

## ON THE FORMAL INVERSE OF POLYNOMIAL ENDOMORPHISMS

BY

PIOTR OSSOWSKI (TORUŃ)

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. We begin by recalling some facts about the Jacobian Conjecture. We denote by  $J(F)$  the Jacobian matrix of a polynomial map  $F$ .

CONJECTURE 1 (Jacobian Conjecture). If  $F : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  is a polynomial map such that  $\det J(F) \in k \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $F$  is a polynomial automorphism, that is, there exists a polynomial map  $G : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  satisfying  $F(G) = X$ .

Yagzhev [9] and Bass, Connell and Wright [1] showed that, if the Jacobian Conjecture is true for all  $n \geq 2$  and all polynomial maps of the form  $F = X - H$  with  $H$  homogeneous of degree 3, then it is true for all polynomial maps. For the Jacobian matrix of a polynomial map  $F$  the hypothesis  $\det J(F) \in k \setminus \{0\}$  is equivalent to the nilpotence of  $J(H)$ .

Let  $G = (G_1, \dots, G_n)$  with  $G_i \in k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  be the formal inverse of  $F = X - H$ , that is,  $F(G) = X$ . It is obvious that  $F$  is an automorphism if and only if  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  are polynomials.

Since in  $X - H$  all the non-zero homogeneous components have odd degree,  $G$  has the same property. Let  $G_i = \sum_{d \geq 0} G_i^{(d)}$ , where each  $G_i^{(d)}$  is homogeneous of degree  $2d + 1$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Several formulas for  $G_i^{(d)}$  are known. In those given by Bass, Connell and Wright [1] and Drużkowski and Rusek [2], the components  $G_i^{(d)}$  are expressed as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of polynomials indexed by rooted trees. Our aim is to prove that the polynomials, corresponding in the above mentioned expansions to the same rooted tree, differ by a rational factor depending on the structure of the rooted tree.

**1. Rooted trees.** If  $T$  is a non-directed tree, then  $V(T)$  denotes the set of its vertices, and the set of its edges is a symmetric subset  $E(V) \subseteq V(T) \times V(T)$ . A tree  $T$  with a distinguished vertex  $\text{rt}_T \in V(T)$ , a *root*, is called a *rooted tree*. By induction we define the sets  $V_j(T)$  of vertices of

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 13B25; Secondary 13B10, 14E09, 05C05.

*Key words and phrases*: polynomial automorphisms, Jacobian Conjecture, rooted trees.

height  $j$ . Let  $V_0(T) = \{\text{rt}_T\}$ . For  $j > 0$  let  $v \in V_j(T)$  iff for some  $w \in V_{j-1}(T)$  there exists an edge  $(w, v) \in E(T)$  and  $v \notin V_i(T)$  for all  $i < j$ . Moreover, let  $\text{ht}(T) = \max\{j : V_j(T) \neq \emptyset\}$ .

If  $v \in V_j(T)$ , then let

$$v^+ = \{w \in V_{j+1}(T) : (w, v) \in E(T)\}.$$

By a *leaf* of a rooted tree  $T$  we mean a vertex  $v \in V(T)$  such that  $v^+ = \emptyset$ .

The rooted trees form a category; a morphism  $T \rightarrow T'$  is a map  $f : V(T) \rightarrow V(T')$  such that  $f(\text{rt}_T) = \text{rt}_{T'}$  and  $(f \times f)(E(T)) \subseteq E(T')$ . If  $T$  is a rooted tree, then  $\text{Aut}(T)$  denotes the group of all automorphisms of  $T$  and  $\alpha(T) = |\text{Aut}(T)|$ . ( $|X|$  is the cardinality of the set  $X$ .)

For a rooted tree  $T$  and a vertex  $v \in V(T)$  we define a rooted tree  $T_v$  to be a subtree of  $T$  such that  $\text{rt}_{T_v} = v$  and  $w \in V(T_v)$  if  $v$  belongs to a path from  $w$  to the root.

Let  $T$  be a rooted tree and

$$\text{rt}_T^+ = \{v_{11}, \dots, v_{1m_1}, \dots, v_{s1}, \dots, v_{sm_s}\}.$$

Moreover, let  $\{T_{v_{11}}, \dots, T_{v_{1m_1}}\}, \dots, \{T_{v_{s1}}, \dots, T_{v_{sm_s}}\}$  be the isomorphism classes of the rooted trees  $T_{v_{ij}}$ . It is easy to see that

$$(1) \quad \alpha(T) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\alpha(T_{v_{j1}})^{m_j} \cdot m_j!)$$

(cf. [6]).

