M, g_*, J_*) is an almost Hodge manifold. We denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g_* and by ∇ that of g . We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *A K-contact regular metric space (P, g) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold if and only if the almost Kähler manifold (M, g_*, J_*) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold whose Ricci tensor ϱ_* satisfies the additional condition*

$$(A) \quad \varrho_*(J_*X, J_*Y) = \varrho_*(X, Y).$$

Proof. Denote by ϱ the Ricci tensor of (P, g) . We have to show that condition (A) implies

$$\mathfrak{C}_{X,Y,Z} \nabla_X \varrho(Y, Z) = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ where \mathfrak{C} denotes the cyclic sum. We consider three cases. Note first that ξ is a Killing vector field of constant length, hence $\phi(\xi) = -\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$, which means that the fibres $p^{-1}(x)$ of P are totally geodesic submanifolds. Hence the O'Neill tensor T vanishes (see [O'N]). It is easy to compute the tensor A (see [B]). Note that $A_X Y = A_{\mathcal{H}X} \mathcal{H}Y + A_{\mathcal{H}X} \mathcal{V}Y$ and $\mathcal{H}X = X - \eta(X)\xi$, $\mathcal{H}Y = Y - \eta(Y)\xi$, $\mathcal{V}Y = \eta(Y)\xi$. Hence we obtain

$$A_X Y = A_{X-\eta(X)\xi}(Y - \eta(Y)\xi) + A_{X-\eta(X)\xi} \eta(Y)\xi.$$

Assume now that $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ are two horizontal vector fields, i.e. $X, Y \in \Gamma(H)$ (where we denote by $\Gamma(H)$ the set of all local sections of the vector bundle H). Then $A_X Y = \eta(\nabla_X Y)\xi$. We also have in this case

$$\begin{aligned} g(\xi, \nabla_X Y) &= \eta(\nabla_X Y) = Xg(\xi, Y) - g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = g(\phi X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A_{X-\eta(X)\xi}(Y - \eta(Y)\xi) = g(\phi X, Y)\xi$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$. Since for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$,

$$A_X(\eta(Y)\xi) = \mathcal{H}(\eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi) = \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi = -\eta(Y)\phi(X),$$

we finally get the formula

$$(3.1) \quad A_X Y = -g(X, \phi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi(X),$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$. Hence we obtain, in view of the results of O'Neill [O'N], the following formulas for the sectional curvatures:

$$\begin{aligned} K(U \wedge V) &= K_*(U_* \wedge V_*) - 3\|A_U V\|^2 \quad \text{for } U, V \in H, \\ K(U \wedge \xi) &= \|A_U \xi\|^2 = \|\phi U\|^2 \quad \text{for } U \in H, \end{aligned}$$

where $U_* = p(U)$, $V_* = p(V)$, $g(U, U) = g(V, V) = 1$, $g(U, V) = 0$ and by K (resp. K_*) we denoted the sectional curvature of P (resp. M). Let $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ be an orthonormal local frame on M and $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then $\{E_1^*, \dots, E_n^*, \xi\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on P . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(U^*, U^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^n K(U^* \wedge E_i^*) + K(U^* \wedge \xi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (K_*(U \wedge E_i) - 3g(\phi U, E_i)^2) + g(\phi U, \phi U) \\ &= \varrho_*(U, U) - 2g(\phi U, \phi U). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \varrho_*(U, V) = \varrho(U^*, V^*) + 2g(\phi U^*, \phi V^*) = \varrho(U^*, V^*) - 2g(\phi^2 U^*, V^*),$$

which implies

$$(3.3) \quad \varrho_*(U, V) = \varrho(U^*, V^*) + 2g(U^*, V^*).$$

From (3.3) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{\nabla}_U \varrho_*(U, U) = \nabla_{U^*} \varrho(U^*, U^*).$$

Hence, for all $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the equality $\nabla_{U^*} \varrho(U^*, U^*) = 0$ holds if and only if $\bar{\nabla}_U \varrho_*(U, U) = 0$. The last equality is equivalent to $(M, g_*) \in \mathcal{A}$.

