

Soit maintenant $\alpha = \lim_{\xi < \omega_\sigma < \omega_\nu} \alpha_\xi$; $a \in D_\alpha$ est univoquement déterminé par les suites $\alpha_\xi \in D_\xi$ dont a est un prolongement, d'où $\overline{D_\alpha} \leq \aleph_\nu^{\aleph_\sigma}$ ($\aleph_\sigma < \aleph_\nu$). Si $\aleph_\nu = \aleph_{\tau+1}$, on a $\aleph_{\tau+1}^{\aleph_\sigma} = 2^{\aleph_\tau \cdot \aleph_\sigma} = 2^{\aleph_\tau} = \aleph_{\tau+1}$; pour les nombres inaccessibles, l'égalité $\aleph_\nu^{\aleph_\sigma} = \aleph_\nu$ ($\aleph_\sigma < \aleph_\nu$) se déduit d'un théorème de Tarski⁶⁾, et peut même être établie sans l'hypothèse $2^{\aleph_\alpha} = \aleph_{\alpha+1}$, lorsque ω_ν est inaccessible au sens étroit⁷⁾.

⁶⁾ A. Tarski, *Quelques théorèmes sur les alephs*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 7 (1925), p. 1-14, théorème 7 (p. 7).

⁷⁾ A. Tarski, *Über unerreichbare Kardinalzahlen*, Fundamenta Mathematicae 25 (1935), p. 68-89.

REMARKS ON MEASURE AND CATEGORY

BY

E. MARCZEWSKI (WROCLAW) AND R. SIKORSKI (WARSAW)

We call a *measure* every σ -additive set function $\mu(X)$, such that $0 \leq \mu(X) \leq \infty$, defined in a σ -additive field of subsets of a set \mathcal{X} . A measure μ is said to be σ -finite if \mathcal{X} is the sum of an enumerable sequence of sets of finite measure μ .

A measure μ defined on the field of all Borel subsets of a topological space¹⁾ \mathcal{X} is called a *Borel measure* in \mathcal{X} .

In this paper \mathcal{X} always denotes a topological space, and μ a Borel measure in \mathcal{X} . Our chief problem is the existence of the²⁾ decomposition:

(*) $\mathcal{X} = H + K$, where $\mu(H) = 0$ and K is of the first category³⁾ in \mathcal{X} .

We shall show in a very simple way that the decomposition (*) exists e. g. for α -dimensional measures in separable metric spaces (theorem (iii)), in particular for the linear measure in the plane.

It results from one of our theorems on measures in non-separable spaces that the decomposition (*) is possible for any σ -finite Borel measure vanishing for all one-point sets⁴⁾ whenever \mathcal{X} is a metric space containing a dense subset of potency less than the first inaccessible aleph (theorem (vi)).

¹⁾ A space is called *topological* if it satisfies the well-known axioms of Kuratowski. See [2], p. 20.

²⁾ Since every set of the first category is contained in a set F_σ of the first category, we may always assume (whenever the decomposition (*) is possible) that K is an F_σ and H is a G_δ .

³⁾ Our problem can be reduced to the case of measures vanishing for every one-point set. In fact, let X_0 be the set of all x with $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$. If X_0 contains an isolated point of the space, the decomposition (*) is impossible. If X_0 contains no isolated point (i. e. X_0 is of the first category), the decomposition (*) of the space is possible if and only if there exists an analogous decomposition for the measure $\nu(X) = \mu(X - X_0)$ which is a Borel measure in the complementary set of X_0 and vanishes for every one-point set.

This result does not hold for general topological spaces. More precisely, there exists a normal bicomact and totally disconnected space \mathcal{X} , and a finite Borel measure μ , such that $\mu(X)=0$ if and only if X is of the first category in \mathcal{X} (theorem (vii)).

1. Metric spaces. We base our consideration on the two following simple lemmas:

(i) *If a topological space \mathcal{X} contains a dense set G_δ of measure μ zero, the decomposition (*) exists.*

For, if H is such a set G_δ , the set $K=\mathcal{X}-H$ is a set F_σ without interior points; thus K is of the first category in \mathcal{X} .