In this note we assume that there exists an empty rooted tree  $\emptyset$  with  $V(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $E(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ .

**2. Bass–Connell–Wright formal inverse expansion.** Let  $H = (H_1, \dots, H_n)$ , where  $H_1, \dots, H_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  are homogeneous of degree 3. Let  $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $i \in \mathbf{n}$ , a rooted tree  $T$  and a function  $f : V(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$  such that  $f(\text{rt}_T) = i$ , in [1] there are defined polynomials

$$P_{T,f} = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \left( \left( \prod_{w \in v^+} D_{f(w)} \right) H_{f(v)} \right)$$

and

$$\sigma_i(T) = \sum_{\substack{f: V(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{n} \\ f(\text{rt}_T) = i}} P_{T,f}.$$

In [1, Ch. III, 5.(4)] it is shown that if  $T$  contains a vertex such that  $|v^+| > 3$ , then  $\sigma_i(T) = 0$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{T}'_d$  a fixed set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of rooted trees with  $d$  vertices and with  $|v^+| \leq 3$  for each  $v \in V(T)$ . Note that  $\mathbb{T}'_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Using these observations, we can quote the following theorem.

THEOREM 2 (Bass–Connell–Wright [1]). *Let  $F = X - H : k^n \rightarrow k^n$ , where  $H$  is homogeneous of degree 3 and the matrix  $J(H)$  is nilpotent. Then  $G_i^{(0)} = X_i$  and*

$$G_i^{(d)} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}'_d} \frac{1}{\alpha(T)} \sigma_i(T) \quad \text{for } d \geq 1.$$

Theorem 2 suggests the following definition:  $\sigma_i(\emptyset) = X_i$  for  $i \in \mathbf{n}$ .

In the sequel we use the below description of the numbers  $\alpha(T)$ .

DEFINITION 3. For a rooted tree  $T$  and a vertex  $v \in V(T)$  let

$$\alpha(v, T) = \prod_{j=1}^s m_j!$$

where  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  are the cardinalities of the isomorphism classes of the rooted trees from  $\{T_w : w \in v^+\}$ . Note that  $\alpha(v, T) = 1$  for each leaf  $v$ .

REMARK. One can rewrite the formula (1) in the form

$$(2) \quad \alpha(T) = \alpha(\text{rt}_T, T) \prod_{v \in \text{rt}_T^+} \alpha(T_v).$$

LEMMA 4. *If  $T$  is a rooted tree, then*

$$\alpha(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \alpha(v, T).$$

Proof. Use (2) and induction with respect to the height of  $T$ . ■

**3. Drużkowski–Rusek formal inverse.** In [2] we can find another description of the formal inverse. We suppose that  $F = X - H$ , where  $H$  is homogeneous of degree 3. It is well known that there exists a unique 3-linear symmetric polynomial map  $\tilde{H} : k^n \times k^n \times k^n \rightarrow k^n$  such that  $\tilde{H}(X, X, X) = H(X)$ .

THEOREM 5 (Drużkowski–Rusek [2]). *If  $G = \sum_{d \geq 0} G^{(d)}$  is the formal inverse of  $F = X - H$ , then  $G^{(0)} = X$  and*

$$G^{(d)} = \sum_{p+q+r=d-1} \tilde{H}(G^{(p)}, G^{(q)}, G^{(r)}) \quad \text{for } d \geq 1.$$

For small indices we have:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} G^{(0)} &= X, \\ G^{(1)} &= \tilde{H}(X, X, X), \\ G^{(2)} &= 3\tilde{H}(X, X, \tilde{H}(X, X, X)), \\ G^{(3)} &= 9\tilde{H}(X, X, \tilde{H}(X, X, \tilde{H}(X, X, X))) \\ &\quad + 3\tilde{H}(X, \tilde{H}(X, X, X), \tilde{H}(X, X, X)). \end{aligned}$$

We shall see that each  $G^{(d)}$  is a linear combination of polynomial maps corresponding to rooted trees.