From Proposition 1 we have $S\xi = 2n\xi$, where S is the Ricci endomorphism of (P, g) . Taking account of $\phi\xi = 0$ we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \nabla S(\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla \varrho(\xi, \xi, V^*) = 0$$

for any $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. On the other hand, $\varrho(\xi, \xi) = 2n$ is constant and consequently

$$\nabla_{V^*} \varrho(\xi, \xi) - 2\varrho(\phi V^*, \xi) = 0.$$

The equation $\varrho(\phi V^*, \xi) = 2ng(\xi, \phi V^*) = 0$ implies

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla_{V^*} \varrho(\xi, \xi) = 0.$$

As a result, $\mathfrak{C}_{V^*, \xi, \xi} \nabla_{V^*} \varrho(\xi, \xi) = 0$. Let now $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We compute the cyclic sum

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\xi, V^*, U^*} \nabla_{\xi} \varrho(U^*, V^*).$$

Since ξ is a Killing vector field we have $L_{\xi} \varrho = 0$, and consequently

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla_{\xi} \varrho(U^*, V^*) = (\nabla_{\xi} - L_{\xi}) \varrho(U^*, V^*) = \varrho(\phi U^*, V^*) + \varrho(U^*, \phi V^*).$$

Similarly from the equality $S\xi = 2n\xi$ it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{U^*} \varrho(\xi, V^*) &= g(\nabla S(U^*, \xi), V^*) = g((2nI - S)(\nabla_{U^*} \xi), V^*) \\ &= -2ng(\phi U^*, V^*) + \varrho(\phi U^*, V^*). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, (3.8) and (3.9) give

$$(3.10) \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\xi, U^*, V^*} \nabla_{\xi} \varrho(U^*, V^*) = 2(\varrho(\phi U^*, V^*) + \varrho(U^*, \phi V^*)).$$

In view of (3.3) we conclude that

$$(3.11) \quad \varrho(\phi U^*, V^*) + \varrho(U^*, \phi V^*) = \varrho_*(J_*U, V) + \varrho_*(U, J_*V).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{C}_{\xi, U^*, V^*} \nabla_{\xi} \varrho(U^*, V^*) = 0$ if and only if equation (A) is satisfied. From the above considerations it is clear that if (P, g) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold, then (M, g_*, J_*) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold satisfying (A), and if M with the induced almost Kähler structure (g_*, J_*) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold satisfying (A), then (P, g) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold. ■

THEOREM 2. *If (M, g_*, J_*) is an almost Hodge \mathcal{A} -manifold satisfying condition (A) then there exists a circle bundle $p : P \rightarrow M$ such that P admits a K-contact metric structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) , (P, g) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold and p is a Riemannian submersion.*

To prove Theorem 2 recall the following theorem by S. Kobayashi ([K]):

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $\omega \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ be an integral cohomology class and let a 2-form $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}^2(M)$ belong to ω . Then there exists an S^1 -principal fibre bundle $p : P \rightarrow M$ and a connection Γ on P with connection form $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^1(P)$ such that*

$$d\theta = 2\pi p^* \Omega.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that (M, g_*, J_*) is an almost Kähler manifold and $\Omega_*(X, Y) = g_*(X, J_*Y)$ is its Kähler form. Assume that $\Omega_* = c\Omega$, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{\Omega\} \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. By Proposition 2 there exists an S^1 -principal fibre bundle $p : P \rightarrow M$ with connection form θ such that

$$d\theta = 2\pi p^* \Omega.$$

Define $\eta := (2\pi/c)\theta$. Then

$$(3.12) \quad d\eta = p^* \Omega_*.$$

Next we define the metric g on P by $g = \eta \otimes \eta + p^* g_*$. It is clear that p is a Riemannian submersion $p : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, g_*)$. If ξ is the fundamental

vector field of the action of S^1 on P , then write $\xi = (2\pi/c)\bar{\xi}$. Then $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and $\eta(X) = g(\xi, X)$. Note also that $L_\xi\eta = 0$ (since $\eta = (2\pi/c)\theta$ and θ is a connection form, which implies $L_{\bar{\xi}}\theta = 0$). Define a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ on P by

$$(3.13) \quad \phi(X^*) = (J_*X)^* \quad \text{if } X \in TM \text{ and } \phi(X) = 0.$$

The tensor field ϕ is well defined and satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2 &= -\text{id} + \eta \otimes \xi, & \phi(\xi) &= 0, & \eta \circ \phi &= 0, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), & g(X, \xi) &= \eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