(ii) *If a topological space \mathcal{X} is separable⁴⁾, and if every enumerable subset of \mathcal{X} is contained in a set G_δ of measure μ zero, then the decomposition (*) exists.*

For, if X is an enumerable dense subset of \mathcal{X} , and H is a set G_δ with $X\subset H$ and $\mu(H)=0$, then the set H satisfies the assumption of (i).

We now establish the theorems:

(iii) *The decomposition (*) exists for α -dimensional measures⁵⁾ in separable metric spaces (in particular for the Lebesgue measure in the n -dimensional Euclidean space⁶⁾, for the linear measure in the plane, etc.).*

In fact, an α -dimensional measure μ possesses the following property (v)⁷⁾ which implies the assumption of (ii):

(v) Every Borel set X is contained in a set G_δ whose measure is equal to $\mu(X)$.

(iv) *Every σ -finite Borel measure μ in a metric space \mathcal{X} possesses the property (v).*

Suppose first $\mu(\mathcal{X})<\infty$. For every set $X\subset\mathcal{X}$ let $\nu_e(X)$ denote the lower bound of all numbers $\mu(G)$, where G is open and $G\subset X$. The so defined set function $\nu_e(X)$ is an outer measure in the sense of Carathéodory⁸⁾. Let $\nu(X)$ denote the function

⁴⁾ i. e. contains an enumerable dense subset.

⁵⁾ See Saks [5], pp. 53-54, and Hahn and Rosenthal [1], p. 106.

⁶⁾ This result is known, but our proof is still simpler than other proofs.

⁷⁾ See e. g. Hahn and Rosenthal [1], p. 106.

⁸⁾ See Saks [5], p. 43.

$\nu_e(X)$ restricted to Borel sets $X\subset\mathcal{X}$. By a well-known theorem⁹⁾, $\nu(X)$ is a Borel measure in \mathcal{X} . By the definition, $\mu(X)\leq\nu(X)$ for any Borel set $X\subset\mathcal{X}$. Consequently also $\mu(\mathcal{X}-X)\leq\nu(\mathcal{X}-X)$. Thus $\mu(\mathcal{X})=\mu(X)+\mu(\mathcal{X}-X)\leq\nu(X)+\nu(\mathcal{X}-X)=\nu(\mathcal{X})=\mu(\mathcal{X})<\infty$. Hence we have $\mu(X)=\nu(X)$ for every Borel set $X\subset\mathcal{X}$. Let $\{G_n\}$ be a sequence of open sets such that $X\subset G_n$ and $\mu(X)=\nu(X)\leq\mu(G_n)\leq\nu(X)+1/n$. The set $H=G_1G_2\dots$ is a G_δ with $X\subset H$ and $\mu(X)=\mu(H)$, which proves the theorem in the case μ is finite.

Suppose now μ is a σ -finite measure. Let $X=X_1+X_2+\dots$, $\mu(X_n)<\infty$, and let $X_nX_m=0$ for $n\neq m$. The set functions $\mu_n(X)=\mu(XX_n)$ for $n=1,2,\dots$ are finite Borel measures in \mathcal{X} , and $\mu(X)=\mu_1(X)+\mu_2(X)+\dots$. Let X be a Borel subset of \mathcal{X} , and let H_n be a set G_δ such that $X\subset H_n$ and $\mu_n(H_n)=\mu_n(X)$. The set $H_0=H_1H_2\dots$ is a G_δ , $X\subset H_0$, and $\mu_n(X)=\mu_n(H_0)$ for $n=1,2,\dots$. Thus $\mu(H_0)=\mu_1(H_0)+\mu_2(H_0)+\dots=\mu_1(X)+\mu_2(X)+\dots=\mu(X)$, q. e. d.

(v) *If \mathcal{X} is a separable metric space, the decomposition (*) exists for each σ -finite Borel measure μ vanishing for all one-point sets¹⁰⁾.*

This is an immediate consequence of (ii) and (iv).

We say that a cardinal number m has measure zero if every finite measure, defined for all subsets of a set Y of potency m and vanishing for all one-point sets, vanishes identically.

Ulam has proved that every cardinal number less than the first aleph inaccessible in the weak sense¹¹⁾ has measure zero¹²⁾.

(vi) *If a metric space \mathcal{X} contains a dense subset, the potency of which has measure zero, the decomposition (*) exists for any σ -finite measure μ vanishing for all one-point sets.*

Then there exists a decomposition¹³⁾ $\mathcal{X}=N+S$, where $\mu(N)=0$ and S is separable.