DEFINITION 6. For any rooted tree  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  with  $d \geq 1$  we define  $\mathfrak{P}(T)$  to be a multiset (i.e., a set with repeated elements; see [7]) containing representatives of the isomorphism classes of the rooted trees  $T_v$  for  $v \in \text{rt}_T^+$  and  $3 - |\text{rt}_T^+|$  empty trees. Thus the multiset  $\mathfrak{P}(T)$  has exactly 3 elements.

EXAMPLE 7. (Always the lowest vertex is the root.)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}(\bullet) &= \{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset\}, & \mathfrak{P}(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \bullet \end{array}) &= \{\bullet, \emptyset, \emptyset\}, \\ \mathfrak{P}(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array}) &= \{\bullet, \bullet, \emptyset\}, & \mathfrak{P}(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad | \\ \bullet \end{array}) &= \{\bullet, \bullet, \bullet\}. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 8. For a rooted tree  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  we define, by induction on  $d \geq 0$ , a polynomial homogeneous map  $\tau(T) : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  of degree  $2d + 1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\emptyset) &= X \quad (\text{the identity map}), \\ \tau(T) &= \tilde{H}(\tau(T_1), \tau(T_2), \tau(T_3)) \quad \text{for } d \geq 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{P}(T) = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us describe the coefficients in linear combinations like (3).

DEFINITION 9. For a rooted tree  $T$  and a vertex  $v \in V(T)$  we define  $\beta(v, T)$  to be the number of different sequences of elements of the multiset  $\mathfrak{P}(T_v)$ .

LEMMA 10. If  $d \geq 0$ , then

$$(4) \quad G^{(d)} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}'_d} \beta(T) \tau(T), \quad \text{where } \beta(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \beta(v, T).$$

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on  $d$ .

For  $d = 0$  the equality (4) is obvious. Note that  $\beta(\emptyset) = 1$ .

Let  $d > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(d)} &= \sum_{p+q+r=d-1} \tilde{H}(G^{(p)}, G^{(q)}, G^{(r)}) \\ &= \sum_{p+q+r=d-1} \tilde{H}\left(\sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}'_p} \beta(T_1) \tau(T_1), \sum_{T_2 \in \mathbb{T}'_q} \beta(T_2) \tau(T_2), \sum_{T_3 \in \mathbb{T}'_r} \beta(T_3) \tau(T_3)\right) \\ &= \sum_{p+q+r=d-1} \sum_{T_1 \in \mathbb{T}'_p} \sum_{T_2 \in \mathbb{T}'_q} \sum_{T_3 \in \mathbb{T}'_r} \beta(T_1) \beta(T_2) \beta(T_3) \cdot \tilde{H}(\tau(T_1), \tau(T_2), \tau(T_3)). \end{aligned}$$

All maps of the form  $\tilde{H}(\tau(T_1), \tau(T_2), \tau(T_3))$  are homogeneous of degree  $2p + 1 + 2q + 1 + 2r + 1 = 2(p + q + r) + 3 = 2d + 1$ . Collecting summands with the same map  $\tau(T)$ , for  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$ , we see that the coefficient of  $\tau(T)$  is equal

to

$$\beta(\text{rt}_T, T) \cdot \beta(T_1)\beta(T_2)\beta(T_3) = \beta(T),$$

where  $\mathfrak{P}(T) = \{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$ . ■

**4. Main theorem.** We are going to compare the expressions for  $G^{(d)}$  given in the previous subsections.

DEFINITION 11. For a rooted tree  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  and a vertex  $v \in V(T)$  we define numbers  $\varrho(v, T)$  and  $\varrho(T)$  as

$$\varrho(v, T) = \alpha(v, T)\beta(v, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho(T) = \prod_{v \in V(T)} \varrho(v, T).$$

In particular,  $\varrho(\emptyset) = 1$ .

COROLLARY. If  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$ , then  $\varrho(T) = \alpha(T)\beta(T)$ .

LEMMA 12. If  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  and  $v \in V(T)$ , then

$$\varrho(v, T) = \frac{3!}{(3 - |v^+|)!} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |v^+| = 0, \\ 3 & \text{for } |v^+| = 1, \\ 6 & \text{for } |v^+| \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. It is sufficient to collect the numbers  $\alpha(v, T)$ ,  $\beta(v, T)$  and  $\varrho(v, T) = \alpha(v, T)\beta(v, T)$  in a table. In the second column we assume that the rooted trees  $T_1, T_2, T_3, \emptyset$  are all distinct.