We show that

$$(3.14) \quad d\eta(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Note that if X, Y are horizontal, the equality follows from formulas (3.12), (3.13) and definition of g . Since $L_\xi\eta = 0$ and $0 = L_\xi\eta(X) = (d \circ i_\xi\eta)(X) + (i_\xi d\eta)(X) = d\eta(\xi, X)$, it is clear that (3.14) holds for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Note also that since $L_\xi\eta = 0$ and consequently $L_\xi g = 0$, the field ξ is a Killing vector field on P . Hence (g, ξ, ϕ, η) is a K-contact structure. From the previous theorem it follows that if (M, g_*) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold, then so is (P, g) , which concludes the proof. ■

Remark. Note that every Kähler manifold (M, g_*, J_*) satisfies condition (A). On the other hand, a general almost Kähler manifold does not satisfy this condition. It is clear that every almost Kähler Einstein manifold satisfies both conditions; however, the Goldberg conjecture says that every such (compact) manifold is Kähler (see [S-1], [S-2]).

Taking account of the result of A. Gray [G] and K. Sekigawa and L. Vanhecke [S-V] we obtain:

COROLLARY. *A K-contact metric regular manifold (P, g) is a Sasakian \mathcal{A} -manifold if and only if (M, g_*, J_*) is a Kähler manifold with parallel Ricci tensor $\bar{\nabla}\rho_* = 0$. If M is complete and simply connected, then the last condition is equivalent to the fact that $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_r$, where each M_i is a Kähler-Einstein manifold.*

Moreover, if $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_r$, where each (M_i, g_i, J_i) is a Kähler-Einstein manifold with non-zero scalar curvature (or more generally, which is a Hodge manifold), then there exists a circle bundle $p : P \rightarrow M$ such that P admits a Sasakian structure (g, ξ, ϕ, η) , (P, g) is an \mathcal{A} -manifold and $p : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, g_)$ is a Riemannian submersion, where $g_* = \sum \alpha_i g_i$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$.*

Proof. Recall that in our case P is a Sasakian manifold if and only if (M, g_*, J_*) is Kähler manifold ([Bl]). Hence in view of the results of

A. Gray [G] and K. Sekigawa and L. Vanhecke [S-V], $(M, g_*, J_*) \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $\bar{\nabla}\rho_* = 0$. If M is complete and simply connected, then (as is well known) the de Rham theorem gives $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_r$, where each M_i is a Kähler–Einstein manifold.

On the other hand, if $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_r$, where each (M_i, g_i, J_i) is a Kähler–Einstein manifold with nonzero scalar curvature (or Hodge manifold if it has zero scalar curvature), then for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ the manifold (M, g_*, J_*) , where $g_* = \sum_i \alpha_i g_i$, $J_* = \sum_i J_i$, is a Hodge manifold. Hence using the result of S. Kobayashi [K] and our theorem we get a principal S^1 fibre bundle over M which is a Sasakian \mathcal{A} -manifold. ■

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [Bl] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer, 1976.
- [B-W] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 721–734.
- [G] A. Gray, *Einstein like manifolds which are not Einstein*, Geom. Dedicata 7 (1978), 259–280.
- [J-1] W. Jelonek, *Some simple examples of almost Kähler non-Kähler structures*, Math. Ann. 305 (1996), 639–649.
- [J-2] —, *On A -tensors in Riemannian geometry*, preprint 551, Polish Acad. Sci., 1995.
- [K] S. Kobayashi, *Principal fibre bundles with the 1-dimensional toroidal group*, Tôhoku Math. J. 8 (1956), 29–45.
- [O-1] Z. Olszak, *On contact metric manifolds*, ibid. 31 (1979), 247–253.
- [O-2] —, *Certain property of the Ricci tensor on Sasakian manifolds*, Colloq. Math. 40 (1979), 235–237.
- [O’N] B. O’Neill, *The fundamental equations of a submersion*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 459–469.
- [S-1] K. Sekigawa, *On some 4-dimensional compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. 271 (1985), 333–337.
- [S-2] —, *On some compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 39 (1987), 677–684.
- [S-V] K. Sekigawa and L. Vanhecke, *Symplectic geodesic symmetries on Kähler manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 37 (1986), 95–103.

Institute of Mathematics
 Technical University of Cracow
 Warszawska 24
 31-155 Kraków, Poland
 E-mail: wjelon@usk.pk.edu.pl

Institute of Mathematics
 Polish Academy of Sciences
 Cracow Branch
 Św. Tomasza 30
 31-027 Kraków, Poland

Received 30 September 1996;
 revised 1 April 1997