⁹⁾ See ibidem, p. 52.

¹⁰⁾ Another proof of this theorem was given by Marczewski. See [3], p. 304.

¹¹⁾ An aleph $p=\aleph_\lambda>\aleph_0$ is inaccessible in the weak sense if λ is a limit number and if the condition $p_t<p$, where t runs over a set T of potency less than p , implies $\sum_{t\in T}p_t<p$. See Tarski [10], p. 69.

¹²⁾ Ulam [11], p. 141 (Satz A).

¹³⁾ See Marczewski and Sikorski [4], p. 137.

The set function $\nu(X) = \mu(XS)$ for $X \subset S$ is a σ -finite Borel measure in the separable metric space S . By (v) there exists a decomposition $S = H_0 + K$, where $\nu(H_0) = 0$ and K is of the first category in S .

Let $H = H_0 + N$. The formula $\mathcal{X} = H + K$ gives the decomposition (*) of \mathcal{X} .

In theorem (vi) the condition that μ be σ -finite is essential and cannot be replaced by the weaker property (δ). E. g. let \mathcal{X} be a set of potency \aleph_1 with the metric $\varrho(x_1, x_2) = 1$ for $x_1 \neq x_2$, and let $\mu(X) = 0$ if $\bar{X} \leq \aleph_0$, and $\mu(X) = \infty$ if $\bar{X} = \aleph_1$. The Borel measure μ has the property (δ) and \mathcal{X} satisfies the condition given in (vi) since \aleph_1 has measure zero. However, the decomposition (*) does not exist.

The condition that μ vanishes for every one-point set may be omitted if the space \mathcal{X} is dense in itself or, more generally, if the set X_0 of all points $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$ contains no isolated point. In fact, the formula $\nu(X) = \mu(X - X_0)$ defines a Borel measure in the space $\mathcal{X} - X_0$ with $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X} - X_0$. Thus there exists a decomposition $\mathcal{X} - X_0 = H + K$ such that $\nu(H) = \mu(H) = 0$ and K is of the first category. The measure μ being σ -finite, the set X_0 is enumerable, thus of the first category. The formula $\mathcal{X} = H + (K + X_0)$ establishes the decomposition (*) of \mathcal{X} .

2. Applications to mappings. Lemma (ii) can be generalized as follows:

(vii) Let μ be a Borel measure in a topological space \mathcal{X} , such that every enumerable set is contained in a set G_δ of measure μ zero. Then for every continuous mapping f of a separable topological space \mathcal{X}_0 into \mathcal{X} there is a set $X_0 \subset \mathcal{X}_0$, such that $\mu(f(X_0)) = 0$ and that the set $\mathcal{X}_0 - X_0$ is of the first category in \mathcal{X}_0 ¹⁴.

Let D be an enumerable dense subset of \mathcal{X}_0 . The set $f(D)$ being enumerable, there is a set $H \subset \mathcal{X}$ which is a G_δ , and $\mu(H) = 0$. Let $X_0 = f^{-1}(H)$. Obviously $\mu(f(X_0)) = 0$. Since $D \subset X_0$,

¹⁴ This theorem is known for the case of Lebesgue's measure, but its proof given for that case is more complicated than ours. See Sierpiński [7], p. 302, and Kuratowski [2], p. 311.

the set X_0 is a G_δ dense in the space \mathcal{X}_0 . Consequently, $\mathcal{X}_0 - X_0$ is of the first category, q. e. d.

Evidently, the continuity of f may be replaced by the more general condition that f be continuous on a set $\mathcal{X}_0 - K$, where K is of the first category in \mathcal{X}_0 . In particular, theorem (vii) is true if f possesses the property of Baire¹⁵ and if \mathcal{X} is a separable metric space.

The following theorem¹⁶ may be considered as a generalization of theorem (vi):

(viii) Let ν be a σ -finite measure defined on a σ -additive field F of subsets of a set \mathcal{X}_0 , and let f be a mapping of \mathcal{X}_0 into a metric space \mathcal{X} dense in itself and containing a dense subset, the potency of which is of measure zero. If f is measurable (i. e. if $f^{-1}(X) \in F$ for every Borel set $X \subset \mathcal{X}$), then there is a set $X_0 \in F$, such that $\nu(X_0) = 0$ and that $f(\mathcal{X}_0 - X_0)$ is of the first category.