$ v^+ $	$\mathfrak{P}(T_v)$	$\alpha(v, T)$	$\beta(v, T)$	$\varrho(v, T)$
0	$\{\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset\}$	1	1	1
1	$\{T_1, \emptyset, \emptyset\}$	1	3	3
2	$\{T_1, T_1, \emptyset\}$	2	3	6
	$\{T_1, T_2, \emptyset\}$	1	6	6
3	$\{T_1, T_1, T_1\}$	6	1	6
	$\{T_1, T_1, T_2\}$	2	3	6
	$\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$	1	6	6

Now, compare the first and last columns. The last column is obviously equal to  $3!/(3 - |v^+|)!$ . ■

COROLLARY. For  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  we have

$$\varrho(T) = 2^{|\{v \in V(T) : |v^+| \geq 2\}|} \cdot 3^{|V(T) \setminus \text{Leaf}(T)|},$$

where  $\text{Leaf}(T)$  is the set of all leaves of the rooted tree  $T$ .

In the proof of Theorem 13 we make use of the following polarization formula:

$$(5) \quad \tilde{H}_i(U, V, W) = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{p,q,r=1}^n U_p V_q W_r \frac{\partial^3 H_i}{\partial X_p \partial X_q \partial X_r}$$

(see [5, p. 251]). We also recall Euler's formula: if  $F(X)$  is homogeneous of degree  $p$ , then

$$\sum_{p=1}^n X_p \frac{\partial F(X)}{\partial X_p} = p \cdot F(X).$$

We are now in a position to formulate and prove the main theorem of our paper.

**THEOREM 13.** *If  $i \in \mathbf{n}$  and  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  for  $d \geq 0$ , then*

$$(6) \quad \sigma_i(T) = \varrho(T)\tau_i(T),$$

where  $\tau(T) = (\tau_1(T), \dots, \tau_n(T))$ .

**Proof.** We argue by induction on the number of vertices of  $T$ .

The case  $d = 0$  is obvious:

$$\sigma_i(\emptyset) = X_i = 1 \cdot X_i = \varrho(\emptyset)\tau_i(\emptyset).$$

Suppose now that  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) and (6) is true for all rooted trees with less than  $d$  vertices. Let  $\mathfrak{P}(T) = \{T_1, \dots, T_s, \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset\}$ , where  $0 \leq s \leq 3$  and  $T_1, \dots, T_s$  are non-empty. For  $i \in \mathbf{n}$ , using a "tree surgery" (see [1], [8]), we can write

$$\sigma_i(T) = \sigma_i\left(T_1 \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \searrow \swarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} T_s\right) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_s=1}^n \sigma_{j_1}(T_1) \cdots \sigma_{j_s}(T_s) \frac{\partial^s H_i}{\partial X_{j_1} \cdots \partial X_{j_s}}.$$

Let us apply Euler's formula  $3 - s$  times and let  $T_j = \emptyset$  for  $j = s + 1, \dots, 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(T) &= \frac{1}{(3-s)!} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3=1}^n \sigma_{j_1}(T_1) \cdots \sigma_{j_s}(T_s) X_{j_{s+1}} \cdots X_{j_3} \frac{\partial^3 H_i}{\partial X_{j_1} \partial X_{j_2} \partial X_{j_3}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(3-s)!} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3=1}^n \sigma_{j_1}(T_1) \sigma_{j_2}(T_2) \sigma_{j_3}(T_3) \frac{\partial^3 H_i}{\partial X_{j_1} \partial X_{j_2} \partial X_{j_3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by assumption,

$$\sigma_i(T) = \frac{\varrho(T_1)\varrho(T_2)\varrho(T_3)}{(3-s)!} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3=1}^n \tau_{j_1}(T_1)\tau_{j_2}(T_2)\tau_{j_3}(T_3) \frac{\partial^3 H_i}{\partial X_{j_1} \partial X_{j_2} \partial X_{j_3}},$$

and by (5),

$$\sigma_i(T) = \frac{3! \cdot \varrho(T_1)\varrho(T_2)\varrho(T_3)}{(3-s)!} \tilde{H}_i(\tau(T_1), \tau(T_2), \tau(T_3)).$$

Finally, we apply Lemma 12, Definition 8 and Definition 11 to get

$$\sigma_i(T) = \varrho(\text{rt}_T, T)\varrho(T_1)\varrho(T_2)\varrho(T_3)\tau_i(T) = \varrho(T)\tau_i(T),$$

and the proof is complete. ■

COROLLARY. If  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  for  $d \geq 0$ , then

$$\sigma(T) = \varrho(T)\tau(T),$$

where  $\sigma(T) = (\sigma_1(T), \dots, \sigma_n(T))$ .

**5. Remarks.** Theorem 13 and Lemma 10 give us an alternative proof of Theorem 2. Indeed,

$$G^{(d)} = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}'_d} \beta(T)\tau(T) = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}'_d} \frac{1}{\alpha(T)} \varrho(T)\tau(T) = \sum_{T \in \mathbb{T}'_d} \frac{1}{\alpha(T)} \sigma(T).$$

This proof looks simpler than the original one in [1].

It is well known that a polynomial map  $F = X - H : k^n \rightarrow k^n$  with  $H$  homogeneous of degree 3 and  $J(H)$  nilpotent has a polynomial inverse iff  $G^{(d)} = 0$  for  $\deg G^{(d)} = 2d + 1 > 3^{n-1}$ . Bass, Connell and Wright [1] conjectures that not only  $G^{(d)} = 0$  but also  $\sigma(T) = 0$  in the case  $T \in \mathbb{T}'_d$  and  $2d + 1 > 3^{n-1}$ . A counterexample was given in [4]. On the other hand, Gorni and Zampieri [3] showed that there is a polynomial automorphism of the form  $X - H$  as above such that for any  $n$  there exists a rooted tree  $T$  with  $\tau(T) \neq 0$  and with the number of vertices of  $T$  greater than  $n$ . In both papers, the counterexample is the same polynomial map:

$$F = (X_1 + X_4(X_1X_3 + X_2X_4), X_2 - X_3(X_1X_3 + X_2X_4), X_3 + X_4^3, X_4),$$

given by van den Essen for other reasons. In view of Theorem 13,  $\tau(T) \neq 0$  iff  $\sigma(T) \neq 0$ , and problems solved in [3] and [4] are equivalent. Moreover, we can exhibit rooted trees  $T$  for which  $\tau(T) \neq 0$  (Gorni and Zampieri have not done it).

If

$$T_0 = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \in \mathbb{T}'_4, \quad T_s = \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \end{array} \in \mathbb{T}'_{2s+4} \quad \text{for } s \geq 1,$$

then (see [4])  $\sigma(T_s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$  and therefore the polynomial maps

$$\tau(T_0) = \tilde{H}(\tilde{H}(X, X, X), \tilde{H}(X, X, X), \tilde{H}(X, X, X)),$$

$$\tau(T_s) = \tilde{H}(X, \tilde{H}(X, X, X), \tau(T_{s-1})) \quad \text{for } s \geq 1$$

are non-zero.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Bass, E. H. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: Reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), 287–330.  
 [2] L. M. Drużkowski and K. Rusek, *The formal inverse and the Jacobian conjecture*, Ann. Polon. Math. 46 (1985), 85–90.

- [3] G. Gorni and G. Zampieri, *Yagzhev polynomial mappings: on the structure of the Taylor expansion of their local inverse*, Ann. Polon. Math. 64 (1996), 285–290.
- [4] P. Ossowski, *A counterexample to a conjecture of Bass, Connell and Wright*, Colloq. Math. 77 (1998), 315–320.
- [5] V. L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*, in: Algebraic Geometry IV, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 55, Springer, 1994, 123–278.
- [6] C. E. Praeger and P. Schultz, *On the automorphisms of rooted trees with height distributions*, in: Combinatorial Mathematics X (Adelaide, 1982), Lecture Notes in Math. 1036, Springer, 1983, 319–334.
- [7] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 49, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [8] D. Wright, *Formal inverse expansion and the Jacobian conjecture*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 48 (1987), 199–219.
- [9] A. V. Yagzhev, *On Keller's problem*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 21 (1980), no. 5, 141–150 (in Russian).

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Nicholas Copernicus University  
Chopina 12/18  
87-100 Toruń, Poland  
E-mail: ossowski@mat.uni.torun.pl

*Received 30 January 1998;*  
*revised 16 March 1998*