The formula $\mu(X) = \nu(f^{-1}(X))$ defines a σ -finite Borel measure in \mathcal{X} . By (vi) there is such a decomposition $\mathcal{X} = H + K$ that $\mu(H) = 0$ and K is of the first category. Let $X_0 = f^{-1}(H)$. Then $\nu(X_0) = \mu(H) = 0$, and the set $f(\mathcal{X}_0 - X_0) \subset K$ is of the first category.

3. Non-metrizable spaces. Let ν be a measure on a σ -additive field F of sets, and let N be the ideal of all sets of measure ν zero. The measure ν induces a measure $\bar{\nu}$ on the Boolean quotient algebra F/N ; we set namely

$$\bar{\nu}(A) = \nu(X),$$

where $X \in A \in F/N$.

We say that a measure ν on F is *almost isomorphic* to a measure ν_1 on a σ -additive field F_1 if there exists an isomorphism h of F/N on F_1/N_1 , such that,

$$\nu_1(h(A)) = \bar{\nu}(A) \quad \text{for every } A \in F/N,$$

where N_1 is the ideal of all sets of measure ν_1 zero, and $\bar{\nu}_1$ is the measure on F_1/N_1 induced by ν_1 .

¹⁵ See Kuratowski [2], p. 306.

¹⁶ This theorem is known for Lebesgue's measure. See Sierpiński [6], p. 63.

(vii) Let ν be a measure defined on a σ -additive field F . Then there exists a normal, bicomact and totally disconnected¹⁸⁾ space \mathcal{X} , and a Borel measure μ in \mathcal{X} , such that:

- (a) μ is almost isomorphic to ν ;
 (b) $\mu(X) = 0$ if and only if X is of the first category in \mathcal{X} .

The Boolean algebra F/N being complete¹⁹⁾, F/N is isomorphic to the quotient algebra B/K , where B is the field of all Borel subsets of Stone's space \mathcal{X} constructed for the Boolean algebra F/N , and K is the ideal of all sets of the first category in \mathcal{X} ²⁰⁾. The space \mathcal{X} is normal, bicomact and totally disconnected²¹⁾. Let h be an isomorphism of B/K on F/N , and let $\mu(X) = \bar{\nu}(h(A))$, where $X \in B$ and $X \in A \in B/K$. The Borel measure μ satisfies both the conditions (a) and (b).

It is to be remarked that \mathcal{X} contains a dense subset of potency at most \bar{F} . If the Boolean algebra F/N has no atom, the measure μ vanishes for every one-point set.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Hahn and A. Rosenthal, *Set functions*, Albuquerque (New Mexico) 1948.
 [2] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I* (new edition), Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa-Wrocław 1948.
 [3] E. Marczewski (Szpilrajn), *Remarques sur les fonctions complètement additives d'ensemble et sur les ensembles jouissant de la propriété de Baire*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 22 (1934), p. 303-311.
 [4] — and R. Sikorski, *Measures in non-separable metric spaces*, *Colloquium Mathematicum* 1 (1947-1948), p. 133-139.
 [5] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa-Lwów 1937.
 [6] W. Sierpiński, *Hypothèse du continu*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warszawa-Lwów 1934.
 [7] — *Sur un ensemble non dénombrable dont toute image continue est de mesure nulle*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 11 (1928), p. 302-304.

¹⁸⁾ A topological space \mathcal{T} is *totally disconnected* if for every pair of its different points x, y there exists a both open and closed set $G \subset \mathcal{T}$ with $x \in G$ and $y \in \mathcal{T} - G$.

¹⁹⁾ See e. g. Wecken [12], p. 380.

²⁰⁾ See Sikorski [8], p. 257.

²¹⁾ See Stone [9], p. 378.

[8] R. Sikorski, *On the representation of Boolean algebras as fields of sets*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 35 (1948), p. 247-258.

[9] M. H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 41 (1937), p. 375-481.

[10] A. Tarski, *Über unerreichbare Kardinalzahlen*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 30 (1938), p. 68-89.

[11] S. Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* 16 (1930), p. 140-150.

[12] F. Wecken, *Abstrakte Integrale und fastperiodische Funktionen*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 45 (1939), p. 377-404.